

Next Steps in the White House Evidence and Innovation Agenda

Council of Economic Advisers



November 5, 2013

White House Evidence and Innovation Agenda

- What is it?
 - Smarter, more innovative, and more accountable government
 - Expand the approaches that work best, fine-tune the ones that get mixed results, and shut down those that are failing
- How is it implemented?
 - The Budget process – priority given to requests that demonstrate a commitment to evidence-based evaluation
 - Interagency collaboration – foster and develop a culture of learning and innovation

Motivation

- Fiscal Climate
- We need to be making smarter investments
- Evaluation needs to be part of a program from the beginning
- Prioritizing based on evaluation

What Have We Learned?

- Leveraging Administrative Data
 - The College Scorecard
- High-Quality, Low-Cost Evaluations and Iterative Experimentation
 - Applied Behavioral Research at the Treasury Department
- Hawaii's Opportunity Probation with Enforcement (HOPE) Program
 - Measured outcomes using administrative data already collected for other purposes (at a total cost of \$150,000)
 - HOPE group members were 55% less likely than control group members to be re-arrested after one year

What Have We Done?

- Outcome-Focused Grant Design
 - Unique opportunity to strengthen the use of evidence at all levels of government
- Pay for Success
 - Government pays only when measurable results are achieved
- Tiered-evidence
 - Different levels of funding for different levels of evaluation
 - Over time, interventions move up tiers as evidence becomes stronger
 - Already in place at several agencies

What Have We Done? (2)

- Strengthen Agencies' Capacity to Use Evidence
 - Agency-wide Evaluation Plans
 - DOL Chief Evaluation Office
- Common Evidence Guidelines
 - Facilitate evaluation contracting, information collection clearance, and creation of research clearinghouses
- Cross-agency Learning Networks
 - SBA, USDA, and Commerce, with guidance from OMB and CEA, are working to find more robust ways to evaluate the impact of Federal business technical assistance programs

What Have We Done? (2)

- “What Works” Clearinghouses
 - DOJ: CrimeSolutions.gov
 - Ed: What Works Clearinghouse
 - DOL: Clearinghouse of Labor Evaluation and Research
 - HHS: National Registry of Evidence-based Programs and Practices (Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration)

Some Challenges – Evidence Agenda

- Rigorous evaluations take time and money
- A body of work, not just one evaluation, is needed to assess whether programs or intervention strategies are having desired effects on outcomes
- Directing funding to “what works” entails new program designs
 - Particularly challenging in block grant programs

Challenges to Using Administrative Data for Evaluation

- Designing administrative data sets to facilitate low cost evaluations
 - Requires new upfront investments
 - Program administrators may not consider the need to involve evaluators in database design decisions
- Few opportunities to change existing data systems
- Challenges to linking data sets

What's Next?

- FY15: Propose new evaluations using rigorous techniques (RCT, careful quasi-experimental designs)
- New ways to harness data to improve agency results
- New proposals for high-quality, low-cost evaluations
- Expand or improve use of outcome-focused grant designs
- Strengthening agency evaluation capacity