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This report responds to requirements in the Omnibus Public Land Management Act of 2009 (P.L. 111-11), and specifically the Ocean and Coastal Mapping Integration Act (P.L. 111-11, Title XII, Subtitle B). The Ocean and Coastal Mapping Integration Act (hereafter, “Act”) directs the co-chairmen of the Interagency Committee on Ocean and Coastal Mapping to “…transmit to the Congress a report detailing progress made in implementing this subtitle” (see Appendix 1 for the full text of the reporting requirements as contained in Section 12204 of the Act).

Executive Summary
The Act requires an Interagency Committee on Ocean and Coastal Mapping and coastal states to establish a program to develop a coordinated and comprehensive national ocean and coastal mapping plan for the Great Lakes and coastal-state waters, the territorial sea, the exclusive economic zone, and the continental shelf of the United States. The Interagency Working Group on Ocean and Coastal Mapping (IWG-OCM), under the Subcommittee on Ocean Science and Technology\(^1\) (SOST) was charged with performing these duties. SOST serves as the ocean science and technology interagency policy committee of the National Ocean Council and ensures connectivity between IWG-OCM activities and the National Ocean Policy. In accordance with the Act, the IWG-OCM is enhancing its coordination of ocean and coastal mapping to more effectively and efficiently provide stakeholders and the public with comprehensive geospatial information.

This report, as required by Section 12204 of the Act, describes the diverse Federal ocean and coastal mapping programs and explains IWG-OCM efforts to ensure effective intra- and interagency mapping coordination in the 2009 – 2010 period. The 13 reporting items called for in the Act are identified at the start of each section. Due in part to one-time funding through the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act, agencies have made progress in supporting efficient acquisition, management, and dissemination of data; improving mapping technologies; and pursuing other efforts to improve our Nation’s ability to meet its ocean and coastal mandates.

---
\(^1\) With President Obama’s July 19, 2010, Executive Order 13547 adopting the recommendations of the Interagency Ocean Policy Task Force, under the new ocean policy structure, the SOST serves as the Ocean Science and Technology Interagency Policy Committee (OST-IPC) under the National Ocean Council.
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**Act Requirements, Sec. 12204**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) an inventory of ocean and coastal mapping data within the territorial sea and the exclusive economic zone and throughout the Continental Shelf of the United States, noting the age and source of the survey and the spatial resolution (metadata) of the data;</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) identification of priority areas in need of survey coverage using present technologies;</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) a resource plan that identifies when priority areas in need of modern ocean and coastal mapping surveys can be accomplished;</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) the status of efforts to produce integrated digital maps of ocean and coastal areas;</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5) a description of any products resulting from coordinated mapping efforts under this subtitle that improve public understanding of the coasts and oceans, or regulatory decision making;</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6) documentation of minimum and desired standards for data acquisition and integrated metadata;</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7) a statement of the status of federal efforts to leverage mapping technologies, coordinate mapping activities, share expertise, and exchange data;</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(8) a statement of resource requirements for organizations to meet the goals of the program, including technology needs for data acquisition, processing, and distribution systems;</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(9) a statement of the status of efforts to declassify data gathered by the Navy, the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency, and other agencies to the extent possible without jeopardizing national security, and make it available to partner agencies and the public;</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(10) a resource plan for a digital coast integrated mapping pilot project for the northern Gulf of Mexico that will— (A) cover the area from the authorized coastal counties through the territorial sea; (B) identify how such a pilot project will leverage public and private mapping data and resources, such as the United States Geological Survey National Map, to result in an operational coastal change assessment program for the subregion;</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(11) the status of efforts to coordinate federal programs with coastal state and local government programs and leverage those programs;</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(12) a description of efforts of federal agencies to increase contracting with nongovernmental entities; and</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(13) an inventory and description of any new federal or federally funded programs conducting shoreline delineation and ocean or coastal mapping since the previous reporting cycle.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Due to first report
Introduction

This report is being submitted in compliance with Section 12204 of the Act, requiring a report on progress in implementing the Act, with emphasis on 13 enumerated subjects. The subjects in Section 12204 are addressed the subsections of this report.

As defined in the Act, ocean and coastal mapping is the acquisition, processing, and management of physical, biological, geological, chemical, and archeological characteristics and boundaries of ocean and coastal areas, resources, and sea beds using a variety of mapping technologies. These data constitute the essential foundation for understanding and managing our coastal and ocean areas. The Interagency Working Group on Ocean and Coastal Mapping (IWG-OCM) is charged with collaborating with Federal and state mapping agencies to implement the practice of coordinated planning, acquisition, management, integration, and dissemination of Federal ocean and coastal mapping (OCM) data and derivative products in a manner that permits easy access to and use by the greatest range of consumers. The IWG-OCM strives to adopt these practices throughout Federal mapping programs with the philosophy of “map once, use many times.” Successful implementation of the Act will improve Federal, state, and local government abilities to establish research and mapping priorities, advance ocean science, and advance coastal and marine spatial planning (marine planning) to sustain economic, ecological, and cultural resources for future generations.

Figure 1: The ocean and coastal mapping geographic extents
In general, the ocean and coastal zone includes inland estuarine and coastal drainage areas and extends seaward to include the 200 nautical mile exclusive economic zone (EEZ) for the Nation (see Figure 1) and the continental shelf (the extent of the U.S. continental shelf, as defined by the Law of Sea, is not depicted in Figure 1). This area will expand seaward to the limits of the U.S. Extended Continental Shelf (ECS), once the limit has been established.

Inventory Ocean and Coastal Mapping Data

Ocean and Coastal Mapping Integration Act:

(1) an inventory of ocean and coastal mapping data within the territorial sea and the exclusive economic zone and throughout the Continental Shelf of the United States, noting the age and source of the survey and the spatial resolution (metadata) of the data.

Certain mapping data are essential to support research, management, and planning efforts in the ocean and coastal zone. In November 2009, the IWG-OCM held a workshop to address the development of a National Mapping Plan, including the identification of critical framework data layers. These framework data include elevation – below and above the water – (bathymetry and coastal topography); imagery data describing surface characteristics such as land cover, built areas, and seafloor habitat; and geophysical data, such as seismic and shoreline data, describing the surface and subsurface of the seafloor. Workshop participants noted that geodetic control and cadastre information are also critical to support all ocean and coastal mapping efforts. The IWG-COM is focusing initially on these framework data, but will expand efforts to include other OCM data defined in the Act. While many agencies collect and distribute OCM data and derived products in support of national missions (see Table 1), there are a limited number of major Federal and academic repositories holding these public framework data. These repositories serve as stewards of public data, maintain descriptions of these data, and distribute data and derived products to a variety of users.

Federal ocean and coastal mapping agencies are developing an integrated and publically-accessible national inventory. This inventory will combine continually updated web services, developed and maintained by primary federal data repositories, utilizing common terminology. The resulting national inventory service will outline the geographic coverage for repository data and provide metadata (e.g., type of observation, date and method of collection, location) to obtain further information and download the data. One key characteristic of the integrated service is that it will dynamically reflect changes in the repositories as they occur. Another is that it will be standards-based to be sustainable and adapt to changes in technology. Figure 2 shows an example from an initial draft inventory containing bathymetric data from Federal and academic repositories.

Agencies tasked with collecting, archiving, assimilating, and disseminating data need to increase their cooperation and coordination and provide faster, easier, and more unified access to raw and processed data. In return, scientists and other data generators need to feed valuable, high quality data into the national system in a timely way. — An Ocean Blueprint for
Table 1: Summary of major ocean and coastal mapping framework data collections by agency/program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency/Program</th>
<th>Framework Data Collected</th>
<th>Mission Support</th>
<th>Public Availability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)</td>
<td>Elevation (bathymetry and topography), imagery, geophysical (seismic and shoreline)</td>
<td>Nautical charting, hydrographic and geospatial services for marine commerce; stewardship and protection of trust resources; protection and restoration of critical OCM habitats; observation and monitoring; mitigation of coastal hazards; research; delivery of and decision-maker access to relevant science, tools, products, and services</td>
<td>Data are publicly available within 3-18 months of collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)</td>
<td>Elevation (topography, bathymetry and navigation channel data), imagery</td>
<td>Operation and maintenance of coastal navigation and flood protection projects; regional sediment management; environmental protection, restoration, and stewardship; disaster preparation and response; and regulatory oversight</td>
<td>Data are publicly available within 3-12 months of collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, Regulation, and Enforcement (BOEMRE)</td>
<td>Elevation (bathymetry), geophysical (seismic)</td>
<td>To determine the environmental and production feasibility of leasing seafloor, the resource potential of the Outer Continental Shelf areas, and the fair market value of individual lease blocks prior to sale</td>
<td>Proprietary data public after 25 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Geological Survey (USGS)</td>
<td>Elevation (topography, bathymetry), imagery, geophysical (seismic)</td>
<td>National Map maintenance, geologic characterization of public lands, including submerged lands, scientific research and monitoring of earth processes to hazards assessments and the provision of interpretive maps of land cover, geology, and submerged habitats</td>
<td>Most underwater data are publically available within 3-18 months of collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academia Rolling-deck to Repository (R2R)</td>
<td>Elevation (bathymetry, topography), imagery, geophysical (seismic)</td>
<td>To support scientific research</td>
<td>Data are publicly available in 12-14 months, metadata in 6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA)</td>
<td>Elevation (topography)</td>
<td>To support the National Flood Insurance Program and FEMA Mitigation programs</td>
<td>Most data are available 1-3 years from date of collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td>Elevation (bathymetry)</td>
<td>To support national security</td>
<td>As much data as possible is made publicly available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Web map discovery of existing data is only one piece of the puzzle to enable planning, improve access, and ensure understanding of OCM data. In 2007, the IWG-OCM held a workshop to recommend an approach for creating a national inventory of planned, ongoing, and completed OCM field activities and a clearinghouse for OCM data. The workshop recommended Geospatial One-Stop (GOS), a geoportal created by the Federal Geographic Data Committee (FGDC) to provide public access to geospatial information as part of a U.S. e-government initiative. Metadata for OCM data is already included in GOS; planned field activities can be published to the GOS Marketplace, a section of the GOS metadata repository that connects data acquisition activity planners to each other and to those with unmet acquisition requirements. The OCM Inventory project provided encouragement and assistance to OCM organizations in publishing metadata to GOS. In the past three years, IWG-OCM members published over 25,000 new ocean and coastal metadata records to GOS in support of the OCM Inventory, including information about planned, completed, and desired federal data acquisition activities and the resulting datasets. GOS includes information from state and local governments; academic and private organizations; and individuals. The inventory of existing data based on dynamic web services of the primary Federal framework data repositories will be integrated into GOS. Integrating inventories of existing data with those of planned and desired surveys are essential for identifying data gaps that could lead to potential partners for cooperative projects in both the mapping and marine planning fields. Since implementing marine planning requires basic spatial data such as bathymetry and elevation to create the geographic information system (GIS) framework layers, identifying these data gaps will alert planners to areas where data is needed, and provide partnering opportunities in to acquire data to satisfy multiple data requirements.

All geospatial data - including OCM data - are referenced to locations on the Earth’s surface through a defined coordinate system. Geodetic surveys and data enable establishment of a consistent national
coordinate system - the National Spatial Reference System (NSRS) - that serves as the foundation for subsequent surveying, mapping, and charting in the U.S. and its territories. This consistent system of geodetic control (latitude, longitude, height, water level, scale, gravity, and orientation) throughout the Nation enables accurate registration of geospatial data collected with a variety of technologies, and extends across large areas and time scales.

In addition to the framework data, the OCM Inventory includes diverse data such as horizontal and vertical geodetic control data, water level measurements, and physical data supporting ecosystems-based management and the integration of data collected on- and off-shore. Metadata for each survey or dataset contain the basic information on the type, age, source, and acquisition to enable users to both assess the utility of data and access the data.

A priority during the next two years will be to identify, develop, and encourage the use of tools and vocabularies for metadata and standards-based web services that will make OCM data more readily discoverable and reusable. The primary Federal repositories will work together to adopt common methods and descriptions and to serve inventories of their data holdings through appropriate web services. These efforts will be coordinated with the development of a national information management system dedicated to coastal and marine scientific data to meet data needs for marine planning.

Identification of Priority Survey Areas

With intra- and interagency planning and coordination, overlapping agency mission requirements can be better integrated, prioritized, and managed to maximize the return on Federal investments in ocean and coastal mapping. Many Federal, state and private-sector customers rely on coastal, seafloor, and water column mapping data, and demand for these data is growing. The IWG-OCM supports intra- and interagency coordination by sponsoring workshops and meetings, and encouraging development of tools to sustain integrated planning. While the IWG-OCM has made progress, a suitably resourced program will enable coordinated planning, acquisition, processing, and delivery of integrated data and products and result in long-term cost savings with leveraged resources and multi-purposed data.

Ocean and coastal mapping framework data support the mapping applications required for marine planning to meet the Nation’s economic, and natural-resource preservation and management needs. For the purposes of this section, these mapping applications have been grouped into the following four, broad categories: (1) navigation, transportation, and security; (2) climate change and hazard resilience; (3) ecosystem-based management; and (4) energy siting and resource extraction. Each category requires different mapping standards, needs, and priorities. Federal agencies have historically established individual mapping priorities and data acquisition plans based in large part on their specific mandates,
With over 95,000 miles of coastline and the largest Exclusive Economic Zone in the world, our Nation benefits from a wealth of goods and services derived from the ocean, our coasts, and the Great Lakes. Final Recommendations of the Interagency Ocean Policy Task Force, July 19, 2010

responsible, available technologies, and resource levels. Within each category, the identification of priority survey areas, and the technologies required to survey these areas, will be driven by factors based on the broad mapping application of that category. The descriptions below and in Table 2 summarize the requirements according to OCM framework data and to “zones” defined as:

- Coastal Zone (shoreline to inland Ocean and Coastal Mapping boundary)
- Nearshore Zone (shoreline to 10 meters depth within State waters and territorial seas)
- State waters and territorial sea, includes the Great Lakes (10 meter depth out to 12 miles)
- EEZ and U.S. continental shelf as defined by the Law of the Sea treaty (12 miles to 200 miles and beyond)

These "zones" are somewhat imprecise and represent an operational definition that reflects (1) survey tools and capabilities required and (2) distinctions in user/stakeholder communities and their requirements across these zones. The terminology does not match any established legal zones or boundaries and is intended to categorize mapping needs and activities in a way that supports assessments of needs and gaps and enables the success of coordinated efforts to increase availability of data and products.

**Navigation, transportation, and security**

The U.S. Marine Transportation System moves over 95% of the volume of U.S. overseas trade. Current OCM data are essential to support this system. Several federal agencies are responsible for surveying and mapping U.S. waters to ensure the safety of navigation and transportation, as well as providing for the security of the nation. This includes the production and maintenance of the U.S. suite of print, raster, and electronic navigational charts and maps; the development and maintenance of the nation’s federal commercial waterways; maintenance of the national network of fixed and floating navigational aids supporting commercial and recreational maritime traffic; and global maritime geospatial intelligence in support of national objectives.

Modern technologies used for surveys in support of navigation, transportation, and security are designed to determine accurate elevations (e.g., building heights and shoal depths), detect hazards (such as terrestrial towers and underwater obstructions), and provide high resolution imagery for surface classification. These technologies include airborne lidar (Light Detection And Ranging), waterborne multibeam echosounder, side scan sonar, and satellite or airborne synthetic aperture radar and imagery.

Priority areas in need of modern surveys include access routes of the highest commercial and military value, including major shipping waterways, channels, and ports. These priority survey areas are located primarily along the coasts and within the State waters and territorial seas zone. An emerging priority area is the Arctic. As a result of climate change and other factors, Arctic sea ice is retreating at an unprecedented rate. Reduced sea ice creates opportunities for trans-Arctic shipping;
increased oil and gas exploration and extraction; tourism; and other uses that increase regional commercial and military vessel traffic.

While priority navigation channels are maintained on surveys collected at least annually, the Arctic is severely deficient in many of the marine transportation services that the Federal government extends to the rest of the Nation. The region has virtually no geospatial infrastructure for accurate positioning and elevations; sparse tide, current, and water-level observations and prediction coverage; obsolete shoreline and hydrographic data; and poor nautical charts. Most charted Arctic waters were surveyed with obsolete technology, some dating back to the 1800s. Most of the shoreline along Alaska’s northern and western coasts has not been mapped since 1960, and confidence in the nautical charts is extremely low. As a matter of priority, the Federal government has developed a multi-year survey plan to address the navigationally critical survey requirements for nautical charting in the Arctic.

Climate change and hazard resilience
Future climate-change-related impacts may include increased global temperatures; melting sea ice and glaciers; increased frequency of extreme weather events; lower lake levels; and rising sea levels that are expected to amplify the effects of other coastal hazards. The interdependence of ecosystems and economies makes coastal and Great Lakes communities increasingly vulnerable to natural and human-induced hazard impacts, including oil spills, harmful algal blooms, climate change, and severe weather risks. With 53% of the Nation’s population residing in coastal areas and 57% of the U.S. gross domestic product produced in coastal areas, the need for OCM data to enable communities to plan for, recover from, and adapt to natural and man-made hazards is acute. The Gulf of Mexico Alliance is one example of an integrated regional effort that holistically addresses the impacts of climate change and improves coastal hazard resilience (see description on page 16).

Priority mapping areas include the coastal and nearshore zones, State waters and territorial seas, and EEZ and U.S. continental shelf. Primary OCM data essential to support climate change and hazard resilience are topography and bathymetry (including nearshore data collected with lidar), side scan and multibeam sonar, imagery, and high-resolution seismic and other geophysical data. Other required OCM data include sediment coring and high-resolution satellite imagery (optical, land cover, and radar). Acquiring these data is the first step to assess the response and vulnerability of coastal systems to future natural and anthropogenic changes.

Ecosystem-based management
An ecosystem is a geographically-specified system of organisms (including humans), the environment, and the processes that control its dynamics. To more effectively assess the health of our ocean and coastal environment and determine the best way to maintain it, the ecosystem - its processes, its organisms, and its functions - must be taken into account. Marine, coastal, and riverine habitats are under increasing pressure from climate change, ocean acidification, unsustainable fishing practices, human population growth, onshore and offshore development, and extreme events like storms and oil spills. Ecosystem-based management integrates ecological goals with social, economic, commerce, health, and security goals, and recognizes humans as key components of the ecosystem and healthy ecosystems as essential to human well-being. Addressing the many regulatory and legal requirements
at all levels of government for environmental and resource management requires scientific understanding of the ecosystem processes. For example, Essential Fish Habitat and Habitat Areas of Particular Concern are designated as part of the fishery management process, and Critical Habitat is designated for species listed under the Endangered Species Act. These laws require periodic updates to develop and refine conservation and management programs. Many of these habitats are poorly mapped, which can lead to unnecessarily restrictive and outdated regulations intended to provide a precautionary buffer in the face of scientific uncertainty.

Mapping and characterization of the linkages among habitats, organisms, and ecosystems are key components of this scientific understanding and require bathymetric, topographic, geophysical, and imagery data framework. Because of the wide array of legal mandates and the magnitude of the data gaps, substantial needs for habitat mapping and characterization exist in all of the OCM zones. Priorities, data types, and applicable technologies differ regionally. Bathymetry and backscatter from multibeam and side scan sonar and other remote sensing technologies are often used for mapping, and can be combined with in situ sampling or observations for ground-truthing and biological sampling to characterize habitats. Requirements also differ in terms of temporal and spatial resolution. Often, high resolution information to support detailed, site-specific research and process studies can be complemented by regional mapping at lower resolution to extrapolate site-specific results.

Within the coastal zone, key habitats include marshes and other wetlands; submerged aquatic vegetation; living substrates, such as oyster reefs; and hard and soft bottoms. Priority survey areas for the nearshore and territorial sea include tropical and sub-tropical coral reefs and hard bottoms in all regions. Within the EEZ and U.S. continental shelf, priority areas include shelf and slope habitats such as banks and canyons (supporting many important fishery stocks), the untrawlable habitat over rough bottoms, and deep coral (required to be mapped by the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Reauthorization Act).
**Energy siting and resource extraction**

Coastal regions increasingly face competing management uses of ocean and coastal resources. Decision-makers require access to the relevant science, tools, products, and services to assess the impact of decisions within a science-based framework. As defined in the *Final Recommendations of the Interagency Ocean Policy Task Force*, marine planning is “a comprehensive, adaptive, integrated, ecosystem based, and transparent spatial planning process, based on sound science, for analyzing current and anticipated uses of ocean, coastal, and Great Lakes areas.” Marine planning “identifies areas most suitable for various types or classes of activities in order to reduce conflicts among uses, reduce environmental impacts, facilitate compatible uses, and preserve critical ecosystem services to meet economic, environmental, security, and social objectives.” Marine planning is one tool increasingly used to manage energy siting and resource extraction in the ocean and coastal zone. OCM data comprise the framework enabling marine planning.

Within the energy siting and resource extraction category, the primary OCM data required are bathymetry and seafloor imagery (typically seismic and side scan sonar), and waves and currents data seaward of the Submerged Lands Act (SLA) boundary. Federal regulation relies primarily on proprietary data collected by industry to assess resources. The types of energy and resource uses regulated, permitted, and leased on such Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) lands include sand and gravel for shoreline replenishment, oil and gas development, and renewable wind and hydrokinetic development. Oil, gas and hydrokinetic development may occur at almost any depth, while sand, gravel and wind power development currently rely on relatively shallow areas. Sand and gravel resources are typically determined through high resolution (high frequency, shallow penetration) 2-dimensional (2D) seismic surveys, which are also utilized for almost all renewable energy projects. 3-dimensional (3D) seismic surveys penetrate deep into the seabed revealing the underlying structure, providing useful information for a variety of resource, construction, and hazard research efforts. In addition to the needs for seismic data, bathymetric data are essential for all energy siting and resource extraction applications.

While the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, Regulation, and Enforcement (BOEMRE) relies primarily on industry or state/Federal partners who acquire seismic and bathymetric survey data, there are funds available for acquisition and analysis of existing datasets. As a condition of the permits, however, survey data provided by the oil and gas industry is proprietary for 25 years before public release (except with permission of an individual permittee).
### Table 2: Summary of priority mapping requirements by category

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zone</th>
<th>Navigation, transportation, security</th>
<th>Climate change and hazard resiliency</th>
<th>Ecosystem-based management</th>
<th>Energy siting and resource extraction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zone</td>
<td>Primarily Coastal Zone, Nearshore Zone, and within the State water and territorial sea, but also extending into the EEZ</td>
<td>Coastal Zone, Nearshore Zone, State waters and territorial sea, and EEZ</td>
<td>Coastal Zone, Nearshore Zone, State waters and territorial seas, and EEZ</td>
<td>On the continental shelf, seaward of the Submerged Lands Act boundary</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OCM Framework Data</th>
<th>Elevation (bathymetry, topography), imagery (aerial)</th>
<th>Elevation (bathymetry, topography, imagery (aerial), geophysical data</th>
<th>Elevation (bathymetry, topography)</th>
<th>Elevation (bathymetry), geophysical (seismic)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other OCM Data</td>
<td>Imagery (satellite and radar), bottom samples, geodetic control, water level</td>
<td>Sediment coring, vibracores, high-resolution satellite imagery (optical, land cover, and radar)</td>
<td>In-situ and biological sampling, ocean color, temperature, and surface winds</td>
<td>Water level, currents, and environmental</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Use | Determine accurate distances, detect hazardous obstructions, surface classification, calculate dredging volumes, quantify erosion and accretion | Assess the response and vulnerability of coastal systems to natural and anthropogenic changes | Address legal mandates, assessments, identification of resources at risk from hazardous material release | Study, assess, regulate, permit and lease OCS lands for shoreline replenishment, resource development |

## Integrated Mapping Resources and Requirements

Ocean and Coastal Mapping Integration Act:

1. a resource plan that identifies when priority areas in need of modern ocean and coastal mapping surveys can be accomplished;
2. a statement of resource requirements for organizations to meet the goals of the program, including technology needs for data acquisition, processing, and distribution systems;

Federal agencies have historically planned and conducted ocean and coastal mapping activities based on specific requirements, mandates, and available funding. Recognizing the need to improve coordination, the IWG-OCM is attempting to identify mapping requirements and resources across the Federal government. This task is in the beginning stages and will mature as the integrated OCM is resourced and plans can be synthesized.

The foundation of the U.S. maritime economy is the Marine Transportation System (MTS) and the Nation’s nautical charts and related hydrographic information produced and maintained by the Federal government. Actions to maintain the MTS include shoreline delineation, dredging operations, regional
sediment management activities, water level and currents information. The Nation’s MTS survey requirements are reprioritized periodically to address the dynamic trends in waterborne commerce and the ability to produce more detailed hydrographic survey coverage utilizing modern technologies.

Maintaining accurate nautical charts of ever-changing shorelines and seafloors within a reasonable timeframe requires resurveying navigationally significant seafloor areas every 50 years and open shoreline areas every 10 years. Current resource levels support approximately 33% completion of both the seafloor and shoreline survey goals. Shoreline data generated for the purpose of nautical charting constitute the National Shoreline. NOAA reviews survey priorities in the National Hydrographic Survey Plan and publishes new editions annually. Hydrographic surveys for priority federally authorized navigation channels are collected at least annually and before and after dredging operations. To improve management, regional surveys are planned every 2 years to better depict regional sediment transport and develop an understanding of impacts to navigation channel shoaling.

Other OCM requirements, including climate change, hazard resilience, and habitat mapping frequently rely on navigation mapping resources or surveys of opportunity. Habitat mapping and characterization within the agencies responsible for managing living resources frequently occur through partnerships with other agencies or programs requiring OCM information or with academic and private-sector partners that possess the complementary skills and technical assets. Development of a sustained mapping program and plan is hampered by intermittent funding and differing partner priorities. Costs differ widely, depending on the scale and habitat types being mapped. Mapping is only one component of the costs of habitat characterization. Additional field work may be required for biological sampling, ground-truthing of acoustic maps, and for generating and distributing specific products, such as digital maps in a geographic information system (GIS). Table 3 describes ocean and coastal mapping resources and requirements by individual federal mapping agencies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Mapping Category*</th>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Data acquired</th>
<th>Goal acquisition</th>
<th>Actual capability</th>
<th>Average cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BOEMRE</td>
<td>Energy siting and resource extraction</td>
<td>BOEMRE does not have a mapping plan. Most seismic or bathymetric data is obtained from industry and is dependent on interest in particular areas that may be available for future resource development.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2-D seismic $1/LM, 3-D $27-$43 / block</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEMA</td>
<td>Climate change and hazard resiliency</td>
<td>Provide updated coastal flood hazard data for the National Flood Insurance Plan</td>
<td>Lidar, where not already available from other sources</td>
<td>$20M in FY10</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>$20M in FY10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOAA</td>
<td>Navigation, transportatio n and security</td>
<td>Re-survey hydrographic areas every 50 years and open shoreline every 10 years</td>
<td>Hydrography: Acoustic &amp; lidar Shoreline: Photography</td>
<td>10,000 SNM per year, 95,000 LM per year</td>
<td>3,000 SNM per year, 3,100 LM per year</td>
<td>$18K/ Square Nautical Mile, $2.7K/ Linear Mile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency</td>
<td>Resources and Requirements span all mapping categories</td>
<td>Ecosystem-based management</td>
<td>Climate change and hazard resiliency</td>
<td>Climate change and hazard resiliency</td>
<td>Resources and Requirements span all mapping categories</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPS</td>
<td>Map submerged habitats and associated natural and cultural resources</td>
<td>To consistently / comprehensively map distribution of benthic habitats; cultural resources</td>
<td>Map coastal bathymetry, topography and geologic framework</td>
<td>Map coastal bathymetry, topography and geologic framework</td>
<td>Provide regional scale data to support operations, maintenance, regulatory, construction, environmental, and emergency management activities in the coastal zone every 2 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USACE</td>
<td>Collect a wide array of data types to meet diverse DOI agencies requirements for geospatial information keyed to 1) agency science mission needs, 2) agency natural resource management mission needs, and 3) a diverse set of partnering opportunities</td>
<td>Multibeam Echosounder, side scan sonar, ground truth species surveys</td>
<td>Acoustic, lidar, satellite imagery</td>
<td>Acoustic, lidar, satellite imagery</td>
<td>Acoustic, seismic, topographic lidar, aerial imagery, satellite imagery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USGS</td>
<td>Acoustic, seismic, topographic lidar, aerial imagery, satellite imagery</td>
<td>USGS programs maintain and continually update mapping acquisition plans</td>
<td>USGS programs maintain and continually update mapping acquisition plans</td>
<td>USGS programs maintain and continually update mapping acquisition plans</td>
<td>Varies greatly by mission need and survey technology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The mapping categories defined on page 8 are (1) navigation, transportation and security, (2) climate change and hazard resilience, (3) ecosystem-based management, and 4) energy siting and resource extraction.

Additional resource requirements exist across all OCM agencies. Ocean and coastal mapping technologies continue to evolve, enabling acquisition of more high-resolution data describing the coast, seafloor, and water column. One of the primary challenges is the shortage of trained personnel to support data acquisition and processing, particularly in programs other than nautical charting and hydrography. New state-of-the-art multibeam mapping systems require that operators be trained in survey planning, at-sea data acquisition and processing practices, as well as post-processing techniques in order to fully realize the maximum benefits from these systems. Training in consistent data acquisition, processing, and data/metadata management practices is critical in order to utilize and generate useful and reliable products.
Technological advances in autonomous and unmanned vehicles have the potential to revolutionize the collection of the nation’s mapping data. While still under development, these vehicles could, for some mapping and assessment activities, greatly increase mapping data acquisition efficiencies by orders of magnitude through extended on-site operational times and minimal personnel requirements. These technologies can map areas difficult to survey with traditional methods, including near-shore coastal areas and intertidal zones. These zones are critical for developing accurate tsunami, storm surge, flood plain, circulation, and sediment transport models for hazard planning/resiliency and sea-level-rise mitigation. Technological advances and improved efficiency and collaboration will address some of the nation’s unmet mapping needs; however, the need for basic data collection far exceeds that which can be accomplished using savings associated with greater operational coordination and more efficient processing, interpretation, and distribution of collected information.

In order for Federal mapping programs to meet present and future mandates, the need for basic mapping, coordination, and infrastructure resources must be addressed. The plans to be developed by the National Ocean Council provide one option for addressing these needs, as one of the National Priority Objectives stated in the final report of the Interagency Ocean Policy Task Force deals with mapping (“Ocean, Coastal, and Great Lakes Observations, Mapping, and Infrastructure”).

### Integrated Mapping Projects and Results

**Ocean and Coastal Mapping Integration Act:**

(5) a description of any products resulting from coordinated mapping efforts under this subtitle that improve public understanding of the coasts and oceans, or regulatory decision making;

There are many excellent examples of ocean and coastal maps produced through interagency coordination. These models demonstrate the advantages of coordination and it is therefore envisioned that the lessons learned will become common practice across the mapping community. Successful projects detailed in this section include the Gulf of Mexico Alliance’s Master Mapping Plan, California and Massachusetts Seafloor Mapping projects, post-storm elevation mapping in the northern Gulf of Mexico, land cover mapping, and America Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) funded coastal mapping projects. While project specific efforts vary, making products and data available to the public
and regulators will help improve public understanding of the oceans and coasts as well as play a role in regulatory decision making.

**Gulf of Mexico Alliance**

The Gulf of Mexico Alliance (Alliance) was formalized by an agreement among the Gulf state Governors to identify opportunities to enhance the ecological and economic health of the Gulf of Mexico (GOM) Region. To support the Alliance’s efforts to address priority coastal and ocean issues and integrate federal efforts, representatives from 13 Federal agencies formed the Gulf of Mexico Regional Partnership Federal Workgroup. Key issues facing the region include the increased threat due to hurricane activity, contaminant risk from off-shore exploration, dramatic landscape change resulting in reduced regional hurricane protection, and the impacts of increased human populations on coastal wetlands and barrier islands. Many Alliance priorities require robust mapping solutions, so Federal workgroup, state, and local Alliance partners have undertaken several efforts to integrate mapping activities, data, and map products for decision makers. The Alliance Governors’ Action Plan for Healthy and Resilient Coasts focused on the inventory and integration of habitat, topographic, and bathymetric data for the Gulf of Mexico. These datasets were assimilated in online decision-support tools that utilized standard communication protocols to pull data from databases at different agencies into a single mapping portal. The Alliance Governors’ Action Plan II continues these efforts and adds a comprehensive Gulf of Mexico Master Mapping Plan (GMMMP) that will provide a coordinated approach for the acquisition of physical and environmental datasets to support the activities of all the Alliance priority issue teams. The GMMMP team has identified requirements for mapping in the Gulf of Mexico and is currently identifying mapping activities planned in the Gulf over the next 10 years, both leveraging activities of the IWG-OCM. Ultimately, the GMMMP will facilitate collaboration among federal, state, local, and academic mapping partners in the Gulf to ensure that all mapping requirements of Gulf stakeholders are met in a timely and cost-effective manner. In the wake of the Deepwater Horizon oil spill, the partnerships forged through Alliance activities in general and mapping activities in particular have served the region well and will continue to do so throughout the recovery and restoration phases of the disaster. These efforts will support the strategy developed by the Gulf Coast Ecosystem Restoration Task Force.

**California Seafloor Mapping**

The California Seafloor Mapping Project (CSMP) is a multi-agency partnership between the State of California, Federal mapping agencies, and the private-sector to develop the first comprehensive and seamless maps of California’s seafloor and marine resources. CSMP is overseen by the California Coastal Conservancy in partnership with the California State University at Monterey Bay, Moss Landing Marine Laboratory, and the California Geological Survey. The Federal Government - using private-sector hydrographic services contracts - acquired, processed, and archived high-resolution bathymetry and acoustic backscatter data along with near-shore bathymetric and topographic lidar data that will facilitate

![Figure 4: Geomorphology of the mouth of San Francisco Bay, CSMP](image)
the development of seamless coastal digital elevation models (see Figure 4). The data are ground-truthed and developed into geologic and habitat base maps.

The data acquired and products developed are enhancing efforts to manage marine ecosystems and coastal resources, identify obstructions to navigation, and better understand the California coast’s natural hazards. The data and products will be used to update nautical charts along the California coast, support the designation and monitoring of marine reserves and marine protected areas, manage marine fisheries, regulate coastal development, improve the understanding of sediment transport and coastal erosion, improve coastal circulation models, improve assessments of earthquake and tsunami hazards, and help prepare for sea-level rise impacts. This integrated ocean and coastal mapping effort has provided approximately 7,550 square kilometers (2,200 square nautical miles) of high-resolution multibeam bathymetric data along California’s coast out to three nautical miles. The 2006 West Coast Governors’ Agreement (WCGA) on Ocean Health, which launched a new, proactive regional collaborative effort to protect and manage the ocean and coastal resources along the entire West Coast, has benefited directly from this effort. Marine planning is a valuable initiative in support of this agreement. Survey and other geospatial activities in support of the WCGA and the federal government’s diverse ocean and coastal mapping have been extended north into Oregon state waters.

Massachusetts Mapping Partnership
The Massachusetts Office of Coastal Zone Management, working with the Federal Government, initiated the Seafloor Mapping Cooperative in 2003 to address the need to acquire datasets on the spatial distribution of benthic habitats to help resource management. The goal is to comprehensively map the topography and geology of the seafloor in Massachusetts (see Figure 5). By June 2008, the seafloor environment was mapped from the New Hampshire border to Cape Cod Bay. Regional, high-resolution geologic mapping of the seafloor environment is accomplished using side scan sonar, interferometric sonar (bathymetry), and sub-bottom profiling, resulting in contiguous and detailed geospatial data and maps of surficial sediment distribution (backscatter intensity and interpretation), seabed topography (bathymetry), and underlying geology (seismic reflection). Sediment samples, underwater video, and bottom photographs are collected to verify that changes in sonar backscatter reflect variations in sediment distribution (i.e., silt vs. sand vs. gravel vs. rock/bedrock). The resulting products of the partnership are regional reports that include maps of shaded-relief seafloor topography and acoustic backscatter intensity, along with interpretative geologic maps, a catalog of data and imagery, and a geospatial database that can be accessed and managed via geographic information system (GIS) software. The reports contain detailed descriptions of the data collection, processing, and accuracy assessment, as well as the analysis procedures and

Figure 5: Bathymetry for regions mapped by the Massachusetts Mapping Partnership
GIS data layers used to create the interpretative maps. All GIS data have Federal Geographic Data Committee FGDC compliant metadata.

**Post-hurricane elevation mapping**
An example of excellent interagency mapping coordination is the collaboration of Federal agency coastal programs in which all post-Katrina and post-Rita lidar data are being assembled into a seamless 1/9-arc-second (3-meter) elevation dataset. This topographic dataset includes lidar data collected by federal, state, and local government entities in the Gulf Coast region. These data, along with the existing 1/9-arc-second elevation dataset developed from pre-Katrina lidar collections, will be useful for characterizing vegetative, hydrologic, and geomorphic changes to the landscape resulting from the hurricanes. The data integration and mapping techniques being applied in the coordinated construction of a topographic/bathymetric map of the Gulf of Mexico region were previously developed and refined for Tampa Bay, North Carolina, Delaware Bay, San Francisco Bay, and Puget Sound. The recently completed vertical datum transformation tool (VDatum) for the northern Gulf Coast is essential to produce a high quality, seamless dataset. (VDatum is a new software tool designed to transform geospatial data between a variety of vertical tidal, orthometric, and ellipsoidal datums – allowing users to convert data from different reference systems into a common dataset.) Advanced lidar elevation data collected at the land-water interface is providing a high-resolution, high-accuracy swath of data at the shoreline to which the other topographic and bathymetric datasets can be tied.

**Multipurpose Marine Cadastre**
The Multipurpose Marine Cadastre (MMC) is a multi-agency endeavor to make cadastral and other data layers from authoritative sources available for a variety of needs. Data layers include the jurisdictional layers showing the areas of responsibility for all Federal agencies, as well as some navigational and marine infrastructure, geo-regulations, agency regions, human use, geology and seafloor, and some habitat and biodiversity data layers. Data are available through partnerships and case studies. Most of the partners are members of the FGDC Marine Boundary Working Group. It was from this group that the MMC was envisioned and adopted. The intent of the project is to support renewable energy projects as directed by Section 388 of the Energy Policy Act of 2005. It also is being considered as one of the tools for marine planning as outlined in the Final Recommendations of the Interagency Ocean Policy Task Force. The web-based service includes viewing capability, map creation and printing, and interactive tools used to identify, measure, or draw an area of interest. This service is meant to provide screening level information to start the process of decision making for multi-use of areas, avoidance of environmentally sensitive areas, or other planning purposes.

**National Land Cover mapping**
The Coastal Change Analysis Program (C-CAP) produces a nationally standardized database of land cover and land change information for U.S. coastal regions. These products contain inventories of coastal intertidal areas, wetlands, and adjacent uplands. The goal is to monitor these habitats by updating the land cover maps every five years. C-CAP products highlight coastal landscape changes, and provide data for land use planning and habitat assessment. C-CAP produces the coastal component to the National Land Cover Database.
ARRA funded efforts
Numerous Federal mapping agencies received ARRA funding for ocean and coastal mapping efforts to accelerate mapping along U.S. shorelines. Participating agencies shared plans and specifications, identified areas for collaboration, and eliminated duplication of effort. As a result, IWG-OCM member agencies were able to map many areas of the West, Gulf, and East Coasts in a single calendar year using a combination of ARRA, hurricane emergency supplemental, and regular program funding. ARRA funding also enabled many navigation projects that had not recently received the Operations and Maintenance appropriations necessary for channel maintenance. The bathymetric, topographic, and imagery data afforded by this effort provide a unique snapshot of the nation’s coasts and coastal infrastructure against which to measure the impacts of expected changes in sea level in the coming years.

Data and Documentation Requirements

The IWG-OCM supports a “whole ocean” approach to management and planning, leveraging limited resources by identifying common mapping requirements across programs and agencies. Essential aspects of integrated OCM include the ability to acquire and process data for multiple uses; the ability to generate products meeting differing requirements; the ability to deliver data, derived products, and supporting metadata in a manner to enable integration; and the tools to turn oceans of data into relevant information supporting ecosystem-based decision making. Protecting the data collection investment starts at the planning phase and continues through acquisition, processing, archiving, distribution, integration, and delivery of information. Modern data management and effective long-term stewardship enables the “map once, use many times” goal of the IWG-OCM.

The 2004 National Research Council (NRC) report, A Geospatial Framework for the Coastal Zone, recommended that all thematic and other value-added products adhere to predetermined standards to make them universally accessible and transferable, and that all sources should supply digital data accompanied by appropriate metadata. One aspect of this recommendation requires the development of minimum data acquisition and metadata standards to ensure that data acquired in support of a particular requirement can be used to support a range of additional requirements. Further, the 2004 Ocean Blueprint for the 21st Century calls for the development of a 21st century data management infrastructure to provide faster, easier, and more unified access to raw and processed data; it also recognizes the challenge of processing these data and converting them into information products useful to a broad community of end users. Aspects of this 21st century data and data management foundation either do not exist or are not fully resourced, leaving significant data collection investments at risk or processed for single purpose use only. However, one-time ARRA funding and synergy with other OCM efforts, such as the multi-agency U.S. Extended Continental Shelf Project, the National Geospatial
Program, Digital Coast (a federal and coastal community mapping data sharing partnership), Navigation and Coastal Data Bank, and the Ocean Exploration Program addressed some data management gap issues.

Data standards

Interagency efforts are underway to develop common specifications for airborne coastal mapping and charting data. A multi-year effort to develop a national habitat classification standard is progressing. The National Geospatial Program’s Standards Review Board examines proposed documents for publication and maintains subsequent revisions of these mapping standards. Examples of existing standards are the Digital Elevation Model Standard, Digital Line Graph Standard, Digital Raster Graphic Standard, and the National Hydrography Dataset Standard (currently in preparation). Additional efforts will be required to develop common acoustic bathymetric data specifications that will ensure that bathymetric data acquired by one program or agency can be used to support multiple federal, state and regional applications. The following are selected recent examples of progress for developing and adopting common data standards.

**Common Specifications for Airborne Coastal Mapping and Charting Data** – Beginning in late 2009, an increase in the rate of lidar data collection due to ARRA funding for The National Map made it imperative that a single data specification be implemented to ensure consistency and improve data utility. Federal agencies are building consensus on and agreeing to use a set of common lidar specifications for coastal mapping. The Joint Airborne Lidar Bathymetry Technical Center of Expertise (JALBTCX) initiated a series of workshops to identify common standards for airborne coastal mapping and charting data. The first workshop focused on building consensus among the five federal agencies with mandates for coastal mapping and charting. This workshop resulted in: (1) a metadata template for airborne coastal mapping and charting data; (2) a standard format for sharing and archiving airborne elevation data including lidar waveforms; (3) recommendations for quality assurance and control of airborne coastal mapping and charting data; and (4) a document identifying each agency’s specification for airborne coastal mapping and charting and highlighting those upon which workshop participants reached consensus. The second workshop included members of academia and the private sector with a focus on application-driven requirements for coastal lidar mapping. A draft common specification document was published in June 2010, capturing the workshop results to date. These summits allowed agencies to understand their counterpart’s requirements, identify areas for developing common survey specifications, and build consensus on priorities. To support the National Elevation Dataset, the National Geospatial Program (NGP) is developing a specification that emphasizes source lidar point cloud data handling. The specification will ensure that data remains intact and viable to support a wide variety of elevation and non-elevation uses. Although this specification was funded by the ARRA stimulus, it will remain durable beyond ARRA-funded NGP projects. Follow-up workshops will examine the suitability of the specification for other coastal zone applications, most notably data accuracy for the modeling of climate change impacts.

**Habitat Classification Standard** – An essential companion to habitat mapping efforts is a classification standard that accurately describes the nature of biological, oceanographic, and geological features. Utilizing commonly understood and accepted terms to delineate “what’s out there” is a prerequisite for
coastal and ocean governance activities such as planning for climate change, mitigating hazard impacts, and sustainably managing coastal and ocean resources. Over the past decade, scientists from federal, state and regional agencies, academia, industry, and non-governmental organizations developed and tested the Coastal and Marine Ecological Classification Standard. In 2008, the draft standard was submitted to the FGDC as a national standard candidate for classifying coastal and marine ecosystems. A revised version undergoing internal FGDC technical review in preparation for the standard’s release for public comment is expected to be finalized in 2011.

Metadata standards
In addition to the JALBTCX metadata template for airborne coastal mapping and charting data, other marine geophysical metadata efforts are underway. The data management team of the interagency U.S. Extended Continental Shelf (ECS) project, in collaboration with academia, developed common metadata templates for cruise-level, seismic, and bathymetric data description. The ECS team developed and identified best practices for metadata and is developing or adopting consistent vocabularies for a variety of marine geophysical data. In 2010, Federal agencies initiated a review of marine data acquisition procedures, including evaluating the University-National Oceanographic Laboratory Systems (UNOLS) R2R (Rolling Deck to Repository) and on-board data description models for potential fleet-wide application. The application of standards-based geospatial metadata, such as that defined through the International Organization of Standards or the associated FGDC profile to the International Organization of Standards, along with discipline accepted controlled vocabularies and ontologies, will improve the discovery, sharing, use and long-term preservation of OCM data. Additionally, advances are being made in Sensor Web Enablement with metadata standards geared toward capturing information about the characteristics of sensors and sensor systems and about how they are applied. These advances help ensure that sensor quality assurance and data quality control information are captured and available with the data.

New approaches to ocean mapping data processing
In addition to the development of data and metadata standards, cooperative efforts to improve data processing continue to enhance ocean and coastal mapping. For example, the research and development themes of the Center for Ocean and Coastal Mapping/Joint Hydrographic Center (CCOM/JHC) include new approaches to ocean mapping data processing and to data visualization, presentation, and management. The Center’s efforts have improved the efficiency and quality of hydrographic and ocean mapping data processing. The Combined Uncertainty and Bathymetry Estimation data processing algorithm, which reduces manual multibeam data processing time by orders of magnitude, has been incorporated into most commercial ocean mapping data processing software. Hydrographic organizations around the world have also adopted the algorithm. Similarly, the GEOCODER seafloor backscatter processing technology has been commercialized in multiple software packages and is now widely used to produce seafloor character maps. Ongoing development is aimed at achieving additional improvements in the ability to derive multiple mapping products from ocean mapping data and the ability to disseminate OCM data to a wider variety of end users.

Another research effort, the Coastal Zone Mapping and Imaging Lidar (CZMIL) development, has recently released a beta version of its Data Processing System (DPS), which is designed for automated
processing of bathymetric lidar, topographic lidar, aerial photography, and hyperspectral imagery. The new automated approach reduces user interaction required during data processing, both speeding processing and guaranteeing consistency in the quality of final products. CZMIL DPS processes these data streams using state-of-the-art algorithms to produce a variety of GIS-ready information products for the coastal zone, including elevation and bathymetric surfaces for modeling storm surge or other sea-level change impacts, and habitat maps on land and on the seafloor. Early CZMIL software developments have already been implemented for coastal mapping operations to address critical issues for airborne coastal mapping and charting such as: very shallow-water depth discrimination, spectral optimization, 3D visualization and editing, and rapid aerial photo mosaicing. CZMIL DPS is highly portable and can be fine-tuned to process data from bathymetric lidar, topographic lidar, aerial camera, and hyperspectral imager, singly, or in any combination.

**Ensuring the preservation of data**
Preserving data and enabling access to data is a key aspect of ocean and coastal mapping. To ensure stewardship of and access to marine data, academia and the federal government are laying the foundation for an R2R data system to transfer data from surveys and research ships to the NOAA National Data Centers for long-term archive and standards-based distribution. The UNOLS R2R system, recently approved by the National Science Foundation (NSF), utilizes expertise at academic science centers to process and describe data to appropriate standards and generate derived products. The ultimate goal is to deliver integrated dynamic inventories based on robust data archives, enhanced access to data and derived products, and improved management support with integrated products, information, and tools. These efforts address actions called for in the 2004 NRC report, *A Geospatial Framework for the Coastal Zone, 2004 Ocean Blueprint for the 21st Century*, and the 2009 *Interim Report of the Interagency Ocean Policy Task Force*.

---

**Ocean and Coastal Mapping Integration Act:**

*(4) the status of efforts to produce integrated digital maps of ocean and coastal areas;*

*(7) a statement of the status of federal efforts to leverage mapping technologies, coordinate mapping activities, share expertise, and exchange data;*

*(9) a statement of the status of efforts to declassify data gathered by the Navy, the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency, and other agencies to the extent possible without jeopardizing national security, and make it available to partner agencies and the public;*

*(11) the status of efforts to coordinate federal programs with coastal state and local government programs and leverage those programs;*

---

**Status of Current OCM Activities**

**Integrated digital maps**
The first step toward production of integrated digital maps is creating an inventory of agency framework data from regional to international levels. The inventory is used to locate the information that will be
integrated. The U.S. Government supports efforts to inventory and process data and generate integrated digital maps at regional, national, and international levels, including hosting the International Hydrographic Organization (IHO) Data Centre for Digital Bathymetry (DCDB). The IHO DCDB serves the overall objective of preserving bathymetric soundings from member nations and developing an authoritative, publicly-available gridded bathymetric database suitable for a range of products and uses. The U.S. Government hosts the DCDB and is working to expand available data; enhance metadata; improve online inventories, search and retrieval tools; and simplify data contribution steps for participating organizations.

The DCDB is an important component of the General Bathymetric Chart of the Oceans (GEBCO), which operates under the joint auspices of the IHO and the Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission (IOC). During the period of this report, the U.S. Government, represented by NOAA, is the current Vice-Chair of the GEBCO Guiding Committee. The U.S. is also involved in capacity building in digital elevation model development through partnership with GEBCO and the Nippon Foundation, with the goal of establishing regional centers of digital elevation model expertise in the developing world that can collaborate on digital elevation model development challenges and research. In the spirit of “build once – use many times” the U.S. digital elevation models are made available to the IHO DCDB with a common vertical datum that is of broad international use. The U.S. also supports the GEBCO Digital Atlas and the GEBCO Gazetteer of Undersea Feature Names.

Collaborative efforts on improved, integrated regional digital maps are also underway. One such example is the national digital elevation model priority list established by the National Tsunami Hazard Mitigation Program (NTHMP). The NTHMP is a partnership between several federal agencies and the 28 U.S. Coastal States Territories, and Commonwealths, designed to reduce the impact of tsunamis through hazard assessment, warning guidance, and mitigation. The NTHMP partners developed a common set of best practices and requirements for coastal digital elevation models and inundation maps and are collaborating on the planning and development of these products based on the national priorities. The resultant data are publically available through an online portal.

**Leveraging resources and improving coordination**

The U.S. Commission on Ocean Policy released its final report, *An Ocean Blueprint for the 21st Century*, in 2004. Many of the findings and recommendations have been reiterated and endorsed in subsequent reports. One key recommendation from the Commission is “Coordination of the many existing federal mapping activities is necessary to increase efficiency and help ensure that all necessary surveys are conducted.... However, to significantly improve U.S. management of oceans and coasts and make ecosystem-based management a reality, the nation will need to commit to greater investments in ocean science, engineering, exploration, observations, infrastructure, and data management.” Since September of 2007, the IWG-OCM and participating federal agencies have undertaken several tasks to improve coordination, increase data sharing, and increase efficiency, including hosting several technical and planning workshops with the participation of federal and state governments, academia, and industry. Some highlights include: the establishment of a national center for ocean mapping expertise; advances in lidar data collection and description; the U.S. ECS data collection and description efforts; a joint center for collaboration among federal agencies in airborne lidar bathymetry sensors, processing, mapping...
operations, research, and product generation; and improved data discovery through the GOS Marketplace. These efforts will be built upon as the new governance structure under the National Ocean Council implements the National Ocean Policy and develops plans for the priority objectives specified by the Ocean Policy Task Force in its Final Recommendations, such as marine planning, Inform Decisions and Improve Understanding, and Ocean, Coastal and Great Lakes Observations, Mapping and Infrastructure.

**Center for Coastal and Ocean Mapping / Joint Hydrographic Center** – Guided by a Memorandum of Understanding with the Federal Government, the CCOM / JHC is an established University of New Hampshire program aimed at creating a national center for expertise in ocean mapping and hydrographic sciences. The CCOM expands the scope of interaction and cooperation with the private sector, other government agencies, and universities. The centers focus their activities on three major tasks: an educational task aimed at creating a learning center that will promote and foster the education of a new generation of hydrographers and ocean mapping scientists; a research task aimed at developing and evaluating a wide range of state-of-the-art hydrographic and ocean mapping technologies and applications; and an outreach task aimed at expanding the scope of ocean mapping clients. The JHC academic partnership concept offers a valuable mechanism for effective, albeit indirect federal liaison with private industry. Ongoing development is aimed at achieving additional improvements in the ability to derive multiple mapping products from ocean mapping data and the ability to disseminate IOCM data to a wider variety of users.

**Advancing lidar through National Center for Airborne Laser Mapping** – For the purpose of ocean and coastal mapping there are two types of lidar: bathymetric lidar that uses a laser wavelength that can penetrate water with enough power to reflect from the seafloor, and topographic lidar with a much higher pulse rate and smaller footprint. The National Center for Airborne Laser Mapping (NCALM) promotes the application of topographic Airborne Laser Swath Mapping (ALSM) technology in the scientific community and is operated jointly by the Department of Civil & Environmental Engineering, Cullen College of Engineering, University of Houston; and the Department of Earth and Planetary Science, University of California-Berkeley.

NCALM’s primary goals are to: make ALSM widely available at affordable cost to the national research community, advance both technology and the scientific discoveries, track and evaluate other geosensing and remote sensing technologies that complement ALSM, develop methods for multi-sensor data collection and fusion, and provide a training ground for students to meet the rapidly growing needs of industry and academia. NCALM provides investigators project planning services, ALSM flight and ground crew operations, technical support for data analysis, and access to computational resources and software to produce high accuracy, high spatial resolution digital topographic data for areas selected through the competitive NSF proposal review process.

**Collaboration in airborne coastal mapping and charting** – JALBTCX is a partnership in airborne coastal mapping and charting among federal agencies with operational, research, and developmental missions in airborne lidar bathymetry and complementary technologies. The partnership began informally with the Scanning Hydrographic Operational Airborne Lidar Survey (SHOALS) sensor development effort in
the mid-1980’s and has evolved into a brick-and-mortar establishment supported by a general collaborative agreement that formalizes agency partnership. Since the beginning of the partnership, participating agencies have led the development of 3 airborne lidar bathymetry sensor suites, 3 major upgrades to those suites, and are currently finalizing development of the next-generation of airborne sensors called Coastal Zone Mapping and Imaging Lidar (CZMIL). By 2011, the partnership will boast 9 bathymetric lidar sensors, 4 hyperspectral cameras, 4 multispectral cameras, and 4 photogrammetric cameras to tackle the collaborative mapping goals of the agencies. The partners have surveyed waters in all U.S. coastal states and 15 countries worldwide using bathymetric lidar. JALBTCX partners collaborate on algorithm development, sensor optimization, operational techniques, data processing, product generation, and sensor and data fusion. Software to support lidar and imagery data collection has advanced from single point processing to highly-automated, parallelized, data fusion algorithms that take raw sensor data to final information product with little to no user interaction. JALBTCX holds annual meetings to coordinate airborne coastal mapping and charting activities among the partner agencies, and annual workshops to communicate the state-of-the-art airborne coastal mapping and charting among government, industry, and academic practitioners. These accomplishments have leveraged the financial, intellectual, contractual, and real property resources of each of the participating agencies.

**U.S. Extended Continental Shelf Project** – Since 2003, the U.S. has been engaged in gathering and analyzing data to determine the limits of the U.S. continental shelf following criteria contained in Article 76 of the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea. In 2007, the effort to delimit the U.S. Extended Continental Shelf became the ECS Project, directed by the ECS Task Force, an interagency coordinating body headed by the U.S. Department of State. Participants in this Task Force include many federal agencies and the Arctic Research Commission. The process to determine the outer limits of our ECS requires the collection and analysis of data that describes the depth, shape, and geophysical characteristics of the seabed and sub-seafloor and thickness of the underlying sediments, including bathymetry, seismic profiles, bottom cores, and magnetic and gravity data. As of Summer 2010, this multi-agency effort has mapped approximately 350,000 square miles of seafloor in the Atlantic, Pacific, Gulf of Mexico, and Arctic. Data collected through the ECS effort are documented, archived, and generally available to the public within two years of collection. In addition to the data collection efforts, the ECS project has partnered with academia to develop common metadata templates describing the cruise, seismic, and bathymetric data associated with the project. Work is underway to develop a physical bottom samples metadata template and to complete tools that create and validate metadata via the web or computer desktop. Common metadata will improve discovery, understanding, and use of the data used to determine the limits of the outer shelf.

**Geospatial One-Stop** – The Geospatial One-Stop (GOS) project office participated in planning and requirements meetings to identify specific needs for the OCM Inventory and to determine how these can be implemented within GOS. The following enhancements were developed or prioritized in response to the requirements of the OCM Inventory:

- Definition of "special collections" within the GOS overall collection, one being the OCM Inventory. At the GOS portal, a user can limit a search to one of these special collections.
- Use of geographical filters to better quantify and discover data in GOS. The OCM collection is defined by a spatial boundary implemented as a geographical filter.
- Development of a "GOS Search Widget" that enables searching the GOS collection from an independent website. The widget can be embedded in the website and customized to search by specific criteria.
- A new interface allows users to register and be notified when new datasets are added to the OCM Inventory.
- Improved web reporting and searching by federal departments, agencies, and bureaus.

**Declassifying OCM data**

The U.S. Government collects significant amounts of ocean data of a variety of types from United States and international waters, including data used for ocean and coastal mapping. Although as much of these data as possible are publicly released by the government, some of these data cannot be released due to national security interests, the proprietary nature of the data, or other release restrictions. Executive Order 13526 (Classified National Security Information) of December 29, 2009, prescribes a uniform system for classifying and safeguarding national security information and for declassifying and publicly releasing classified information when data protection is no longer necessary. Several federal agencies have programs that require collection and handling of classified ocean and coastal mapping and charting data, and declassification and release of that data when it becomes possible to do so.

**United States Navy** – The U.S. Navy collects high resolution global bathymetric data in support of operational U.S., allied, and coalition military forces. The primary data collection effort involves data from foreign littorals, including the territorial sea and EEZ of other nations. Data from foreign territorial seas are collected under agreements that stipulate that the data cannot be released without the permission of the host nation. Data are collected in foreign EEZs by the Navy from military surveys, and are used only for military purposes. While much of the Navy-collected mapping and charting data cannot be released, the Navy continues to dispense as much ocean data available as possible.

The Navy collected multibeam bathymetric data in the U.S. EEZ from offshore of the New England coast to Florida in 2004 and 2006 in support of efforts to determine the limits of the U.S continental shelf. That bathymetric data has been declassified by the Navy. In June 2006, the Navy agreed to release Arctic Ocean bathymetric data collected by submarines from 1993-2000 within the designated Ice Exercise area in the Arctic Ocean. The Navy also agreed to consider future releases of additional Arctic submarine data collected in that area approximately every five years thereafter. In 2008, the Navy worked with NOAA and the Governor of Washington State to remove a 1985 restriction imposed by the Deputy National Security Advisor on NOAA prohibiting public release of some bathymetric data and products from U.S. waters by NOAA without the prior approval of the Department of Defense. That restriction was lifted in December 2008.

**National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency (NGA)** – U.S. Code 10 U.S.C. § 451 authorizes NGA to prepare maps, charts, and nautical books required in navigation and to have those materials published and furnished to navigators; 10 U.S.C. § 455 addresses public availability and exceptions to public release. NGA makes many nautical products and essential updates available to the public through its website.
with conditions that allow commercial firms to reproduce hard copy publications. Releasable bathymetric data acquired by NGA is made available to the public through the National Geophysical Data Center.

Digital Coast Integrated Mapping Pilot

Ocean and Coastal Mapping Integration Act:
(10) a resource plan for a digital coast integrated mapping pilot project for the northern Gulf of Mexico

Digital Coast Northern Gulf of Mexico pilot resource plan
The Northern Gulf of Mexico (NGOM) is an area of ecological diversity, economic importance, and coastal vulnerability, all of which have been highlighted during the 2010 BP/Deepwater Horizon oil spill. Consequently, numerous coastal mapping efforts are underway or planned within the region, each directed by a specific agency mandate or coastal issue. The need for an integrated approach to ocean and coastal mapping is well-demonstrated within this geography. The aforementioned Gulf of Mexico Alliance Mapping Program and NOAA’s Digital Coast initiative illustrate complimentary efforts within the region that demonstrate a comprehensive, end-to-end approach to coastal and ocean mapping. As a result, coastal managers will have access to key geospatial data, and the tools, training, and case studies to facilitate its application to coastal issues.

The utility of the coastal geospatial data collected under the coordination of the Gulf of Mexico Master Mapping Plan is greatly enhanced though the development and delivery of tools and training that turn data into information supporting the decision-making process. The Digital Coast is a constituent-driven delivery mechanism of geospatial data, tools, training, and information for the coastal resource management community. Supporting both highly technical and management level audiences through an integrated approach, the Digital Coast allows users to download data and also see and learn how the data are used in analysis tools and in decision-making across the country. National organizations such as the American Planning Association, Association of State Floodplain Managers, Coastal States Organization, National Association of Counties, National States Geographic Information Council, and The Nature Conservancy provide guidance on effective web delivery, contribute content, conduct outreach to their memberships, and track return on investment outcomes. This customer engagement approach is a best practice that ensures success.

Several key Digital Coast data dissemination and application projects are underway that demonstrate the application of NGOM datasets. For example, the Sea Level Rise Impacts Viewer illustrates the potential effects of inundation from sea-level rise within selected coastal counties in Texas and Mississippi. Utilizing high-resolution elevation data from various federal, state, local, and private sources, this internet mapping application allows coastal managers to visualize various inundation scenarios and their potential impacts to coastal populations and the environment. In addition, the
Coastal County Snapshots tool enables local officials and their constituents to access information about their community’s exposure to inundation in a clear, easy-to-understand format. Developed using nationally-available data from federal agencies, this product is being expanded to include information on ocean-related jobs and potential wetland change. Both of these products and many others are available through the Digital Coast and its Coastal Inundation Toolkit, a comprehensive information resource that provides NGOM communities with information on risk assessment and communication, and technical guidance on inundation mapping methods.

Resources from NOAA to develop the Digital Coast are leveraged with USACE, USGS, FEMA, Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality, and other efforts to provide access to a variety of data, tools and information. For example, data collected by the USACE Coastal Mapping Program are made accessible via the Digital Coast and linkages exist with USGS’ National Map and National Land Cover Database. These efforts reduce duplication of effort and increase accessibility to OCM products and services. Current agency resources provide for program management, coordination, and training, as well as technical contract support for data delivery, data integration, and decision support tools. However, significant data gaps extending from the coastal counties to the territorial seas exist for OCM framework data. If these gaps remain unfilled, results from the Digital Coast pilot will be limited to the geographies with existing data.

The Digital Coast pilot will result in several key outcomes meeting the intent of this Act:

- A methodology that leverages other federal, regional, and state efforts for gathering input into mapping activities from the coastal counties through the territorial seas;
- A partnership network that identifies data priorities, user needs, and gaps to fill;
- A coordinated approach to delivering results from multiple public and private resources through one enabling platform;
- Results of coastal change analyses and assessments are made accessible; and
- Access to collected data through the Digital Coast, which provides connections with relevant tools and training.

Federal Contracting Policies

Ocean and Coastal Mapping Integration Act
(12) a description of efforts of federal agencies to increase contracting with nongovernmental entities

Contracting with non-governmental entities
No one agency has the resources to fulfill its OCM mission requirements. NOAA, USACE, USGS, and NGA all recognize that qualified commercial sources can provide competent, professional, and cost-effective ocean and coastal mapping services and expertise in support of diverse agency mapping missions. Each agency has policies in place regarding collection contracts for OCM data.
**NOAA Contracting Policy** – In 2009, NOAA promulgated its ocean and coastal mapping contracting policy. NOAA recognizes that providing mapping services is a core mission requirement under the 1947 Coast and Geodetic Survey Act, Hydrographic Services Improvement Act of 1998 (as amended), and other laws and authorities. It is incumbent upon NOAA to maintain operational ocean and coastal mapping core capabilities and supplement its operational capacity by contracting for ocean and coastal mapping services when contracting is determined to be cost-effective and to the extent funding is available. To better leverage government mapping resources, NOAA makes its coastal geospatial and hydrographic services contracts with private-sector companies available to state and local government entities that (1) have a need for the services provided by these contracts and (2) can provide adequate funding. This process facilitates increased synergy in planning and executing surveys of mutual interest. NOAA may task qualified commercial sources with ocean and coastal mapping services in any part of the U.S. EEZ, territorial sea, Great Lakes, inland waters and coastal watersheds for any mission-related purpose. The government’s interests in and responsibilities for mapping vary broadly and experience has shown that maintaining flexibility is key to responding to the nation’s changing needs for geospatial data. NOAA will continue to seek the optimal resource allocation between in-house and private-sector resources based on the strength of the governmental interest, the total requirement for OCM services, and the particular operational capabilities of either government or private-sector resources that may make one resource more suitable for a given situation.

**USACE Contracting Policy** – The USACE utilizes contracts to accomplish a significant portion of its survey activities. Successful execution of the National Coastal Mapping Program depends on contracts for services to operate government-owned equipment, contracts to utilize industry assets, and contracts with academia and industry to develop new sensors with improved and expanded capabilities. Surveys of navigation and flood and coastal projects, and subsequent analysis of these survey data are often accomplished under contract hire. Execution of ARRA funds was accomplished strictly using various Indefinite Delivery-Indefinite Quantity contracts maintained to ensure that USACE always has the ability to utilize the highly-flexible, highly-responsive private sector. These contracts are available to other government agencies and state and local partners through a variety of legal and fiduciary pathways and extend the government’s capability for data collection, processing, and analysis.

**USGS Contracting Policy** – The USGS administers two sets of geospatial data contracts, the Geospatial Product and Service Contracts (GPSC) and the Commercial Remote Sensing Data Contract (CRSDC). These contracts provide access to selected firms for geospatial data services and commercially available remotely sensed airborne and satellite data. The GPSC and the CRSDC were developed to support *The National Map*, but are written in a manner that allows other federal, state, and local agencies also to use these contracts.

The GPSC, which replaces the Cartographic Services Contract, is a suite of contracts providing flexibility and tools for the future. The GPSC is broad in scope and can accommodate commercial activities related to standard, nonstandard, graphic, and digital cartographic products. Services provided may include, but are not limited to, photogrammetric mapping and aerotriangulation; orthophotography; thematic mapping (e.g., land characterization); analog and digital imagery applications; Interferometric Synthetic
Aperture Radar and lidar; geographic information systems development; surveying and control acquisition, including ground-based and airborne Global Positioning System; and much more.

The CRSDC contract provides government agencies with access to a broad range of commercially available satellite data. The USGS has negotiated advantageous CRSDC terms on behalf of purchasing organizations, including highly competitive pricing and the most flexible and least restrictive licensing arrangements offered to date by the commercial satellite remote sensing industry.

**NGA Contracting Policy** – The Maritime Domain of NGA mostly supports Ocean and Coastal Mapping in areas outside U.S. Territorial Waters. However, in support of the U.S. Navy, NGA does limited nautical charting inside U.S. Territorial Waters. NGA produces and maintains the electronic Digital Nautical Chart over U.S. Territorial Waters and the Global Port Infrastructure Data over U.S. ports. About 95% of Digital Nautical Chart production and all the updating are done on contract. All of the Global Port Infrastructure Data and approximately half of the hardcopy were done on contract.
Plan Forward

In November 2009, the IWG-OCM hosted a National Ocean and Coastal Mapping workshop attended by Federal mapping agencies and state, academic, private-sector and non-governmental ocean and coastal mapping interests. The workshop began the process needed to coordinate current regional mapping requirements and define what it will take to acquire the needed framework data layers to support the development of a national ocean and coastal mapping plan. The workshop participants identified existing and planned ocean and coastal mapping efforts, gaps in current mapping programs, and most importantly, opportunities for improved coordination.

The information collected during the workshop represents the beginnings of a framework for developing a national mapping plan that is shaped by regional needs. The national plan will require progress reviews with the broader ocean and coastal mapping stakeholder community. This plan will serve as the primary information source to guide acquisition and collection of ocean and coastal mapping data and to support the requirements for future funding to address regional and national mapping priorities. After it is developed, periodic updates will be required to maintain the plan’s currency and reflect emerging requirements.

A priority during the next two years will be to identify, develop, and encourage the use of tools and vocabularies for metadata and standards-based web services that will make OCM data more readily discoverable and reusable. The primary Federal repositories will be encouraged to work together to adopt common methods and descriptions, based on the standard metadata, to serve inventories of their data holdings in the appropriate web service. These distinct services can then be combined into a single national inventory as described at the beginning of this Report. Another priority will be developing technologies for exchange of inventories and metadata between Geospatial One-Stop and the portals that serve regional and special-topic groups.

The Final Recommendations of the Interagency Ocean Policy Task Force identified ocean, coastal, and Great Lakes observations, mapping and infrastructure as one of the nine priority objectives. Strengthening and integrating Federal and non-federal observations, data collection, data management, and mapping capabilities into a national system would put in place a framework to help support other National Priorities, such as marine planning. Effective marine planning will utilize the best available data, including framework ocean and coastal mapping data, and objective analyses. The IOPTF recommendations recognize that these data should be nationally consistent, publicly available and easily accessible in order to promote public engagement and allow for a consistent framework for regional implementation. The marine planning framework recognizes that the identification of data gaps is an ongoing and iterative process and that the acquisition of data will proceed in tandem with developing marine plans. Federal, state and other ocean and coastal mapping interests will be an integral part of the marine planning data gap analysis and data acquisition efforts. These same tenets are consistent with OCM guiding principles.
Conclusion

The Ocean and Coastal Mapping Integration Act of 2009 called for a Federal interagency committee to develop a program and plan to coordinate ocean and coastal mapping. The purpose of this effort is to enhance ecosystem approaches in decision-making for conservation and management of marine resources and habitats, establish research and mapping priorities, support the siting of research and other platforms, and advance ocean and coastal science. The Federal Interagency Working Group on Ocean and Coastal Mapping (IWG-OCM) has efforts underway to establish a plan and better enable coordination across the federal government. The IWG-OCM is working to identify mapping requirements and resources across the federal government and to coordinate the federal, state, and local efforts involved in the collection and use of OCM data. The first phase in developing the national OCM plan was to identify the mapping data essential to meet the requirements of the Act. In November 2009, the IWG-OCM held a workshop that identified the initial framework mapping data, which include elevation below and above the water, imagery data describing surface characteristics, and geophysical data describing the surface and subsurface of the seafloor. Many federal, state and private-sector customers rely on these data, and demand for them is growing.

In addition to sponsoring workshops and meetings, the IWG-OCM supports intra- and interagency coordination by encouraging development of data and tools to enable integrated planning. The IWG-OCM undertook an effort, utilizing Geospatial One Stop, to document existing OCM data as well as in-progress, proposed, and desired mapping plans. IWG-OCM members published over 25,000 new ocean and coastal metadata records to GOS and will work over the next two years to standardize metadata and develop standards-based web services that will make OCM data more readily discoverable and reusable. The IWG-OCM is committed to collaborating with federal and state mapping agencies to integrate federal OCM data and derivative products in a manner that permits easy access to and use by the greatest range of consumers.

Leveraging Federal resources is critical to a nationally integrated ocean and coastal mapping program that supports many priorities. Integrated ocean and coastal mapping maximizes limited resources by identifying common mapping requirements across programs and agencies. A national inventory and mapping plan will serve as the primary information source of Federal ocean and coastal mapping data and guide integration of national mapping priorities. Working together we can realize the goal to “map once, use many times.”
Appendix 1: Subtitle B – Ocean and Coastal Mapping Integration Act - Reporting

SEC. 12201. SHORT TITLE.
This subtitle may be cited as the “Ocean and Coastal Mapping Integration Act”.

SEC. 12204. BIENNIAL REPORTS.
No later than 18 months after the date of enactment of this Act, and biennially thereafter, the co-chairmen of the Committee shall transmit to the Committees on Commerce, Science, and Transportation and Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate and the Committee on Natural Resources of the House of Representatives a report detailing progress made in implementing this subtitle, including—

(1) an inventory of ocean and coastal mapping data within the territorial sea and the exclusive economic zone and throughout the Continental Shelf of the United States, noting the age and source of the survey and the spatial resolution (metadata) of the data;
(2) identification of priority areas in need of survey coverage using present technologies;
(3) a resource plan that identifies when priority areas in need of modern ocean and coastal mapping surveys can be accomplished;
(4) the status of efforts to produce integrated digital maps of ocean and coastal areas;
(5) a description of any products resulting from coordinated mapping efforts under this subtitle that improve public understanding of the coasts and oceans, or regulatory decision making;
(6) documentation of minimum and desired standards for data acquisition and integrated metadata;
(7) a statement of the status of federal efforts to leverage mapping technologies, coordinate mapping activities, share expertise, and exchange data;
(8) a statement of resource requirements for organizations to meet the goals of the program, including technology needs for data acquisition, processing, and distribution systems;
(9) a statement of the status of efforts to declassify data gathered by the Navy, the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency, and other agencies to the extent possible without jeopardizing national security, and make it available to partner agencies and the public;
(10) a resource plan for a digital coast integrated mapping pilot project for the northern Gulf of Mexico that will—
   (A) cover the area from the authorized coastal counties through the territorial sea;
   (B) identify how such a pilot project will leverage public and private mapping data and resources, such as the United States Geological Survey National Map, to result in an operational coastal change assessment program for the subregion;
(11) the status of efforts to coordinate federal programs with coastal State and local government programs and leverage those programs;
(12) a description of efforts of federal agencies to increase contracting with nongovernmental entities; and
(13) an inventory and description of any new federal or federally funded programs conducting shoreline delineation and ocean or coastal mapping since the previous reporting cycle.
Appendix 2: List of Acronyms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2D</td>
<td>2-dimensional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>3-dimensional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALSM</td>
<td>Airborne Laser Swath Mapping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARRA</td>
<td>America Recovery and Reinvestment Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOEMRE</td>
<td>Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, Regulation, and Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCOM/JHC</td>
<td>Center for Coastal and Ocean Mapping / Joint Hydrographic Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRSDC</td>
<td>Commercial Remote Sensing Data Contract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSMP</td>
<td>California Seafloor Mapping Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZMIL DPS</td>
<td>Coastal Zone Mapping and Imaging Lidar Data Processing System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECS</td>
<td>Extended Continental Shelf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEZ</td>
<td>Exclusive Economic Zone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGDC</td>
<td>Federal Geographic Data Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEMA</td>
<td>Federal Emergency Management Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEBCO</td>
<td>General Bathymetric Chart of the Oceans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMMMP</td>
<td>Gulf of Mexico Master Mapping Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOM</td>
<td>Gulf of Mexico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOS</td>
<td>Geospatial One-Stop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPSC</td>
<td>Geospatial Product and Service Contracts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IHO DCDB</td>
<td>International Hydrographic Organization Data Centre for Digital Bathymetry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOC</td>
<td>Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IWG-OCM</td>
<td>Interagency Working Group on Ocean and Coastal Mapping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JALBTCX</td>
<td>Joint Airborne lidar Bathymetry Technical Center of Expertise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JSOST</td>
<td>Joint Subcommittee on Science and Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lidar</td>
<td>Light Detection and Ranging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTS</td>
<td>Marine Transportation System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCALM</td>
<td>National Center for Airborne Laser Mapping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGA</td>
<td>National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGP</td>
<td>National Geospatial Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOAA</td>
<td>National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>National Research Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSF</td>
<td>National Science Foundation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSRS</td>
<td>National Spatial Reference System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTHMP</td>
<td>National Tsunami Hazard Mitigation Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCM</td>
<td>Ocean and Coastal Mapping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCS</td>
<td>Outer Continental Shelf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPTF</td>
<td>Ocean Policy Task Force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2R</td>
<td>Rolling deck to Repository</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLA</td>
<td>Submerged Lands Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USACE</td>
<td>U.S. Army Corp of Engineers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USGS</td>
<td>U.S. Geological Survey</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>