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Dear Dr. Holdren, PCAST Co-Chairs and Members, and Director Sperling:

A recent Op Ed piece by Nobelist Robert Shiller candidly discusses the peculiar sociology of academic Economics. His candor places into perspective the urgent need for a rapid learning system for macroeconomics, with (a requirement, in his view) scientific leadership from outside his academic discipline.

The enclosed letter to PCAST and supporting documents, building on Shiller’s analysis, outline a rapid learning system for macroeconomics and a possible institutional initiative by Yale and others that - in view of its urgency - I hope will have your full leadership and support.

Yours truly,

Lloyd Etheredge

Dr. Lloyd S. Etheredge - Project Director
Policy Sciences Center Inc.

URL: www.policyscience.net

[The Policy Sciences Center, Inc. is a public foundation that develops and integrates knowledge and practice to advance human dignity. It was founded by Harold Lasswell, Myres McDougal, and their associates in 1948 in New Haven, CT. Further information about the Policy Sciences Center and its projects, Society, and journal is available at www.policysciences.org.]
Drs. John Holdren, Eric Lander, Maxine Savitz, and William Press, Co-Chairs and Members
President’s Council of Advisers on Science and Technology
Eisenhower Executive Office Building

January 31, 2014

Dear PCAST Co-Chairs and Members:

I enclose, for your review, a very interesting Nobelist discussion by Robert Shiller (Economics) concerning the peculiar sociology of his academic discipline. (It was published as an Op Ed piece in the Times on 1/19/2014). PCAST members from the physical sciences may not be fully aware of these problems and the learning impasse that (in Shiller's view) requires outside leadership.

Building on this diagnosis I enclose correspondence with Shiller and a draft plan of a Yale initiative for an urgent, rapid learning system for macroeconomics. Funding will be needed. I hope that this initiative, if it comes together at Yale with the blessing of President Salovey (whose shared intellectual leadership, as a psychologist, may be vital to success) will have your blessing and the federal funds that are needed to move quickly.

**PCAST and a NIH Institutional Strategy?**

Concerning national policy and institutions: PCAST might want to consider the NIH/NCI fast track model to develop prototype cloud genomic capabilities. The National Cancer Institute activated a competitive system to call forth, and provide initial funding for, three prototypes (2.5 petabytes each, scalable 10x - 100x). The three institutional winners (with designs developed in consultation with NCI) are each funded for an initial period. Their approaches and investments might serve different constituencies and all three might be renewed after the initial startup period. Thus: A fast track macroeconomic startup might support 1.) Yale, 2.) an academic consortium through CASBS at Stanford, and 3.) a for-profit consortium with R&D and capability-building funds for Wolfram and a network of worldwide corporate suppliers of economic data and analysis.

**PCAST and Scientific Strategy**

My proposal recommends the paradigm-busting scientific strategy developed at NIH. Economics is an unusual scientific creation, based on independent and dependent variables defined by accountants and the tax code. Correlations and regression coefficients are computed and a rational choice “explanation” of the observed result is invoked and used as a causal story (rather than by testing a rational choice explanation by independent measurement of decision processes).
relations might, instead, reflect conformity to cultural norms (and/or many other explanations outlined in the draft Yale proposal and Appendix A) - reinterpretations that would place current changes in coefficients and cross-national differences and policy implications in a new light. Part of the macroeconomic rapid learning system should support the possibility of discovering new, empirically-derived variables.

I do not believe that there is any location below the PCAST level, within the federal government, with the intellectual ability and standing to identify and bring together the pieces that we urgently need to come together.

As your plans develop, I would be interested to be involved.

Yours truly,

Dr. Lloyd S. Etheredge, Project Director

Enclosures:
The Rationality Debate, Simmering in Stockholm

Are people really rational in their economic decision making? That question divides the economics profession today, and the divisions were evident at the Nobel Week events in Stockholm last month.

There were related questions, too: Does it make sense to suppose that economic decisions or market prices can be modeled in the precise way that mathematical economists have traditionally favored? Or is there some emotionality in all of us that defies such modeling?

This debate isn’t merely academic. It’s fundamental, and the answers affect nearly everyone. Are speculative market booms and busts — like those that led to the recent financial crisis — examples of rational human reactions to new information, or of crazy fads and bubbles? Is it reasonable to base theories of economic behavior, which surely has a rational, calculating component, on the assumption that only that component matters?

The three of us who shared the Nobel in economic science — Eugene F. Fama, Lars Peter Hansen and I — gave very different answers in our Nobel lectures. Mr. Fama’s speech summarized his many years of research in strong support for the notion of economic rationality. He marshaled evidence suggesting that share prices respond almost perfectly to information about stock splits and that interest rates “contain rational forecasts of inflation.”

Mr. Hansen seemed to occupy a centrist position in the debate. In his lecture, he spoke of “distorted beliefs” that he said help account for some otherwise incongruous empirical evidence about financial markets’ behavior. He emphasized mathematical models that contain elements of rationality but also take into account features like animal spirits, beliefs about rare events, and overconfidence, all of which I view as being more or less irrational.

My own talk seemed to put me at one extreme, with Mr. Fama occupying the other. I said that aggregate stock price movements were mostly irrational, but I don’t believe I was as radical as some might imagine, because I still advocated a free-market system, with innovations to make it work better.

I was the most willing of the three of us to incorporate ideas about nonrational or irrational
behavior from other social sciences: psychology, sociology, political science and anthropology. I’ve been studying Nobel lectures of our predecessors, and the debate doesn’t seem new. Judging from their words, many laureates — including Herbert Simon in 1978, Maurice Allais in 1988, Daniel Kahneman in 2002, Vernon Smith in 2002, Elinor Ostrom in 2009 and Oliver Williamson in 2009 — have questioned whether economic actors are rationally pursuing self-interest, as traditional economic theory assumes.

It is hard to sum up all this discussion, however, because of a basic problem: defining “rational.” Christopher Sims, a Nobel laureate in 2011, has proposed that inattention to the facts can be rational, if you define the word broadly. Rational people know that their time is limited and realize that they cannot know everything. They must choose what they pay attention to. Mr. Sims’s argument suggests that it may be rational for busy people not to balance their checkbooks if they feel they don’t have the time, though they know they will make mistakes as a result.

But if people feel that the work of balancing a checkbook is just too unpleasant, it’s less obvious how to classify their behavior. Some kinds of inattention are even harder to categorize. What about people who decide they don’t have the time to read the news thoughtfully enough to consider whether they will buy a house during a boom, and thus make decisions based on nothing more than hearsay and emotions?

Such questions aren’t confined to economics. Political science has a similar conflict. People often seem emotionally involved — even irrational — when talking politics. In their 1996 book, “Pathologies of Rational Choice Theory,” Donald Green and Ian Shapiro, two political scientists, describe their colleagues’ “highly charged debates about the merits of rational choice theory.”

Other sciences are approaching such questions in novel ways. Brain-imaging techniques are improving our understanding of the cognitive neuroscience of attention, revealing the physical structures that allow us to process information as well as we do, and giving material form to some of the abstract notions in Mr. Sims’s theory of rational inattention. This research, identifying physical structures that underlie our thinking, has a welcome concreteness.

Neuroscience is also showing important links between people’s emotions and behavior they consider rational. In his 1994 book “Descartes’ Error,” the neuroscientist Antonio Damasio considered the admonition of the philosopher Descartes to keep emotions away from our
rational thinking. Mr. Damasio examined research finding that emotional pathways in the brain are interlinked with our calculating, ostensibly rational counterparts.

The neuroeconomist Ernst Fehr at the University of Zurich — who I hope will someday become a Nobel laureate himself — has used functional magnetic resonance imaging, or fMRIs, to study people playing games involving economics and finance. His summary of his and many colleagues’ research shows un-equivocally that there are links between rational and emotional decision-making. When a game player makes an apparently calculated, rational decision to take an aggressive action against his opponent, emotional and social pathways light up as well, suggesting that the decision wasn’t entirely rational.

The question is not simply whether people are rational. It’s about how best to describe their complex behavior. A broader notion of irrationality may someday be reconciled with one of rationality, and account for actual human behavior. My bet is that real progress will come from outside economics — from other social sciences, and even from information sciences and computer engineering.

ROBERT J. SHILLER is Sterling Professor of Economics at Yale.
Appendix A

Human Emotions and Economic Health: Four Rapid Learning Projects

Here are four lines of investigation whereby psychological measures might quickly disrupt, and improve upon, existing ideas in scientific and political thinking about macroeconomic policy:

1.) The theory of a clinical-like dependency syndrome of adverse effects on individual motivation and unwillingness to take responsibility for one's life that Governor Romney (in the same spirit as Ronald Reagan) perceived to explain economic (and other societal problems and political behavior) of 47% of Americans. President Salovey will know psychologists who can test these ideas quickly with credible, direct measures and national probability samples; and there can be convergent validation by neuroscience measures of hierarchical drama.

2.) A connect-the-dots theory of a cross-species Primate Subordination Syndrome. My perception is that cross-species and cross-national evidence suggest that status hierarchies activate primate brain mechanisms in subordinates that inhibit motivation, cognitive functions, increase stress and change endocrine levels, produce changes in health status, etc. This theory predicts that our understandings of major and persistent American problems of social, political and economic behavior and educational attainment in lower status populations have missed a shared, underlying cause - i.e., the psychology of lower status positions that can become permanent definitions of individual identity. The model can be tested with convergent measures derived from neuroscience. One exciting implication of the model is that the key diagnoses of Governor Romney and the Republican Party (concerning overly generous welfare states) are a misinterpretation.

3.) A “Confidence Trap” hypothesis. Based on Kahneman's distinction of Type I brain processes, psychological theory might suggest that economic recovery is being delayed by a contextual variable, a Confidence Trap (i.e., rather than the Liquidity Trap). This time - by comparison to whatever happened in the Great Depression - fear and paralysis, caused by the catastrophic untrustworthiness of major institutions, may be inhibiting motivation. New measures can definitively and quickly establish whether it is the failure of governments and major financial institutions to restore trust in themselves that is inhibiting recovery - and whether the Fed's current, expensive actions are addressing these key variables.

[Current measures of Consumer Confidence do not measure the hypothesis: the contextual variables are trust in governments and financial institutions and Type I fears are at a deeper level...]
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than an intellectual confidence in the future.]

4.) The "Discouraged X" Effect. Economists recognize the existence of “discouraged workers” who withdraw from the labor force. But why should only workers become discouraged? Effects of anomie, depression, and sustained helplessness on economic behavior may affect every relevant group and produce an economic system that, at least temporarily in important respects, is not affected only by standard variables and good weather coefficients. These intervening emotional variables and pathways can be measured (often, by off-the-shelf, standard tools) by psychologists and other social scientists.

Attachments

Notes
1. Velasquez-Manoff provides a partial overview of related ideas, building on Marmot’s work. I do not connect the dots in exactly the same way (e.g., I perceive mechanisms of hierarchical drama via the imagination and visual cortex to activate and sustain the identity-linked syndrome in humans), but the range of phenomena that could be linked is exciting.
PRESIDENT REAGAN'S COUNSELING

May, 1984

Lloyd S. Etheredge

For decades, economic policy has been the territory of economists, governed by their idea that we are a nation of rational choices. President Reagan has changed the assumptions. He is using ideas familiar to psychoanalysts and clinical psychologists to diagnose the problems of the American economy and design a course of treatment. He has posed a set of problems which political psychologists can solve with great benefit to the intelligence of national policy.

The President's idea is simple. He says our economy's lack of vitality is produced because government has become a powerful, substantial presence "above" us here in America. Over the past thirty years as, in our national imagination, government became "bigger," we grew subjectively smaller to develop a national dependence. There was a "zero-sum" effect on each person's mind: as "it" (government) assumed more responsibility in national life, "we" (the people) took less. The work ethic disintegrated; productivity increases stopped; the economy stalled.

The President's economic policy follows logically. It is intellectually serious and urgent: he must provide national psychotherapy for a depressed, passive nation that expects its therapist to have a prompt and magical solution.

To effect the change he desires, our President-psychiatrist has designed a national psychodrama to inspire us, to create open space, and to reduce our idealized illusions. He is warm and supportive. He is cutting taxes and expenditures to make government above us "smaller." It may not be a cure we like, and there will be painful withdrawal symptoms, but we must again take responsibility for our own lives.

From personal experience, Dr. Reagan knows he is right. The dire predictions of his theory, made thirty years ago, appear correct to him. And in his autobiography, Where's the Rest of Me?, he sketches how he, too, was once dependent, in his case on the Hollywood studio system. He was well paid but unhappy, reading scripts written by others, never getting the leading dramatic roles he wanted to play. But then he became more
assertive, struck out on his own. Once he became his own man, life started to work for him. He made a successful
second marriage. Speaking his own ideas, he was elected Governor of California. Now, he has the leading role in the country.

Other aspects of the President's life and experience confirm the same intuitive truth. He enjoys exhilaration, and a sense of freedom, when he rides the open range on horseback, the experience of the open range for free entrepreneurship he has told us we will regain in our national psychology by cutting back that "big government" in the sky. When he escapes to California from Washington and clears brush on his ranch, he feels recharged. He knows we will feel that way too, as the American Congress "stays the course" to effect the psychological transformation he wants.

To be sure, this is a closed system of beliefs. Evidence is always interpreted in the light of what the President calls his "basic principles." If the economic recovery is slow, it only means problems of dependency and addiction to big government are deep in our national psyche. So he is under an even greater obligation to persevere until we regain our independence and self-confidence and restart the economy. He has no choice.

From the President's perspective there is likely a second cause of a slow recovery, a cause psychoanalysts and clinical psychologists often cite: we are resisting. To an unprecedented degree American news media refuse to discuss a national problem in the language a President uses. He has been stonewalled. CBS News runs nightly news stories about the sufferings imposed by Reaganomics but has not yet discussed the real national problem, our psychology of dependency. It is as though the Eastern liberal news media are so addicted to the drama of an activist government, so psychologically dependent, so accustomed to demand that the President do something, that they will never admit even the possibility he could be profoundly right.
If Reagan is right, these skeptics slow the cure. The President can cut taxes and expenditures; these are actions in physical reality. But the stakes are psychological reality. For the therapy to work we must agree - that the diagnosis of dependency is right, that big government is receding, that the therapist knows what he is doing.

It is also possible our actor-President is wrong. A powerful bond to government may be true of only 2% of the population: actors, intellectuals, reporters, the people who give money to political causes or end up in Washington. How can we tell?

The President has profoundly challenged the discipline of economics. His idea about how the economy works does not come from the hundreds of complex equations of their mathematical models. The basic problem, in his view, is simple: the economy is deeply political; we orient ourselves dependently toward government in a larger-than-life drama.

Lacking objective evidence, we now are adrift and debates about economic policy are decoupled, without intellectual integrity. Administration economists have given no evidence to support the intuitive psychological ideas about the economy the President uses to set policy. They have developed no national indicators for the substantiality of images of a "big" government in the sky, for changes in achievement motivation, for the alleged zero-sum allocations of responsibility.

Now, as we "stay the course," we navigate blind, on faith alone. Congress has applied no rules of evidence. The Report of the U.S. government's Council of Economic Advisers is intellectually irrelevant; it would be rejected as a test of the President's theories by any psychology department.

If the President is right, good national psychological indicators will tell us. And, refining our understanding, they might improve the President's policy. John F. Kennedy cut taxes and the economy leaped ahead - but Kennedy also talked about achievement - a New Frontier, a man on the moon by 1970. If psychodrama is needed, perhaps these are
the themes to emphasize.

The President is not speaking in metaphors. He believes he is talking about our reality: solid, strong constituents of individual's imagination so powerful in their effects as to destroy the health of a multi-trillion dollar economy and our national spirit. His theories reflect ideas many psychologists have voiced seriously in the past: psychoanalysts have told us that, via transference, many people related to government authority, in our "mass psychology," the way as children they regarded their magically powerful parents; David McClelland of Harvard explained the economic rise and fall of civilizations by changes in the imaginations of citizens.

Currently, empirical evidence bearing upon the President's fundamental assumption is indirect and inconsistent. Self-report measures seem to deny his model: Americans say they blame themselves for economic hardship. Yet macro-level studies of election results, and individual-difference measures of self-interested and "socio-tropic" voting suggest Reagan is correct and responsibility for management of the economy is assigned to the party in power.

Such measures of attitudes and voting are open to different interpretations as reflecting either rational and secular or psychodramatic processes. Alone, they cannot dispel the fog. The deeper question is the psychological nature of American government, and what is needed is that our public debates begin to be informed by evidence, from appropriate, clinically-derived measures, of the location and substance of citizens' experience of government.
Although professionals may bemoan their long work hours and high-pressure careers, really, there’s stress, and then there’s Stress with a capital “S.” The former can be considered a manageable if unpleasant part of life; in the right amount, it may even strengthen one’s mettle. The latter kills.

What’s the difference? Scientists have settled on an oddly subjective explanation: the more helpless one feels when facing a given stressor, they argue, the more toxic that stressor’s effects.

That sense of control tends to decline as one descends the socioeconomic ladder, with potentially grave consequences. Those on the bottom are more than three times as likely to die prematurely as those at the top. They’re also more likely to suffer from depression, heart disease and diabetes. Perhaps most devastating, the stress of poverty early in life can have consequences that last into adulthood.

Even those who later ascend economically may show persistent effects of early-life hardship. Scientists find them more prone to illness than those who were never poor. Becoming more affluent may lower the risk of disease by lessening the sense of helplessness and allowing greater access to healthful resources like exercise, more nutritious foods and greater social support; people are not absolutely condemned by their upbringing. But the effects of early-life stress also seem to linger, unfavorably molding our nervous systems and possibly even accelerating the rate at which we age.

Even those who become rich are more likely to be ill if they suffered hardship early on.

The British epidemiologist Michael Marmot calls the phenomenon “status syndrome.” He’s studied British civil servants who work in a rigid hierarchy for decades, and found that accounting for the usual suspects — smoking, diet and access to health care — won’t completely abolish the effect. There’s a direct relationship among health, well-being and one’s place in the greater scheme. “The higher you are in the social hierarchy,” he says, “the better your health.”

Dr. Marmot blames a particular type of stress. It’s not necessarily the strain of a chief executive
facing a lengthy to-do list, or a well-to-do parent’s agonizing over a child’s prospects of acceptance to an elite school. Unlike those of lower rank, both the C.E.O. and the anxious parent have resources with which to address the problem. By definition, the poor have far fewer.

So the stress that kills, Dr. Marmot and others argue, is characterized by a lack of a sense of control over one’s fate. Psychologists who study animals call one result of this type of strain “learned helplessness.”

How they induce it is instructive. Indiscriminate electric shocks will send an animal into a kind of depression, blunting its ability to learn and remember. But if the animal has some control over how long the shocks last, it remains resilient. Pain and unpleasantness matter less than having some control over their duration.

Biologists explain the particulars as a fight-or-flight response — adrenaline pumping, heart rate elevated, blood pressure increased — that continues indefinitely. This reaction is necessary for escaping from lions, bears and muggers, but when activated chronically it wears the body ragged. And it’s especially unhealthy for children, whose nervous systems are, by evolutionary design, malleable.

Scientists can, in fact, see the imprint of early-life stress decades later: there are more markers of inflammation in those who have experienced such hardship. Chronic inflammation increases the risk of degenerative diseases like heart disease and diabetes. Indeed, telomeres — the tips of our chromosomes — appear to be shorter among those who have experienced early-life adversity, which might be an indicator of accelerated aging. And scientists have found links, independent of current income, between early-life poverty and a higher risk of heart disease, high blood pressure and arthritis in adulthood.

“Early-life stress and the scar tissue that it leaves, with every passing bit of aging, gets harder and harder to reverse,” says Robert Sapolsky, a neurobiologist at Stanford. “You’re never out of luck in terms of interventions, but the longer you wait, the more work you’ve got on your hands.”

This research has cast new light on racial differences in longevity. In the United States, whites live longer on average by about five years than African-Americans. But a 2012 study by a Princeton researcher calculated that socioeconomic and demographic factors, not genetics, accounted for 70 to 80 percent of that difference. The single greatest contributor was income, which explained more than half the disparity. Other studies, meanwhile, suggest that the
subjective experience of racism by African-Americans — a major stressor — appears to have effects on health. Reports of discrimination correlate with visceral fat accumulation in women, which increases the risk of metabolic syndrome (and thus the risk of heart disease and diabetes). In men, they correlate with high blood pressure and cardiovascular disease.

Maxwell Holyoke-Hirsch

Race aside, Bruce McEwen, a neuroscientist at Rockefeller University in New York, describes these relationships as one way that “poverty gets under the skin.” He and others talk about the “biological embedding” of social status. Your parents’ social standing and your stress level during early life change how your brain and body work, affecting your vulnerability to degenerative disease decades later. They may even alter your vulnerability to infection. In one study, scientists at Carnegie Mellon exposed volunteers to a common cold virus. Those who’d grown up poorer (measured by parental homeownership) not only resisted the virus less effectively, but also suffered more severe cold symptoms.

Peter Gianaros, a neuroscientist at the University of Pittsburgh, is interested in heart disease. He found that college students who viewed their parents as having low social status reacted more strongly to images of angry faces, as measured by the reactivity of the amygdala — an almond-shaped area of the brain that coordinates the fear response. Over a lifetime, he suspects, a harder, faster response to threats may contribute to the formation of arterial plaques. Dr. Gianaros also found that, among a group of 48 women followed for about 20 years, higher reports of stress correlated with a reduction in the volume of the hippocampus, a brain region important for learning and memory. In animals, chronic stress shrinks this area, and also hinders the ability to learn.

These associations raise profound questions about stress’s role in hindering life achievement. Educational attainment and school performance have long been linked to socioeconomic class, and a divergence in skills is evident quite early in life. One oft-cited study suggests that 3-year-olds from professional families have more than twice the vocabulary of children from families on welfare. The disparity may stem in part from different intensities of parental stimulation; poorer parents may simply speak less with their children.

But Martha Farah, a neuroscientist at the University of Pennsylvania, has also noted differences not just in the words absorbed but in the abilities that may help youngsters learn. Among children, she’s found, socioeconomic status correlates with the ability to pay attention and ignore distractions. Others have observed differences in the function of the prefrontal cortex, a region
associated with planning and self-control, in poorer children.

“You don’t need a neuroscientist to tell you that less stress, more education, more support of all types for young families are needed,” Dr. Farah told me in an e-mail. “But seeing an image of the brain with specific regions highlighted where financial disadvantage results in less growth reframes the problems of childhood poverty as a public health issue, not just an equal opportunity issue.”

Animal studies help dispel doubts that we’re really seeing sickly and anxiety-prone individuals filter to the bottom of the socioeconomic heap. In primate experiments females of low standing are more likely to develop heart disease compared with their counterparts of higher standing. When eating junk food, they more rapidly progress toward heart disease. The lower a macaque is in her troop, the higher her genes involved in inflammation are cranked. High-ranking males even heal faster than their lower-ranking counterparts. Behavioral tendencies change as well. Low-ranking males are more likely to choose cocaine over food than higher-ranking individuals.

All hope is not lost, however. Gene expression profiles can normalize when low-ranking adult individuals ascend in the troop. “There are likely contextual influences that are not necessarily immutable,” says Daniel Hackman, a postdoctoral scholar at the University of Pittsburgh. And yet, as with humans, the mark of early-life hardship persists in nervous systems wired slightly differently. A nurturing bond with a caregiver in a stimulating environment appears essential for proper brain development and healthy maturation of the stress response. That sounds easy enough, except that such bonds, and the broader social networks that support them, are precisely what poverty disrupts. If you’re an underpaid, overworked parent — worried, behind on rent, living in a crime-ridden neighborhood — your parental skills are more likely to be compromised. That’s worrisome given the trends in the United States. About one in five children now lives below the poverty line, a 35 percent increase in a decade. Unicef recently ranked the United States No. 26 in childhood well-being, out of 29 developed countries. When considering just childhood poverty, only Romania fares worse.

“We’re going in the wrong direction in terms of greater inequality creating more of these pressures,” says Nancy Adler, the director of the Center for Health and Community at the University of California, San Francisco. As income disparities have increased, class mobility has declined. By some measures, you now have a better chance of living the American dream in Canada or Western Europe than in the United States. And while Americans generally gained longevity during the late 20th century, those gains have gone disproportionately to the better-off.
Those without a high school education haven’t experienced much improvement in life span since
the middle of the 20th century. Poorly educated whites have lost a few years of longevity in
recent decades.

A National Research Council report, meanwhile, found that Americans were generally sicker
and had shorter life spans than people in 16 other wealthy nations. We rank No. 1 for diabetes in
adults over age 20, and No. 2 for deaths from coronary artery disease and lung disease. The
Japanese smoke more than Americans, but outlive us — as do the French and Germans, who
drink more. The dismal ranking is surprising given that America spends nearly twice as much
per capita on health care as the next biggest spender.

But an analysis by Elizabeth H. Bradley, an economist at the Yale School of Public Health,
suggests that how you spend money matters. The higher the spending on social services relative
to health care, she’s found, the greater the longevity dividends.

Some now argue that addressing health disparities and their causes is not just a moral imperative,
but an economic one. It will save money in the long run. The University of Chicago economist
James Heckman estimates that investing in poor children yields a yearly return of 7 to 10 percent
thereafter to society.

Early-life stress and poverty aren’t a problem of only the poor. They cost everyone.
Dr. Robert J. Shiller  
c/o Cowles Foundation

Dear Dr. Shiller:

May I engage your idea in the *Times* ["The Rationality Debate, Simmering in Stockholm." 1/19/2014]? I share your perception that the world’s costly impasse in economic theory can be solved by a broader framework that accounts “for actual human behavior” and that “Real progress will come from outside economics.”

What would you think about a rapid learning project at Yale to accomplish this breakthrough quickly? I am a Yale graduate (Ph. D. ’74) with interdisciplinary training (in the Lasswell tradition) in Psychology. I am confident that Yale, with a contribution from President Peter Salovey (i.e., as a psychologist), can bring together the pieces for an interdisciplinary rapid learning system. It is a worthwhile project: There are very few problems in the world that cannot be made better by a speedier return to economic health and adding another 1%/year to long-term GDP/capita growth.

A probably-successful strategy can apply the disruptive technologies of Everything Included, large N data universes and machine-assisted scientific learning that are achieving breakthroughs for cancer research. (These are reviewed in the enclosed article by Vogelstein *et al*.). Until recently, cancers were diagnosed and treated by the site of occurrence (e.g., breast cancer, lung cancer). Now, new methods and data have created a new paradigm. They show that there may be 10 or more types of cancers that appear in the breast or the lung (etc.), each with its own complex causal pathways and the possibility of a new universe of options for diagnosis and precision and more effective treatment. [Similar rapid learning breakthroughs seem possible, by these disruptive technologies, for all major diseases - e.g., Alzheimer's, with a global rapid learning system approved at the December G-8 summit in London.]

This could be macroeconomics!

I would like to help design, develop funds for, and participate in, a rapid learning project at Yale. I suggest three steps:

---

The Policy Sciences Center Inc. is a public foundation.  
The Center was founded in 1948 by Myres S. McDougal, Harold D. Lasswell, and George Deessen in New Haven, CT  
URL: http://www.policyscience.net
1.) **Everything Included R&D Data Systems - 1: Current Knowledge**

The enclosed Map is a knowledge cumulation tool, in the Lasswell tradition, that I have used for interdisciplinary courses at MIT and Yale. It shows the types of variables and measures from Psychology that can be drawn upon for "actual human behavior" data systems. Working groups can update this current knowledge framework and produce a recommended set of variables and measures, for each major set of economic actors, for each of the G-8 countries and new rapid learning R&D databases.

[I am attaching an Appendix with examples of four possible rapid breakthroughs where human emotions and variations in motivation could be affecting economic recovery and/or policy thinking and long-term growth. Testing them will be scientifically and/or civically informative: Each theory has been on NSF's Too Hot to Handle List. For example: 1.) the Reagan-Romney ideas of a national, clinical-like dependency syndrome that destroys economic motivation and produces a destructive unwillingness to take responsibility for one’s life for 47% of the American population. (Notwithstanding the political prominence of this clinical/motivational theory, in the US and in the UK under Margaret Thatcher, it has not yet - as you will recognize - been admitted to serious discussion and Honest Broker testing in the Nobel-universe public discussions of economists.) Another example from psychology is 2.) a *Primate Subordination Syndrome* hypothesis (where I also have made contributions), which suggests that these Republican Party ideas are a misinterpretation. Status systems, via specified brain pathways and primitive mechanisms, may have inhibiting economic and other effects on lower status populations.]

2.) **“Everything Included” R&D Data Systems - 2: A Full Implementation**

The second strategic step is to include variables of unknown relevance - truly "Everything" as biologists have been doing. [Their design includes (for example) 100,000++ measures/individual, and an N=500,000 initial data system at Kaiser (http://www.rpgeh.kaiser.org). The architecture is expanding to hundreds of millions of subjects with an NIH Collaboratory and a Global Genetics Alliance linking institutions and drawing on national health systems across 40+ nations and three, 2.5 petabyte cloud genomic prototypes, each scalable 10x - 100x to democratize and accelerate global research.]

Technically, the new, paradigm-busting machine-learning methods appear to work. However, they also may be sensitive to missing variables, or marker data, that are needed for new paradigms to be discovered. [And social scientists do not yet have the equivalent of a full genomic map inventory (and proteomic, human biome and other data) to guarantee that the problem can be completely and quickly nailed by these methods.] But we can get started, even if the final "Everything" list of variables will grow: As partners, I suggest the Federal Reserve and its regional Banks (where there are hundreds of
young economists who can creatively link a wide range of datasets and who may be eager to explore Big Data technologies for rapid learning) and Stephen Wolfram: His annual Data Summits (http://wolframdatasummit.org) are a meeting ground for public and corporate stakeholders. He has a scientific and business commitment and the technology to make all of the world’s data computable.

3.) “Think Long”: A Global Rapid Learning System for Macroeconomics

A third step is to develop a global rapid learning system for macroeconomics along the lines of the international biomedical research system. The science of rapid learning systems - for which Lasswell was an early theorist - is still a work in progress. Our early experience with global, science-based rapid learning (e.g., agricultural research for rice; emerging infectious diseases) suggest that attention must be paid to human links and institution-building; to funding; to norms and a degree of political freedom; and to feedback loops and implementation. Biomedical research has had the advantage of a network of brilliant leadership (NIH and NCI, the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation, Kaiser, the HMO Research Network and Harvard’s leadership, MIT’s Broad Institute, ASCO, etc.): a similar global upgrade for macroeconomics could be organized.

For example, one emerging system upgrade is to use new biomedical databanks and electronic health record systems to drive the costs of randomized clinical trials (to compare different treatments and match them to genetic profiles) from millions of dollars and multi-year human organizing to $50/patient capabilities and rapid-learning-cycle answers. Similar rapid learning systems could be created for testing theoretical ideas and data-mining/marketing discoveries about economic behavior.1

An advantage of a global system is that it allows rational and honest global planning about learning delays. Larry Norton [https://www.ecri.org/Video/2013_TA_Conf/4-Session-1-Norton.mov] uses past adverse drug effects to estimate the data cumulation rate needed to identify similarly dangerous new drugs: A next-level, global plan for rapid scientific learning in macroeconomics could estimate how long it will take, with what data assembled by whom, to detect and interpret errors in Fed and other (foreign) government policies for economic recovery, here and abroad? As step three of a Yale initiative comes together, we may be able to improve this rate.

A Second Opinion: Peter Salovey?

You might want to ask President Salovey for a second opinion about this initiative. As you may know, a meeting of leading macro-economic theorists convened by the IMF concluded that the profession was out of agreed-upon ideas to accelerate economic recovery more rapidly, given current theories and data systems.2 Olivier Blanchard’s comment about a delayed recovery (“It’s psychological!”) may appear to Peter Salovey, as it does to me, to have an opposite implication than the conclu-
sion Dr. Blanchard drew: It is a hopeful, exciting invitation.  

Yours truly,

Dr. Lloyd S. Etheredge, Director
Government Learning/International Scientific Networks

Enclosures:  
- LSE. “Map” and The Case of the Unreturned Cafeteria Trays (Washington, DC; American Political Science Association, 1976).  

cc: Bill Nordhaus, Peter Salovey

1. If the influence of social media on purchasing behavior (as observed by Mastercard International or American Express) is 3x - 5x greater in Egypt than in the US, this aspect of cross-cultural psychology and relevant theorizing could be quickly cross-checked globally by a new system.


3. A Yale initiative would not necessarily be designed as a Final Battle between rational choice theorists and its critics. The initial goal will be to discover new pathways and coefficients that can affect the behavior of key actors at the margin and move systems toward economic health.

When the pathways and coefficients are (ultimately) known, there may be little to argue about. When psychoanalysts have reviewed the Map, they have told me that “all of these ideas are psychoanalytic.” Economists have been willing to claim ownership: They have told me that “most of these ideas are rational choice.”
Cancer Genome Landscapes

Bert Vogelstein, Nickolas Papadopoulos, Victor E. Velculescu, Shibin Zhou, Luis A. Diaz Jr., Kenneth W. Kinzler*

Over the past decade, comprehensive sequencing efforts have revealed the genomic landscapes of common forms of human cancer. For most cancer types, this landscape consists of a small number of “mountains” (genes altered in a high percentage of tumors) and a much larger number of “hills” (genes altered infrequently). To date, these studies have revealed ~140 genes that, when altered by intragenic mutations, can promote or “drive” tumorigenesis. A typical tumor contains two to eight of these “driver gene” mutations; the remaining mutations are passengers that confer no selective growth advantage. Driver genes can be classified into 12 signaling pathways that regulate three core cellular processes: cell fate, cell survival, and genome maintenance. A better understanding of these pathways is one of the most pressing needs in basic cancer research. Even now, however, our knowledge of cancer genomes is sufficient to guide the development of more effective approaches for reducing cancer morbidity and mortality.

Ten years ago, the idea that all of the genes altered in cancer could be identified at base-pair resolution would have seemed like science fiction. Today, such genome-wide analysis, through sequencing of the exome (see Box 1, Glossary, for definitions of terms used in this Review) or of the whole genome, is routine.

The prototypic exonic studies of cancer evaluated ~20 tumors at a cost of ~$100,000 per case (1–3). Today, the cost of this sequencing has been reduced 100-fold, and studies reporting the sequencing of more than 100 tumors of a given type are the norm (table S1A). Although vast amounts of data can now be readily obtained, deciphering this information in meaningful terms is still challenging. Here, we review what has been learned about cancer genomes from these sequencing studies—and, more importantly, what this information has taught us about cancer biology and future cancer management strategies.

How Many Genes Are Subtly Mutated in a Typical Human Cancer?

In common solid tumors such as those derived from the colon, breast, brain, or pancreas, an average of 33 to 66 genes display subtle somatic mutations that would be expected to alter their protein products (Fig. 1A). About 95% of these mutations are single-base substitutions (such as C>G), whereas the remainder are deletions or insertions of one or a few bases (such as CTT>CT) (table S1B). Of the base substitutions, 90.7% result in missense changes, 7.6% result in nonsense changes, and 1.7% result in alterations of splice sites or untranslated regions immediately adjacent to the start and stop codons (table S1B).

Certain tumor types display many more or many fewer mutations than average (Fig. 1B). Notable among these outliers are melanomas and lung tumors, which contain ~200 nonsynonymous mutations per tumor (table S1C). These larger numbers reflect the involvement of potent mutagens (ultraviolet light and cigarette smoke, respectively) in the pathogenesis of these tumor types. Accordingly, lung cancers from smokers have 10 times as many somatic mutations as those from nonsmokers (4). Tumors with defects in DNA repair form another group of outliers (5). For example, tumors with mismatch repair defects can harbor thousands of mutations (Fig. 1B), even more than lung tumors or melanomas. Recent studies have shown that high numbers of mutations are also found in tumors with genetic alterations of the proofreading domain of DNA polymerases POLE or POLD1 (6, 7). At the other end of the spectrum, pediatric tumors and leukemias harbor far fewer point mutations: on average, 9.6 per tumor (table S1C). The basis for this observation is considered below.

Mutation Timing

When do these mutations occur? Tumors evolve from benign to malignant lesions by acquiring a series of mutations over time, a process that has been particularly well studied in colorectal tumors (8, 9). The first, or “gatekeeping,” mutation provides a selective growth advantage to a normal epithelial cell, allowing it to outgrow the cells that surround it and become a microscopic clone (Fig. 2). Gatekeeping mutations in the colon most often occur in the APC gene (10). The small adenoma that results from this mutation grows slowly, but a second mutation in another gene, such as KRAS, unleashes a second round of clonal growth that allows an expansion of cell number (9). The cells with only the APC mutation may persist, but their cell numbers are small compared with the cells that have mutations in both genes. This process of mutation followed by clonal expansion continues, with mutations in genes such as PIK3CA, SMAD4, and TP53, eventually generating a malignant tumor that can invade through the underlying basement membrane and metastasize to lymph nodes and distant organs such as the liver (11). The mutations that confer a selective growth advantage to the tumor cell are called “driver” mutations. It has been estimated (12) that each driver mutation provides only a small selective growth advantage to the cell, on the order of a 0.4% increase in the difference between cell birth and cell death. Over many years, however, this slight increase, compounded once or twice per week, can result in a large mass, containing billions of cells.

The number of mutations in certain tumors of self-renewing tissues is directly correlated with age (13). When evaluated through linear regression, this correlation implies that more than half of the somatic mutations identified in these tumors occur during the preneoplastic phase; that is, during the growth of normal cells that continuously replenish gastrointestinal and genitourinary epithelium and other tissues. All of these pre-neoplastic mutations are “passenger” mutations that have no effect on the neoplastic process. This result explains why a colorectal tumor in a 90-year-old patient has nearly twice as many mutations as a morphologically identical colorectal tumor in a 45-year-old patient. This finding also partly explains why advanced brain tumors (glioblastomas) and pancreatic cancers (pancreatic ductal adenocarcinomas) have fewer mutations than colorectal tumors; glial cells of the brain and epithelial cells of the pancreatic ducts do not replicate, unlike the epithelial cells lining the crypts of the colon. Therefore, the gatekeeping mutation in a pancreatic or brain cancer is predicted to occur in a precursor cell that contains many fewer mutations than are present in a colorectal precursor cell. This line of reasoning also helps to explain why pediatric cancers have fewer mutations than adult tumors. Pediatric cancers often occur in non-self-renewing tissues, and those that arise in renewing tissues (such as leukemias) originate from precursor cells that have not renewed themselves as often as in adults. In addition, pediatric tumors, as well as adult leukemias and lymphomas, may require fewer rounds of clonal expansion than adult solid tumors (8, 14). Genome sequencing studies of leukemia patients support the idea that mutations occur as random events in normal precursor cells before these cells acquire an initiating mutation (15).

When during tumorigenesis do the remaining somatic mutations occur? Because mutations in tumors occur at predictable and calculable rates (see below), the number of somatic mutations in tumors provides a clock, much like the clock used in evolutionary biology to determine species
The number of mutations has been measured in tumors representing progressive stages of colorectal and pancreatic cancers (11, 16). Applying the evolutionary clock model to these data leads to two unambiguous conclusions: First, it takes decades to develop a full-blown, metastatic cancer. Second, virtually all of the mutations in metastatic lesions were already present in a large number of cells in the primary tumors.

The timing of mutations is relevant to our understanding of metastasis, which is responsible for the death of most patients with cancer. The primary tumor can be surgically removed, but the residual metastatic lesions—often undetectable and widespread—remain and eventually enlarge, compromising the function of the lungs, liver, or other organs. From a genetics perspective, it would seem that there must be mutations that convert a primary cancer to a metastatic one, just as there are mutations that convert a normal cell to a benign tumor, or a benign tumor to a malignant one (Fig. 2). Despite intensive effort, however, consistent genetic alterations that distinguish cancers that metastasize from cancers that have not yet metastasized remain to be identified.

One potential explanation invokes mutations or epigenetic changes that are difficult to identify with current technologies (see section on “dark matter” below). Another explanation is that metastatic lesions have not yet been studied in sufficient detail to identify these genetic alterations, particularly if the mutations are heterogeneous in nature. But another possible explanation is that there are no metastasis genes. A malignant primary tumor can take many years to metastasize, but this process is, in principle, explicable by stochastic processes alone (17, 18). Advanced tumors release millions of cells into the circulation each day, but these cells have short half-lives, and only a miniscule fraction establish metastatic lesions (19). Conceivably, these circulating cells may, in a nondeterministic manner, infrequently and randomly lodge in a capillary bed in an organ that provides a favorable microenvironment for growth. The bigger the primary tumor mass, the more likely that this process will occur. In this scenario, the continual evolution of the primary tumor would reflect local selective advantages rather than future selective advantages. The idea that growth at metastatic sites is not dependent on additional genetic alterations is also supported by recent results showing that even normal cells, when placed in suitable environments such as lymph nodes, can grow into organoids, complete with a functioning vasculature (20).

Other Types of Genetic Alterations in Tumors
Though the rate of point mutations in tumors is similar to that of normal cells, the rate of chromosomal changes in cancer is elevated (21). Therefore, most solid tumors display widespread changes in chromosome number (aneuploidy), as well as deletions, inversions, translocations, and other genetic abnormalities. The published data on which this figure is based are provided in table S1C.

Fig. 1. Number of somatic mutations in representative human cancers, detected by genome-wide sequencing studies. (A) The genomes of a diverse group of adult (right) and pediatric (left) cancers have been analyzed. Numbers in parentheses indicate the median number of nonsynonymous mutations per tumor. (B) The median number of nonsynonymous mutations per tumor in a variety of tumor types. Horizontal bars indicate the 25 and 75% quartiles. MSI, microsatellite instability; SCLC, small cell lung cancers; NSCLC, non–small cell lung cancers; ESCC, esophageal squamous cell carcinomas; MSS, microsatellite stable; EAC, esophageal adenocarcinomas. The published data on which this figure is based are provided in table S1C.
and other genetic abnormalities. When a large part of a chromosome is duplicated or deleted, it is difficult to identify the specific “target” gene(s) on the chromosome whose gain or loss confers a growth advantage to the tumor cell. Target genes are more easily identified in the case of chromosome translocations, homozygous deletions, and gene amplifications. Translocations generally fuse two genes to create an oncogene (such as BCR-ABL in chronic myelogenous leukemia) but, in a small number of cases, can inactivate a tumor suppressor gene by truncating it or separating it from its promoter. Homozygous deletions often involve just one or a few genes, and the target is always a tumor suppressor gene. Amplifications contain an oncogene whose protein product is abnormally active simply because the tumor cell contains 10 to 100 copies of the gene per cell, compared with the two copies present in normal cells.

Most solid tumors have dozens of translocations; however, as with point mutations, the majority of translocations appear to be passengers rather than drivers. The breakpoints of the translocations are often in “gene deserts” devoid of known genes, and many of the translocations and homozygous deletions are adjacent to fragile sites that are prone to breakage. Cancer cells can, perhaps, survive such chromosome breaks more easily than normal cells because they contain mutations that incapacitate genes like TP53, which would normally respond to DNA damage by triggering cell death. Studies to date indicate that there are roughly 10 times fewer genes affected by chromosomal changes than by point mutations. Figure 3 shows the types and distribution of genetic alterations that affect protein-coding genes in five representative tumor types. Protein-coding genes account for only ~1.5% of the total genome, and the number of alterations in noncoding regions is proportionately higher than the number affecting coding regions. The vast majority of the alterations in noncoding regions are presumably passengers. These noncoding mutations, as well as the numerous epigenetic changes found in cancers, will be discussed later.

**Drivers Versus Passenger Mutations**

Though it is easy to define a “driver gene mutation” in physiologic terms (as one conferring a selective growth advantage), it is more difficult to identify which somatic mutations are drivers and which are passengers. Moreover, it is important to point out that there is a fundamental difference between a driver gene and a driver gene mutation. A driver gene is one that contains driver gene mutations. But driver genes may also contain passenger gene mutations. For example, APC is a large driver gene, but only those mutations that truncate the encoded protein within its N-terminal 1600 amino acids are driver gene mutations. Missense mutations throughout the gene, as well as protein-truncating mutations in the C-terminal 1200 amino acids, are passenger gene mutations.

Numerous statistical methods to identify driver genes have been described. Some are based on the frequency of mutations in an individual gene compared with the mutation frequency of other genes in the same or related tumors after correction for sequence context and gene size (22, 23). Other methods are based on the predicted effects of mutation on the encoded protein, as inferred from biophysical studies (24–26). All of these methods are useful for prioritizing genes that are most likely to promote a selective growth advantage when mutated. When the number of mutations in a gene is very high, as with TP53 or KRAS, any reasonable statistic will indicate that the gene is extremely likely to be a driver gene. These highly mutated genes have been termed “mountains” (I). Unfortunately, however, genes with more than one, but still relatively few mutations (so called “hills”) numerically dominate cancer genome landscapes (I). In these cases, methods based on mutation frequency and context alone cannot reliably indicate which genes are drivers, because the background rates of mutation vary so much among different patients and regions of the genome. Recent studies of normal cells have indicated that the rate of mutation varies by more than 100-fold within the genome (27). In tumor cells, this variation can be higher and may affect whole...
Box 1. Glossary

Adenoma: A benign tumor composed of epithelial cells.

Alternative lengthening of telomeres (ALT): A process of maintaining telomeres independent of telomerase, the enzyme normally responsible for telomere replication.

Amplification: A genetic alteration producing a large number of copies of a small segment (less than a few megabases) of the genome.

Angiogenesis: The process of forming vascular conduits, including veins, arteries, and lymphatics.

Benign tumor: An abnormal proliferation of cells driven by at least one mutation in an oncogene or tumor suppressor gene. These cells are not invasive (i.e., they cannot penetrate the basement membrane lining them), which distinguishes them from malignant cells.

Carcinoma: A type of malignant tumor composed of epithelial cells.

Clonal mutation: A mutation that exists in the vast majority of the neoplastic cells within a tumor.

Driver gene mutation (driver): A mutation that directly or indirectly confers a selective growth advantage to the cell in which it occurs.

Driver gene: A gene that contains driver gene mutations (Mut-Driven gene) or is expressed aberrantly in a fashion that confers a selective growth advantage (Epi-Driven gene).

Epi-driver gene: A gene that is expressed aberrantly in cancers in a fashion that confers a selective growth advantage.

Epigenetic: Changes in gene expression or cellular phenotype caused by mechanisms other than changes in the DNA sequence.

Exome: The collection of exons in the human genome. Exome sequencing generally refers to the collection of exons that encode proteins.

Gatekeeper: A gene that, when mutated, initiates tumorigenesis. Examples include RB, mutations of which initiate retinoblastomas, and VHL, whose mutations initiate renal cell carcinomas.

Germline genome: An individual’s genome, as inherited from their parents.

Germline variants: Variations in sequences observed in different individuals. Two randomly chosen individuals differ by ~20,000 genetic variations distributed throughout the exome.

Human leukocyte antigen (HLA): A protein encoded by genes that determine an individual’s capacity to respond to specific antigens or reject transplants from other individuals.

Homologous deletion: Deletion of both copies of a gene segment (the one inherited from the mother, as well as that inherited from the father).

Indel: A mutation due to small insertion or deletion of one or a few nucleotides.

Karyotype: Display of the chromosomes of a cell on a microscopic slide, used to evaluate changes in chromosome number as well as structural alterations of chromosomes.

Kinase: A protein that catalyzes the addition of phosphate groups to other molecules, such as proteins or lipids. These proteins are essential to nearly all signal transduction pathways.

Liquid tumors: Tumors composed of hematopoietic (blood) cells, such as leukemias. Though lymphomas generally form solid masses in lymph nodes, they are often classified as liquid tumors because of their derivation from hematopoietic cells and ability to travel through lymphatics.

Malignant tumor: An abnormal proliferation of cells driven by mutations in oncogenes or tumor suppressor genes that has already invaded their surrounding stroma. It is impossible to distinguish an isolated benign tumor cell from an isolated malignant tumor cell. This distinction can be made only through examination of tissue architecture.

Metastatic tumor: A malignant tumor that has migrated away from its primary site, such as to draining lymph nodes or another organ.

Methylation: Covalent addition of a methyl group to a protein, DNA, or other molecule.

Missense mutation: A single-nucleotide substitution (e.g., C to T) that results in an amino acid substitution (e.g., histidine to arginine).


Nonsense mutation: A single-nucleotide substitution (e.g., C to T) that results in the production of a stop codon.

Nonsynonymous mutation: A mutation that alters the encoded amino acid sequence of a protein. These include missense, nonsense, splice site, translation start, translation stop, and indel mutations.

Oncogene: A gene that, when activated by mutation, increases the selective growth advantage of the cell in which it resides.

Passenger mutation (passenger): A mutation that has no direct or indirect effect on the selective growth advantage of the cell in which it occurred.

Primary tumor: The original tumor at the site where tumor growth was initiated. This can be defined for solid tumors, but not for liquid tumors.

Promoter: A region within or near the gene that helps regulate its expression.

Rearrangement: A mutation that juxtaposes nucleotides that are normally separated, such as those on two different chromosomes.

Selective growth advantage (s): The difference between birth and death in a cell population. In normal adult cells in the absence of injury, s = 0.00000.

Self-renewing tissues: Tissues whose cells normally repopulate themselves, such as those lining the gastrointestinal or urogenital tracts, as well as blood cells.

Single-base substitution (SBS): A single-nucleotide substitution (e.g., C to T) relative to a reference sequence or, in the case of somatic mutations, relative to the germline genome of the person with a tumor.

Solid tumors: Tumors that form discrete masses, such as carcinomas or sarcomas.

Somatic mutations: Mutations that occur in any non-germ cell of the body after conception, such as those that initiate tumorigenesis.

Splice sites: Small regions of genes that are juxtaposed to the exons and direct exon splicing.

Stem cell: An immortal cell that can repopulate a particular cell type.

Subclonal mutation: A mutation that exists in only a subset of the neoplastic cells within a tumor.

Translocation: A specific type of rearrangement where regions from two nonhomologous chromosomes are joined.

Tumor suppressor gene: A gene that, when inactivated by mutation, increases the selective growth advantage of the cell in which it resides.

Untranslated regions: Regions within the exons at the 5’ and 3’ ends of the gene that do not encode amino acids.
regions of the genome in an apparently random fashion (28). Thus, at best, methods based on mutation frequency can only prioritize genes for further analysis but cannot unambiguously identify driver genes that are mutated at relatively low frequencies.

Further complicating matters, there are two distinct meanings of the term “driver gene” that are used in the cancer literature. The driver-versus-passenger concept was originally used to distinguish mutations that caused a selective growth advantage from those that did not (29). According to this definition, a gene that does not harbor driver gene mutations cannot be a driver gene. But many genes that contain few or no driver gene mutations have been labeled driver genes in the literature. These include genes that are overexpressed, underexpressed, or epigenetically altered in tumors, or those that enhance or inhibit some aspect of tumorigenicity when their expression is experimentally manipulated. Though a subset of these genes may indeed play an important role in the neoplastic process, it is confusing to lump them all together as driver genes.

To reconcile the two connotations of driver genes, we suggest that genes suspected of increasing the selective growth advantage of tumor cells be categorized as either “Mut-driver genes” or “Epi-driver genes.” Mut-driver genes contain a sufficient number or type of driver gene mutations to unambiguously distinguish them from other genes. Epi-driver genes are expressed aberrantly in tumors but not frequently mutated; they are altered through changes in DNA methylation or chromatin modification that persist as the tumor cell divides.

**A Ratiometric Method to Identify and Classify Mut-Driver Genes**

If mutation frequency, corrected for mutation context, gene length, and other parameters, cannot reliably identify modestly mutated driver genes, what can? In our experience, the best way to identify Mut-driver genes is through their pattern of mutation rather than through their mutation frequency. The patterns of mutations in well-studied oncogenes and tumor suppressor genes are highly characteristic and nonrandom. Oncogenes are recurrently mutated at the same amino acid positions, whereas tumor suppressor genes are mutated through protein-truncating alterations throughout their length (Fig. 4 and table S2A).

On the basis of these mutation patterns rather than frequencies, we can determine which of the 18,306 mutated genes containing a total of 404,863 subtle mutations that have been recorded in the Catalogue of Somatic Mutations in Cancer (COSMIC) database (30) are Mut-driver genes and whether they are likely to function as oncogenes or tumor suppressor genes. To be classified as an oncogene, we simply require that >20% of the recorded mutations in the gene are at recurrent positions and are missense (see legend to table S2A). To be classified as a tumor suppressor gene, we analogously require that >20% of the recorded mutations in the gene are inactivating. This “20/20 rule” is lenient in that all well-documented cancer genes far surpass these criteria (table S2A).

The following examples illustrate the value of the 20/20 rule. When IDH1 mutations were first identified in brain tumors, their role in tumorigenesis was unknown (2, 31). Initial functional studies suggested that IDH1 was a tumor suppressor gene and that mutations inactivated this gene (32). However, nearly all of the mutations in IDH1 were at the identical amino acid, codon 132 (Fig. 4). As assessed by the 20/20 rule, this distribution unambiguously indicated that IDH1 was an oncogene rather than a tumor suppressor gene, and this conclusion was eventually supported by biochemical experiments (33, 34). Another example is provided by mutations in NOTCH1. In this case, some functional studies suggested that NOTCH1 was an oncogene, whereas others suggested it was a tumor suppressor gene (35, 36). The situation could be clarified through the application of the 20/20 rule to NOTCH1 mutations in cancers. In “liquid tumors” such as lymphomas and leukemias, the mutations were often recurrent and did not truncate the predicted protein (37). In squamous cell carcinomas, the mutations were not recurrent and were usually inactivating (38–40). Thus, the genetic data clearly indicated that NOTCH1 functions differently in different tumor types. The idea that the same gene can function
in completely opposite ways in different cell types is important for understanding cell signaling pathways.

**How Many Mut-Driver Genes Exist?**

Though all 20,000 protein-coding genes have been evaluated in the genome-wide sequencing studies of 3284 tumors, with a total of 294,881 mutations reported, only 125 Mut-driver genes, as defined by the 20/20 rule, have been discovered to date (table S2A). Of these, 71 are tumor suppressor genes and 54 are oncogenes. An important but relatively small fraction (29%) of these genes was discovered to be mutated through unbiased genome-wide sequencing; most of these genes had already been identified by previous, more directed investigations.

How many more Mut-driver genes are yet to be discovered? We believe that a plateau is being reached, because the same Mut-driver genes keep being “rediscovered” in different tumor types. For example, **MLL2** and **MLL3** mutations were originally discovered in medulloblastomas (41) and were subsequently discovered to be mutated in non-Hodgkin lymphomas, prostate cancers, breast cancers, and other tumor types (42–45). Similarly, **ARID1A** mutations were first discovered to be mutated in clear-cell ovarian cancers (46, 47) and were subsequently shown to be mutated in tumors of several other organs, including those of the stomach and liver (48–50). In recent studies of several types of lung cancer (4, 51, 52), nearly all genes found to be mutated at significant frequencies had already been identified in tumors of other organs. In other words, the number of frequently altered Mut-driver genes (mountains) is nearing saturation. More mountains will undoubtedly be discovered, but these will likely be in uncommon tumor types that have not yet been studied in depth.

The newly discovered Mut-driver genes that have been detected through genome-wide sequencing have often proved illuminating. For example, nearly half of these genes encode proteins that directly regulate chromatin through modification of histones or DNA. Examples include the histones HIST1H3B and H3F3A, as well as the proteins DNMT1 and TET1, which covalently modify DNA, EZH2, SETD2, and KDM6A, which, in turn, methylate or demethylate histones (53–57). These discoveries have profound implications for understanding the mechanistic basis of the epigenetic changes that are rampant in tumors (58).

The discovery of genetic alterations in genes encoding mRNA splicing factors, such as **SF3B1** and **U2AF1** (59–61), was similarly stunning, as mutations in these genes would be expected to lead to a plethora of nonspecific cellular stresses rather than to promote specific tumor types. Another example is provided by mutations in the cooperating proteins **ATRX** and **DAXX** (62). Tumors with mutations in these genes all have a specific type of telomere elongation process termed “ALT” (for “alternative lengthening of telomeres”) (63). Though the ALT phenotype had been recognized for more than a decade, its genetic basis was mysterious before the discovery of mutations of these genes and their perfect correlation with the ALT phenotype (64). A final example is provided by **IDH1** and **IDH2**, whose mutations have stimulated the burgeoning field of tumor metabolism (65) and have had fascinating implications for epigenetics (66, 67).

The Mut-driver genes listed in table S2A are affected by subtle mutations: base substitutions, intragenic insertions, or deletions. As noted above, Mut-driver genes can also be altered by less subtle changes, such as translocations, amplifications, and large-scale deletions. As with point mutations, it can be difficult to distinguish Mut-driver genes that are altered by these types of changes from genes that contain only passenger mutations. Genes that are not point-mutated, but are recurrently amplified (e.g., MYC family genes) or homozygously deleted (e.g., **MAP2K4**) and that meet other criteria (e.g., being the only gene in the amplicon or homozygously deleted region) are listed in table S2B. This adds 13 Mut-driver genes—10 oncogenes that are amplified and 3 tumor suppressor genes that are homozygously deleted—to the 125 driver genes that are affected by subtle mutations, for a total of 138 driver genes discovered to date (table S2).

Translocations provide similar challenges for driver classification. An important discovery related to this point is chromothripsis (68), a rare cataclysmic event involving one or a small number of chromosomes that results in a large number of chromosomal rearrangements. This complicates any inferences about causality, in the same way that mismatch repair deficiency compromises the interpretation of point mutations. However, for completeness, all fusion genes that have been identified in at least three independent tumors are listed in table S3. Virtually all of these genes were discovered through conventional approaches before the advent of genome-wide DNA sequencing studies, with some notable exceptions such as those described in (6) and (69). The great majority of these translocations are found in liquid tumors (leukemias and lymphomas) (table S3C) or mesenchymal tumors (table S3B) and were initially identified through karyotypic analyses. A relatively small number of recurrent fusions, the most important of which include **ERG** in prostate cancers (70) and **ALK** in lung cancers (71), have been described in more common tumors (table S3A).

Genes exist that predispose to cancer when inherited in mutant form in the germ line, but are not

---

**Fig. 5. Number and distribution of driver gene mutations in five tumor types.** The total number of driver gene mutations [in oncogenes and tumor suppressor genes (TSGs)] is shown, as well as the number of oncogene mutations alone. The driver genes are listed in tables S2A and S2B. Translocations are not included in this figure, because few studies report translocations along with the other types of genetic alterations on a per-case basis. In the tumor types shown here, translocations affecting driver genes occur in less than 10% of samples. The published data on which this figure is based are provided in table S1E.
somatically mutated in cancer to a substantial degree. These genes generally do not confer an increase in selective growth advantage when they are abnormal, but they stimulate tumorigenesis in indirect ways (such as by increasing genetic instability, as discussed later in this Review). For completeness, these genes and the hereditary syndromes for which they are responsible are listed in table S4.

Dark Matter

Classic epidemiologic studies have suggested that solid tumors ordinarily require five to eight "hits," now interpreted as alterations in driver genes, to develop (72). Is this number compatible with the molecular genetic data? In pediatric tumors such as medulloblastomas, the number of driver gene mutations is low (zero to two), as expected from the discussion above (Fig. 5). In common adult tumors—such as pancreatic, colorectal, breast, and brain cancers—the number of mutated driver genes is often three to six, but several tumors have only one or two driver gene mutations (Fig. 5). How can this be explained, given the widely accepted notion that tumor development and progression require multiple, sequential genetic alterations acquired over decades?

First, technical issues explain some of the "missing mutations." Genome-wide sequencing is far from perfect, at least with the technologies available today. Some regions of the genome are not well represented because their sequences are difficult to amplify, capture, or unambiguously map to the genome (73–76). Second, there is usually a wide distribution in the number of times that a specific nucleotide in a given gene is observed in the sequence data, so some regions will not be well represented by chance factors alone (77). Finally, primary tumors contain not only neoplastic cells, but also stromal cells that dilute the signal from the mutated base, further reducing the probability of finding a mutation (78).

What fraction of mutations are missed by these three technical issues? A recent study of pancreatic cancers is informative in this regard. Biankin et al. used immunohistochemical and genetic analyses to select a set of primary tumor samples enriched in neoplastic cells (79). They used massively parallel sequencing to analyze the exomes of these samples, then compared their mutational data with a set of pancreatic cancer cell lines and xenografts in which mutations had previously been identified, using conventional Sanger sequencing, and confirmed to be present in the primary tumors (3, 16). Only 159 (63%) of the expected 251 driver gene mutations were identified in the primary tumors studied by next-generation sequencing alone, indicating a false-negative rate of 37%. Genome-wide studies in which the proportion of neoplastic cells within tumors is not as carefully evaluated as in (79) will have higher false-negative rates. Moreover, these technical problems are exacerbated in whole-genome studies compared with exomic analyses, because the sequence coverage of the former is often lower than that of the latter (generally 30-fold in whole-genome studies versus more than 100-fold in exomic studies).

Conceptual issues also limit the number of detectable drivers. Virtually all studies, either at the whole-genome or whole-exome level, have focused on the coding regions. The reason for this is practical; it is difficult enough to identify driver gene mutations when they qualitatively alter the sequence of the encoded protein. Trying to make sense of intergenic or intronic mutations is much more difficult. Based on analogous studies of the identifiable mutations in patients with monogenic diseases, more than 80% of mutations should be detectable through analysis of the coding regions (80). However, this still leaves some mutations as unidentifiable "dark matter," even in the germline genomes of heritable cases, which are usually easier to interpret than the somatic mutations in cancers. The first examples of light coming to such dark matter have recently been published: Recurrent mutations in the promoter of the TERT gene, encoding the catalytic subunit of telomerase, have been identified and shown to activate its transcription (81, 82).

Mut-driver genes other than those listed in table S2 will undoubtedly be discovered as genome-wide sequencing continues. However, based on the trends noted above, most of the Mut-driver genes will likely be mountains in Fig. 6. Four types of genetic heterogeneity in tumors, illustrated by a primary tumor in the pancreas and its metastatic lesions in the liver. Mutations introduced during primary tumor cell growth result in clonal heterogeneity. At the top left, a typical tumor is represented by cells with a large fraction of the total mutations (founder cells) from which subclones are derived. The differently colored regions in the subclones represent stages of evolution within a subclone. (A) Intratumoral: heterogeneity among the cells of the primary tumor. (B) Intermetastatic: heterogeneity among different metastatic lesions in the same patient. In the case illustrated here, each metastasis was derived from a different subclone. (C) Intrametastatic: heterogeneity among the cells of each metastasis develops as the metastases grow. (D) Interpatient: heterogeneity among the tumors of different patients. The mutations in the founder cells of the tumors of these two patients are almost completely distinct (see text).
mutation, may confer a selective growth advantage (83, 84).

The most obvious source of dark matter is in Epi-driver genes. Human tumors contain large numbers of epigenetic changes affecting DNA or chromatin proteins. For example, a recent study of colorectal cancers showed that more than 10% of the protein-coding genes were differentially methylated when compared with normal colorectal epithelial cells (85). Some of these changes (i.e., those in Epi-driver genes) are likely to provide a selective growth advantage (86, 87). For example, epigenetic silencing of CDKN2A and MLH1 is much more common than mutational inactivation of either of these two well-recognized driver genes (85) However, there is a critical difference between a genetic and an epigenetic change in a gene. Unlike the sequence of a gene in a given individual, methylation is plastic, varying with cell type, developmental stage, and patient age (21). The methylation state of the normal precursor cells that initiate tumorigenesis is unknown; these cells, such as normal stem cells, may represent only a tiny fraction of the cells in a normal organ. This plasticity also means that methylation can change under microenvironmental cues, such as those associated with low nutrient concentrations or abnormal cell contacts. It is therefore difficult to know whether specific epigenetic changes observed in cancer cells reflect, rather than contribute to, the neoplastic state. Criteria for distinguishing epigenetic changes that exert a selective growth advantage from those that do not (passenger epigenetic changes) have not yet been formulated. Given that Epi-driver genes are likely to compose a major component of the dark matter, further research on this topic is essential (58).

Genetic Heterogeneity

The mutations depicted in Fig. 1 are clonal; that is, they are present in the majority of the neoplastic cells in the tumors. But additional, subclonal (i.e., heterogeneous within the tumor) mutations are important for understanding tumor evolution. Four types of genetic heterogeneity are relevant to tumorigenesis (Fig. 6):

1) Intratumoral: heterogeneity among the cells of one tumor. This type of heterogeneity has been recognized for decades. For example, it is rare to see a cytogenetic study of a solid tumor in which all of the tumor cells display the same karyotype (88). The same phenomenon has been noted for individual genes [e.g., (89)] and more recently has been observed throughout the genome (16, 90–96). This kind of heterogeneity must exist: Every time a normal (or tumor) cell divides, it acquires a few mutations, and the number of mutations that distinguish any two cells simply marks the time from their last common ancestor (their founder cell). Cells at the opposite ends of large tumors will be spatially distinct and, in general, will display more differences than neighboring cells (16). This phenomenon is analogous to speciation, wherein organisms on different islands are more likely to diverge from one another than are organisms on the same island.

In studies that have evaluated intratumoral heterogeneity by genome-wide sequencing, the majority of somatic mutations are present in all tumor cells. These mutations form the trunk of the somatic evolutionary tree. What is the importance of the mutations in the branches (i.e., those that are not shared by all tumor cells)? From a medical perspective, these mutations are often meaningless because the primary tumors are surgically removed. How much heterogeneity existed in the various branches before surgery is not important. However, this heterogeneity provides the seeds for intermetastatic heterogeneity, which is of great clinical importance.

2) Intermetastatic: heterogeneity among different metastatic lesions of the same patient. The vast majority of cancer patients die because their tumors were not removed before metastasis to surgically inaccessible sites, such as the liver, brain, lung, or bone. Patients who relapse with a single metastatic lesion can often still be cured by surgery or radiotherapy, but single metastases are the exception rather than the rule. A typical patient on a clinical trial has a dozen or more metastatic lesions large enough to be visualized by imaging, and many more that are smaller. If each of the metastatic lesions in a single patient was founded by a cell with a very different genetic constitution, then chemotherapeutic cures would be nearly impossible to achieve: Eradicating a subset of the metastatic lesions in a patient will not be adequate for long-term survival.

How much heterogeneity is there among different metastatic lesions? In short, a lot. It is not uncommon for one metastatic lesion to have 20 clonal genetic alterations not shared by other metastases in the same patient (16, 97). Because they are clonal, these mutations occurred in the founder cell of the metastasis; that is, the cell that escaped from the primary tumor and multiplied to form the metastasis. The founder cell for each metastasis is present in different, geographically distinct areas of the primary tumors, as expected (16).

This potentially disastrous situation is tempered by the fact that the heterogeneity appears largely confined to passenger gene mutations. In most of the studies documenting heterogeneity in malignancies, the Mut-driver genes are present in the trunks of the trees, though exceptions have been noted (95). These findings are consistent with the idea, discussed above, that the genetic alterations required for metastasis were present (i.e., selected for) before metastasis actually occurred. The data are also consistent with the observation that in patients responsive to targeted agents, the response is often seen in all metastatic lesions rather than just a small subset (98).

3) Intrametastatic: heterogeneity among the cells of an individual metastasis. Each metastasis is established by a single cell (or small group of cells) with a set of founder mutations. As it grows, the metastasis acquires new mutations with each cell division. Though the founder mutations may make the lesion susceptible to antitumor agents, the new mutations provide the seeds for drug resistance. Unlike primary tumors, the metastatic lesions generally cannot be removed by surgery and must be treated with systemic therapies. Patients with complete responses to targeted therapies invariably relapse. Most of the initial lesions generally recur, and the time frame at which they recur is notably similar. This time course can be explained by the presence of resistance mutations that existed within each metastasis before the onset of the targeted therapy (99–102). Calculations show that any metastatic lesion of a size visible on medical imaging has thousands of cells (among the billions present) that are already resistant to virtually any drug that can be imagined (99, 101, 102). Thus, recurrence is simply a matter of time, entirely predictable on the basis of known mutation frequencies and tumor cell growth rates. This “fait accompli” can be circumvented, in principle, by treatment with multiple agents, as it is unlikely that a single tumor cell will be resistant to multiple drugs that act on different targets.

4) Interpatient: heterogeneity among the tumors of different patients. This type of heterogeneity has been observed by every oncologist; no two cancer patients have identical clinical courses, with or without therapy. Some of these differences could be related to host factors, such as germline variants that determine drug half-life or vascular permeability to drugs or cells, and some could be related to nongenetic factors (103). However, much of this interpatient heterogeneity is probably related to somatic mutations within tumors. Though several dozen somatic mutations may be present in the breast cancers from two patients, only a small number are in the same genes, and in the vast majority of cases, these are the Mut-driver genes (1, 104, 105). Even in these driver genes, the actual mutations are often different. Mutations altering different domains of a protein would certainly not be expected to have identical effects on cellular properties, as experimentally confirmed (106). Though it may seem that different mutations in adjacent codons would have identical effects, detailed studies of large numbers of patients have shown that this need not be the case. For example, a Gly→Asp (G12D) mutation of Kras does not have the same clinical implications as a G12D mutation of the same gene (107). Interpatient heterogeneity has always been one of the major obstacles
to designing uniformly effective treatments for cancer. Efforts to individualize treatments based on knowledge of the genomes of cancer patients are largely based on an appreciation of this heterogeneity.

**Signaling Pathways in Tumors**

The immense complexity of cancer genomes that could be inferred from the data described above is somewhat misleading. After all, even advanced tumors are not completely out of control, as evidenced by the dramatic responses to agents that target mutant **BRAF** in melanomas (108) or mutant **ALK** in lung cancers (109). Albeit transient, these responses mean that interference with even a single mutant gene product is sufficient to stop cancer in its tracks, at least transiently. How can the genomic complexity of cancer be reconciled with these clinical observations?

Two concepts bear on this point. The first, mentioned above, is that >99.9% of the alterations in tumors (including point mutations, copy-number alterations, translocations, and epigenetic changes distributed throughout the genome, not just in the coding regions) are immaterial to carcinogenesis. They are simply passenger changes that mark the time that has elapsed between successive clonal expansions. Normal cells also undergo genetic alterations as they divide, both at the nucleotide and chromosomal levels. However, normal cells are programmed to undergo cell death in response to such alterations, perhaps as a protective mechanism against cancer. In contrast, cancer cells have evolved to tolerate genome complexity by acquiring mutations in genes such as **TP53** (110). Thus, genomic complexity is, in part, the result of cancer, rather than the cause.

To appreciate the second concept, one must take the 30,000-foot view. A jungle might look chaotic at ground level, but the aerial view shows a clear order, with all the animals gathering at the streams at certain points in the day, and all the streams converging at a river. There is order in cancer, too. Mutations in all of the 138 driver genes listed in table S2 do one thing: cause a selective growth advantage, either directly or indirectly. Moreover, there appears to be only a limited number of cellular signaling pathways through which a growth advantage can be incurred (Fig. 7 and table S5).

All of the known driver genes can be classified into one or more of 12 pathways (Fig. 7). The discovery of the molecular components of these pathways is one of the greatest achievements of biomedical research, a tribute to investigators working in fields that encompass biochemistry, cell biology, and development, as well as cancer. These pathways can themselves be further organized into three core cellular processes:

1) Cell fate: Numerous studies have demonstrated the opposing relationship between cell division and differentiation, the arbiters of cell fate. Dividing cells that are responsible for populating normal tissues (stem cells) do not differentiate, and vice versa. Regenerative medicine is based on this distinction, predicated on ways to get differentiated cells to de-differentiate into stem cells, then forcing the stem cells to differentiate into useful cell types for transplantation back into the patient. Many of the genetic alterations in cancer abrogate the precise balance between differentiation and division, favoring the latter. This causes a selective growth advantage, because differentiating cells eventually die or become quiescent. Pathways that function through this process include **APC**, **HH**, and **NOTCH**, all of which are well known to control cell fate in organisms ranging from worms to mammals (111). Genes encoding chromatin-modifying enzymes can also be included in this category. In normal development, the heritable switch from division to differentiation is not determined by mutation, as it is in cancer, but rather by epigenetic alterations affecting DNA and chromatin proteins. What better way to subvert this normal mechanism for controlling tissue architecture than to debilitate the epigenetic modifying apparatus itself?

2) Cell survival: Though cancer cells divide abnormally because of cell-autonomous alterations, such as those controlling cell fate, their surrounding stromal cells are perfectly normal and do not keep pace. The most obvious ramification of this asymmetry is the abnormal vasculature of tumors. As opposed to the well-ordered network of arteries, veins, and lymphatics that control nutrient concentrations in normal tissues, the vascular system in cancers is tortuous and lacks uniformity of structure (112, 113). Normal cells are always within 100 μm of a capillary, but this is not true for cancer cells (114). As a result, a cancer cell acquiring a mutation that allows it to proliferate under limiting nutrient concentrations will have a selective growth advantage, thriving in environments in which its sister cells cannot. Mutations of this sort occur, for example, in the **EGFR**, **HER2**, **FGFR2**, **PDGFR**, **TGFβR2**, **MET**, **KIT**, **RAS**, **RAF**, **PI3CA**, and **Pten** (table S2A). Some of these genes encode receptors for the growth factors themselves, whereas others relay the signal from the growth factor to the interior of the cell, stimulating growth when activated (115, 116). For instance, mutations in **KRAS** or **BRAF** genes confer on cancer cells the ability to grow in glucose concentrations that are lower than those required for the growth of normal cells or of cancer cells that do not have mutations in these genes (117, 118). Progression through the cell cycle (and its antithesis, apoptosis) can be directly controlled by intracellular metabolites, and driver genes that directly regulate the cell cycle or apoptosis, such as **CDKN2A**, **MYC**, and **BCL2**, are often mutated in cancers. Another gene whose mutations enhance cell survival is **VHL**, the product of which stimulates angiogenesis through the secretion of vascular endothelial growth factor. What better way to provision growth factors to a rogue tumor than to lure the unsuspecting vasculature to its hideout?

3) Genome maintenance: As a result of the exotic microenvironments in which they reside, cancer cells are exposed to a variety of toxic substances, such as reactive oxygen species. Even without microenvironmental poisons, cells make mistakes while replicating their DNA or during division (119, 120), and checkpoint exist to either slow down such cells or make them commit suicide (apoptosis) under such circumstances (110, 121, 122). Although it is good for the organism to remove these damaged cells, tumor cells that can survive the damage will, by definition, have a selective growth advantage. Therefore, it is not surprising that genes whose mutations abrogate these checkpoints, such as **TP53** and **ATM**, are mutated in cancers.

---

**Fig. 7. Cancer cell signaling pathways and the cellular processes they regulate.** All of the driver genes listed in table S2 can be classified into one or more of 12 pathways (middle ring) that confer a selective growth advantage (inner circle; see main text). These pathways can themselves be further organized into three core cellular processes (outer ring). The publications on which this figure is based are provided in table S5.
Defects in these genes can also indirectly confer a selective growth advantage by allowing cells that have a gross chromosomal change favoring growth, such as a translocation or an extra chromosome, to survive and divide. Analogously, genes that control point mutation rates, such as MLH1 or MSH2, are mutated in cancers (table S2A) or in the germ line of patients predisposed to cancers (table S4) because they accelerate the acquisition of mutations that function through processes that regulate cell fate or survival. What better way to promote cancer than by increasing the rate of occurrence of the mutations that drive the process?

Because the protein products of genes regulating cell fate, cell survival, and genome maintenance often interact with one another, the pathways within them overlap; they are not as discrete as might be inferred from the description above. However, grouping genes into pathways makes perfect sense from a genetics standpoint. Given that cancer is a genetic disease, the principles of genetics should apply to its pathogenesis. When performing a conventional mutagenesis screen in bacteria, yeast, fruit flies, or worms, one expects to discover mutations in several different genes that confer similar phenotypes. The products of these genes often interact with one another and define a biochemical or developmental pathway. Therefore, it should not be surprising that several different genes can result in the same selective growth advantage for cancer cells and that the products of these genes interact. The analogy between cancer pathways and biochemical or developmental pathways in other organisms goes even deeper: The vast majority of our knowledge of the function of driver genes has been derived from the study of the pathways through which their homologs work in nonhuman organisms. Though the functions are not identical to those in human cells, they are highly related and have provided the starting point for analogous studies in human cells.

Recognition of these pathways also has important ramifications for our ability to understand interpatient heterogeneity. One lung cancer might have an activating mutation in a receptor for a stimulatory growth factor, making it able to grow in low concentrations of epidermal growth factor (EGF). A second lung cancer might have an activating mutation in KRAS, whose protein product normally transmits the signal from the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) to other cell signaling molecules. A third lung cancer might have an inactivating mutation in NF1, a regulatory protein that normally inactivates the KRAS protein. Finally, a fourth lung cancer might have a mutation in BRAF, which transmits the signal from KRAS to downstream kinases (Fig. 8). One would predict that mutations in the various components of a single pathway would be mutually exclusive—that is, not occurring in the same tumor—and this has been experimentally confirmed (124, 125). Apart from being intellectually satisfying, knowledge of these pathways has implications for cancer therapy, as discussed in the next section.

A Perspective on Genome-Based Medicine in Oncology

 Opportunities

Though cancer genome sequencing is a relatively new endeavor, it has already had an impact on the clinical care of cancer patients. The recognition that certain tumors contain activating mutations in driver genes encoding protein kinases has led to the development of small-molecule inhibitor drugs targeting those kinases.

Fig. 8. Signal transduction pathways affected by mutations in human cancer. Two representative pathways from Fig. 7 (RAS and PI3K) are illustrated. The signal transducers are color coded: red indicates protein components encoded by the driver genes listed in table S2; yellow balls denote sites of phosphorylation. Examples of therapeutic agents that target some of the signal transducers are shown. RTK, receptor tyrosine kinase; GDP, guanosine diphosphate; MEK, MAPK kinase; ERK, extracellular signal–regulated kinase; NFkB, nuclear factor kB; mTOR, mammalian target of rapamycin.
to treat cancers with \textit{BRAF} mutations (108). Before instituting treatment with such agents, it is imperative to determine whether the cancer harbors the mutations that the drug targets. Only a small fraction of lung cancer patients have \textit{EGFR} gene mutations or \textit{ALK} gene translocations, and only these patients will respond to the drugs. Treating lung cancer patients without these particular genetic alterations would be detrimental, as such patients would develop the toxic side effects of the drugs while their tumors progressed.

A second type of genome-based medicine focuses on the side effects and metabolism of the therapeutic agents, rather than the genetic alterations they target. At present, the dose of cancer drugs given to patients is based on the patients’ size (body weight or surface area). But the therapeutic ratio of cancer drugs (ratio of the concentration that causes side effects to the concentration required to kill tumor cells) is generally low, particularly for conventional (nontargeted) therapeutic agents. Small changes in circulating concentrations of these drugs can make the difference between substantial tumor regression and intolerable side effects. Interrogation of the germline status of a gene encoding drug-metabolizing enzymes could substantially improve the outcomes of treatment by informing drug dosing (127). Optimally, this genome interrogation would be accompanied by pharmacokinetic measurements of drug concentrations in each patient. The additional cost of such analyses would be small compared with the exorbitant costs of new cancer therapies—for recently approved drugs, the cost is estimated to be $200,000 to $300,000 per quality life year produced (128).

\section*{Challenges}

One challenge of genome-based medicine in oncology is already apparent from the opportunities described above: All of the clinically approved drugs that target the products of genetically altered genes are directed against kinases. One reason for this is that kinases are relatively easy to target with small molecules and have been extensively studied at the biochemical, structural, and physiologic levels (129). But another reason has far deeper ramifications. The vast majority of drugs on the market today, for cancer or other diseases, inhibit the actions of their protein targets. This inhibition occurs because the drugs interfere with the protein’s enzymatic activity (such as the phosphorylation catalyzed by kinases) or with the binding of the protein to a small ligand (such as with \textit{G} protein–coupled receptors). Only 31 of the oncogenes listed in tables S2 and S3 have enzymatic activities that are targetable in this manner. Many others participate in protein complexes, involving large interfaces and numerous weak interactions. Inhibiting the function of such proteins with small drugs is notoriously difficult because small compounds can only inhibit one of these interactions (130, 131).

Though one can at least imagine the development of drugs that inhibit nonenzymatic protein functions, the second challenge evident from table S2 poses even greater difficulties: A large fraction of the Mut-driver genes encode tumor suppressors. Drugs generally interfere with protein function; they cannot, in general, replace the function of defective genes such as those resulting from mutations in tumor suppressor genes. Unfortunately, tumor suppressor gene-inactivating mutations predominate over oncogene-activating mutations in the most common solid tumors: Few individual tumors contain more than one oncogene mutation (Fig. 5).

The relatively small number of oncogene mutations in tumors is important in light of the intrametastatic heterogeneity described earlier. To circumvent the inevitable development of resistance to targeted therapies, it will likely be necessary to treat patients with two or more drugs. The probability that a single cancer cell within a large metastatic lesion will be resistant to two agents that target two independent pathways is exponentially less than the probability that the cell will be resistant to a single agent. However, if the cancer cell does not contain more than one targetable genetic alteration (i.e., an oncogene mutation), then this combination strategy is not feasible.

Given the paucity of oncogene alterations in common solid tumors and these principles, can targeted therapeutic approaches ever be expected to induce long-term remissions, even cures, rather than the short-term remissions now being achieved? The saviors are pathways; every tumor suppressor gene inactivation is expected to result in the activation of some growth-promoting signal downstream of the pathway. An example is provided by \textit{PTEN} mutations: Inactivation of the tumor suppressor gene \textit{PTEN} results in activation of the AKT kinase (Fig. 8). Similarly, inactivation of the tumor suppressor gene \textit{CDKN2A} results in activation of kinases, such as cyclin-dependent kinase 4, that promote cell cycle traverse (132). Furthermore, inactivation of tumor suppressor gene \textit{APC} results in constitutive activity of oncoproteins such as \textit{CTNNB1} and \textit{CMYC} (133–135).

We believe that greater knowledge of these pathways and the ways in which they function is the most pressing need in basic cancer research. Successful research on this topic should allow the development of agents that target, albeit indirectly, defective tumor suppressor genes. Indeed, there are already examples of such indirect targeting. Inactivating mutations of the tumor suppressor genes \textit{BRCA1} or \textit{BRCA2} lead to activation of downstream pathways required to repair DNA damage in the absence of \textit{BRCA} function. Thus, cancer cells with defects in \textit{BRCA1} or \textit{BRCA2} are more susceptible to DNA damaging agents or to drugs that inhibit enzymes that facilitate the repair of DNA damage such as PARP [poly(adenosine diphosphate–ribose) polymerase] (136). PARP inhibitors have shown

\section*{Box 2. Highlights}

1. Most human cancers are caused by two to eight sequential alterations that develop over the course of 20 to 30 years.
2. Each of these alterations directly or indirectly increases the ratio of cell birth to cell death; that is, each alteration causes a selective growth advantage to the cell in which it resides.
3. The evidence to date suggests that there are ~140 genes whose intragenic mutations contribute to cancer (so-called Mut-driver genes). There are probably other genes (Epi-driver genes) that are altered by epigenetic mechanisms and cause a selective growth advantage, but the definitive identification of these genes has been challenging.
4. The known driver genes function through a dozen signaling pathways that regulate three core cellular processes: cell fate determination, cell survival, and genome maintenance.
5. Every individual tumor, even of the same histopathologic subtype as another tumor, is distinct with respect to its genetic alterations, but the pathways affected in different tumors are similar.
6. Genetic heterogeneity among the cells of an individual tumor always exists and can impact the response to therapeutics.
7. In the future, the most appropriate management plan for a patient with cancer will be informed by an assessment of the components of the patient’s germline genome and the genome of his or her tumor.
8. The information from cancer genome studies can also be exploited to improve methods for prevention and early detection of cancer, which will be essential to reduce cancer morbidity and mortality.
by the human genome (141), this condition is not limiting. Second, as most proteins affected by mutations are intracellular, these mutations will not be visible to the immune system unless the mutant residue is presented in the context of a human leukocyte antigen (HLA) protein. Based on in silico analyses of binding affinities, it has been estimated that a typical breast or colorectal cancer contains 7 to 10 mutant proteins that can bind to an individual patient’s HLA type (142). These theoretical predictions have recently gained experimental support. Studies of mouse tumors have identified mutant genes and shown that the corresponding peptides can induce antitumor immunity when administered as vaccines (143). Moreover, clinical trials of brain cancer patients immunized against a mutant peptide have yielded encouraging results (144).

As with all cancer therapies that are attractive in concept, obstacles abound in practice. If a tumor expresses a mutant protein that is recognizable as foreign, why has the host immune system not eradicated that tumor already? Indeed, immunoediting in cancers has been shown to exist, resulting in the down-regulation or absence of mutant epitopes that should have, and perhaps did, elicit an immune response during tumor development (145, 146). Additionally, tumors can lose immunogenicity through a variety of genetic alternations, thereby precluding the presentation of epitopes that would otherwise be recognized as foreign (147). Though these theoretical limitations are disheartening, recent studies on immune regulation in humans portend cautious optimism (148, 149).

**Other Ways to Reduce Morbidity and Mortality Through Knowledge of Cancer Genomics**

When we think about eradicating cancer, we generally think about curing advanced cases—that those that cannot be cured by surgery alone because they have already metastasized. This is a curious way of thinking about this disease. When we think of cardiovascular or infectious diseases, we first consider ways to prevent them rather than drugs to cure their most advanced forms. Today, we are in no better position to cure polio or massive myocardial infarctions than we were a thousand years ago. But we can prevent these diseases entirely (vaccines), reduce incidence (dietary changes, statins), or mitigate severity (stents, thrombolytic agents) and thereby make a major impact on morbidity and mortality.

This focus on curing advanced cancers might have been reasonable 50 years ago, when the molecular pathogenesis of cancers was mysterious and when chemotherapeutic agents against advanced cancers were showing promise. But this mindset is no longer acceptable. We now know precisely what causes cancer: a sequential series of alterations in well-defined genes that alter the function of a limited number of pathways. Moreover, we know that this process takes decades to develop and that the incurable stage, metastasis, occurs only a few years before death. In other words, of the one million people that will die from cancer this year, the vast majority will die only because their cancers were not detected in the first 90% of the cancers’ lifetimes, when they were amenable to the surgeons’ scalpel.

This new knowledge of cancer (Box 2) has reinvigorated the search for cures for advanced cancers, but has not yet permeated other fields of applied cancer research. A common and limited set of driver genes and pathways is responsible for most common forms of cancer (Table S2); these genes and pathways offer distinct potential for early diagnosis. The genes themselves, the proteins encoded by these genes, and the end products of their pathways are, in principle, detectable in many ways, including analyses of relevant body fluids, such as urine for genitourinary cancers, sputum for lung cancers, and stool for gastrointestinal cancers (150). Equally exciting are the possibilities afforded by molecular imaging, which not only indicate the presence of a cancer but also reveal its precise location and extent. Additionally, research into the relationships between particular environmental influences (diet and lifestyle) and the genetic alterations in cancer is sparse, despite its potential for preventative measures.

The reasons that society invests so much more in research on cures for advanced cancers than on prevention or early detection are complex. Economic issues play a part: New drugs are far more lucrative for industry than new tests, and large individual costs for treating patients with advanced disease have become acceptable, even in developing countries (151). From a technical standpoint, the development of new and improved methods for early detection and prevention will not be easy, but there is no reason to assume that it will be more difficult than the development of new therapies aimed at treating widely metastatic disease.

Our point is not that strenuous efforts to develop new therapies for advanced cancer patients should be abandoned. These will always be required, no matter our arsenal of early detection or preventative measures. Instead, we are suggesting that “plan A” should be prevention and early detection, and “plan B” (therapy for advanced cancers) should be necessary only when plan A fails. To make plan A viable, government and philanthropic organizations must dedicate a much greater fraction of their resources to this cause, with long-term considerations in mind. We believe that cancer deaths can be reduced by more than 75% in the coming decades (152), but that this reduction will only come about if greater efforts are made toward early detection and prevention.
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Foreword

Among the major activities of the American Political Science Association, the publication of the American Political Science Review and the Annual Meeting provide for exchange of information about research. Other major activities aim to adapt research to teaching needs, particularly at the undergraduate level.

Since the Association's establishment in 1904, there has always been a committee concerned with undergraduate education and, in each decade, an education committee has issued a report recommending instructional goals and strategies. Today, we have a different concept of useful educational activity: the Association is helping prepare instructional materials that can be utilized by teachers and students. The regional seminars for college teachers in the 1960s, supported by a grant from the Ford Foundation, were a notable first effort of this sort. The seminars helped teachers locate and use new sources of course materials and different methods of instruction. Several hundred political scientists participated in these seminars.

At the end of 1972, with the support of a grant from the National Science Foundation, the Association established a Division of Educational Affairs and began to develop publications, providing teachers and students with instructional guides and useful materials. DEA NEWS for Teachers of Political Science, a newsletter received by all Association members; SETUPS, the student learning materials that introduce data analysis techniques, and the Instructional Resource Monographs are the initial publications.

Each Instructional Resource Monograph is a guide to source materials or a method of instruction, and is designed primarily for faculty. The fifth monograph, U.S. Census Data for Political and Social Research, is accompanied by a manual for students. The Case of the Unreturned Cafeteria Tray is another student manual designed to facilitate faculty presentations of source material.

As political science selectively adapts theories and analytical techniques from other social sciences, it is appropriate that political science students learn theories of human behavior, from psychology, social psychology, sociology, and even economics. In The Case of the Unreturned Cafeteria Tray Lloyd Emenege poses a problem and discusses solutions by way of engaging students in explorations of alternative interpretations of motivations. The Case includes readings and exercises for students to apply theories to analyze problems in political life.

Evan M. Kistpatric
Executive Director
American Political Science Association
June 1976
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Introduction

Some people hope that "better human understanding" will eliminate coercion and inhumane practices in our world as well as alleviate a wide range of social problems: academic underachievement, use of hard drugs, drunken driving, alcoholism, crime, mental illness, sexism, racism, industrial pollution, and war are but a few examples where the hope has been expressed that better knowledge will help. Perhaps it will. But, if this is to come to pass, we must direct the knowledge of the social sciences toward fashioning better practical alternatives for the organization and conduct of our society.

I have chosen a simple problem to analyze in this way, an example from high school. It has seemed rather easy for my students to identify with the problem: high school is a shared experience in our society, and most high schools seem to have a cafeteria problem. But the analysis presented here is also a prototype of thinking that can be extended (with modifications and elaborations) to many of our social problems. This kind of thinking is, I would submit, useful—a more humane society will be simply the aggregate of all of us finding ways to be more humane and effective in our lives. Perhaps we can profit from stepping back and thinking about how to design institutions and create practices that make this possible.

Over ten years ago, when I was President of my high school Student Council, I was confronted with a problem in human behavior which I still find mysterious. I did not know what to do at the time and, as I have learned more about the complexities of human behavior and about the different theories and viewpoints for analyzing it, I still am not sure about the reasons for the problem, or what I, as a social scientist, would now recommend if I were called upon to give advice.

The situation was this: at my high school it had developed that some students who ate lunch in the cafeteria (almost all of the 2,200 students) were not taking back their trays to the dirty dish room but instead were piling up trays and dishes, and trash on the tables. Not all students were doing this— it was only a
minority. But, by the end of the lunch shifts (there were six of them), the cafeteria was a mess. And, as the principal pointed out when he called me to his office, it did take several man-hours of work by the cafeteria staff to make the place respectable again. Quite naturally, the cafeteria staff was angry and pressuring the principal to do something. And he wanted us (the Student Council) to do something.

Here, then, is our puzzle: what are the causes of this behavior? And what could be done to resolve the problem? The reader should be alerted that I now intend to illustrate a range of plausible answers to these questions by drawing systematically upon theories which social scientists use in thinking about behavior. This is, however, a theoretical paper: it does not solve the mystery of the unreturned cafeteria trays—that is a task for research. There will be no climax or grand finale. The characters and scenery along the way are all there is.

I. The Cybernetic Model

The cybernetic model imagines man as a goal-seeking animal who guides his behavior on the basis of information feedback from the environment.

The notion of feedback can be illustrated by the example of a radar-controlled missile fired at a moving airplane: as the plane alters its course, radar impulses from the missile, bouncing off the plane, tell the missile how it should correct its flight so it will hit the target.²

It is, of course, to think of a variety of goals which a human being might try to achieve. For the sake of simplicity (and because the assumption is often made in applying cybernetic theory) let us assume that human beings would act laudably (return their trays) except for faulty feedback.

1. Ignorance of Expectations

The first explanation suggested by the cybernetic model is that students who do not return their trays might be ignorant of the expectations of the school. Perhaps they do not realize (because no one ever told them) that they should return their trays. Students would go along with the desires of the school administration if they knew what the expectations were, if the "lack of feedback" were corrected. The solution would be simple: tell them of the expectations.

2. "They Know Not What They Do" (Ignorance of Consequences)

A second and related explanation suggested by the cybernetic model is that students who do not return their trays might be unaware of the consequences of their behavior (the accumulated piles of trays, dishes, and trash at the end of the lunch shifts, the extra work for the cafeteria staff).

If this explanation is correct, then the problem could be ended by a different policy choice—for example by taking classes on tours of the accumulated mess or by presenting the cafeteria manager to explain the situation over the public address system.

I have titled this second idea, "They Know Not What They Do," because the phrase is reminiscent of the last words of Christ on the cross: "Father, forgive them, for they know not what they do." Cybernetic theories, as they are usually employed, are very forgiving theories. It is not something about individuals which should be blamed, rather it is something about the faulty information feedback mechanisms of their environment.

I should tell you that the cybernetic model was the one adopted by our student council. We did not believe the first hypothesis was true, that students were unaware of the school's expectations. But we were hopeful that, if they became aware of the problems caused by tray-leaving, most students would take back their trays.

I have stressed the word hopeful in the last sentence because I must confess that we were unsure that better feedback would be a cure. In part our advice was purely political: we did not want to be a "huckster" of the administration or have any role in policing other students. Yet we had to make some response to the principal's request for assistance if we were to maintain a good working relationship with him. The cybernetic model was a creative compromise to the pressures we were under. We would appear to be doing something without getting involved in coercion. Then too, we were young, idealistic, and had an esthetic aversion to coercion.4

It might be useful to point out, in passing, that cybernetic theory does have a certain resonance with the assumptions of liberal political views, for example with the belief that people will act well if they are given enough education. Scientists and teachers generally, I think, have this kind of model in the back of their minds in justifying their work: they implicitly assume (as I do, in a way, in writing this monograph) that if people have better differentiated and more sophisticated "maps" of their social environment, if they know what effects are brought about by what causes, then they will act more humanely in the long run.

3On the way in which such role conflicts can produce attitudes see, for example, F. R. Banton et al., The American Business Crowd (1968) Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

My high school principal, as you might guess, did not think well either of our recommendations based on cybernetic theory or of our faith in the good will of human nature. He was, centrally, a social learning theorist (by instinct, I think, rather than as a result of any knowledge of the experimental literature). Let me turn, then, to this behavior reinforcement model.
II. Social Learning

The social learning model imagines man as a hedonistic, reward-seeking punishment-avoiding animal. Behavior is the result of the rewards or punishments a man expects in the situation that confronts him, an expectation resulting partly from his past history of reinforcement (behavior which has been rewarded continues, behavior which has been punished decreases). In research with animals it is usually assumed that food is a positive reinforcer if the animal is hungry, that electric shocks are punishment. It is more complex, in dealing with ordinary human behavior, to determine what will be a reward and what will be a punishment—but usually such things as money, praise, and social acceptance are thought to be rewards while economic costs or criticism from other people are punishments. The model suggests several explanations:

3. Too Permissive an Upbringing

Those students who do not return their trays come from homes where they always were rewarded whether they took their dishes back to the kitchen or not. The student-tray lovers, overpampered and spoiled as children, were not properly conditioned.

4. "What's In It For Me?" (or) "Virtue Doesn't Pay"

Closely related to the preceding hypothesis is the hypothesis that those students who have not been "properly conditioned" also see a net cost in taking their trays back; they are not paid to do it (it does take effort to walk to the other end of the cafeteria and stand in line for a minute or so). Some sages have argued that "virtue is its own reward" but these people are not going to be conned: to them, virtuous conduct requires more than the reward it provides.

My principal, very much a social learning theorist, decided the solution lay in increasing the costs to be incurred by wrongdoers. He adopted a random terror approach. Teacher monitors were placed in the cafeteria; these teachers were most annoyed at spending their lunch hours in a noisy, crowded cafeteria on monitor duty, and they let the students they caught know—in no uncertain terms—what socially objectionable persons they were for not returning their trays. In addition to this criticism, repeat offenders were also subject to the familiar repertoire of high school disciplinary methods: detention, suspension, parent conferences, etc.

This attempted solution to the problem did have a modest effect in getting trays returned. It had this effect, however, at a certain cost—an increase in the irritability of teachers and a police state atmosphere in the cafeteria. The most important benefit, from the principal's point of view, was probably political and symbolic; the cafeteria staff felt he was acting firmly, that he was "doing the best he could" in the situation. His seemingly decisive action made the cafeteria staff more willing to put up with clearing the remaining trays.

I think it would be unfair to behavior reinforcement theorists, however, to suggest that they would all endorse my principal's actions. In general, behavior reinforcement research suggests that rewards may be more effective in changing behavior than punishments. But, even if my principal knew this, I think he would have chosen the punishment route because he simply had no rewards he could offer: certainly he had no money to pay students, and parents would not have accepted the solution of giving "good" students time off from school or higher grades. In fact I am at a loss, even now, to imagine what rewards a high school principal could give that his students would want: I do not picture the people I went to high school

---


---


7It is interesting to note, as an aside, that the broad application of behavior reinforcement principles in the classroom now seems to be well underway, albeit at a time when the cutting edge of research shows major problems with such applications. A recent review concludes: "The last 3 years have seen two cas for those of us who attempt to apply traditional principles of learning to instruction. Theorists' principles of learning seem to be crumbling... In fact, right one of the principles confidentially enumerated by Skinner in his Science of Learning and the Art of Teaching now turns out to be untrue—at least in some general sense as he believed at that time." William McGarachan (1974) "Instructional Psychology" in Mark Rosenzweig and Lyman Porter (eds.) Annual Review of Psychology, Vol. 25 Palo Alto: Annual Reviews, 181-193.
school with being highly motivated by the principal standing in the dirty
dhub and praising them when they brought their trays back.

Perhaps my principal chose coercion only because he had no rewards.
In fact, I think we all chose coercion because he was angry and because
he left that coercion to produce more change than rewards. (There
now is some experimental evidence that individuals who use coercion
to produce change feel more powerful than individuals who produce the same
amount of change through rewards.) Like many other people my
principal seemed to believe implicitly that sticks were more effective than
carrots.

I should add, I think, that my principal was conservative and probably
felt a moral obligation to society to do something about the colossal
"what's in it for me" attitude that prevailed. Conservatives and moralists
often seem drawn toward coercion.30 And a social learning theorist like me
my principal would tend to take tray-laying more seriously, to view it as
representing an attitude that would continue throughout life if it were not
stopped.

It is interesting to note, in passing, that the discipline of economics is
built upon the assumption of a "what's in it for me" calculation on the
part of hedonistic individuals. The economists' perspective would suggest
a rather elegant and simple solution to our problem—a market mechanism:
all you need do is charge each student a $25 deposit on his tray when he
buys his lunch. He receives the deposit back when he returns the tray. If
he does not return the tray, he loses the deposit—and it becomes in the
interest of other students to become entrepreneurs and carry it back.

30. R. E. Kite (1964) "Attributions of Casualty as a Function of the Use of Reward
and Punishment." Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation, Stanford University; Barry
R. Schlenker and James T. Tedeschi (1970) "Institutional Atrractioll to the

31. Studies of American public education show that a strong conservative leaning is
typical of its employees. See, for example, H. Zinger, M. K. Jennings and G. W.
Corriveau P. Caene (ed.) Political Science Annual: An International Review
Institute: Balbo-Merrill, Vol. 6, 177-226; also the evidence for high percen-
tagtes of System 1 information processors in O. J. Harvey et al. "Teachers' Beliefs,
Classroom Atmopshere and Student Behavior" (1972) reprinted in A. Morrison
and D. Michalovski (eds. ) Social Psychology of Teaching, Baltimore: Penguin
Books, 216-229.

32. If is of course not a common practice in our culture to reward people who act
morally: the official version is that ethical conduct should be its own reward.
However Montaigne in his Essays remarks that: "in China, a kingdom in which
patriotism and respect for the arts, though they had no contact with or interaction
in other examples that surpass them in many erxellent features, the
offuards deputed by the prince to inspect the store of his presence, when punishing
nobility for abusing his office, also reward, out of pure liberality, any whose
conduct has been shown the common level of honesty." M. S. Montaigne (1680)

I am not at all certain, however, that my principal would have found
such a market system attractive even had he been thought of it. As I said, he
believed that there was a matter of morals at stake, a moral obligation
toward other people. I think he would have been much less reluctant to install
any system which implied that one could legitimately ignore moral
obligations by paying money.31

5. Small Group Rewards ("Evil Companions")

One elaboration of social learning theory would be to look at the
groups to which individuals belong. Our research hypothesis would be that
in some friendship groups there are rewards for leaving trays (e.g.
appearing "tough," "courageous," or "independent)"). This reference
group notion is particularly interesting because it implies that individuals
may be relatively insulated from direct influence by the administration.
Moreover, it suggests that, for some reference groups, what the administra-
tion regards as punishment (e.g. detention) may actually be a reward, a
kind of badge of courage, a source of respect and acceptance from other
group members. Perhaps breaking up such groups (by rotating lunch
shift assignments) would work. You could attempt to exert some group
pressure through the student government.
III. Psychoanalytic Theories

Both the cybernetic and the social learning perspectives are relatively well organized; hypotheses seem to flow in a straightforward way from the image of human behavior. This coherence is not characteristic of the psychoanalytic model. In fact about the only common element among psychoanalytic theories (when applied to a specific situation) is their tendency to emphasize unconscious dynamics and to use a specialized vocabulary. I have organized the following illustration of psychoanalytic theories in three categories: traditional theories which emphasize individual characteristics, traditional theories which emphasize a group and the individual's relation to it, and developmental theories.

A. Traditional Theories—Individual Characteristics

6. Sadism ("Sexual Thrill")

It might be said that those individuals who do not return traps are sadistic. That is, they seek and receive a kind of perverse sexual thrill from an act of aggression. Assuming that the act of aggression is against the administration, not returning traps would be somewhat like teasing a caged animal: the principal could start about the situation over a public address system, but this expression of anger or frustration on the part of the principal would only encourage traw-leaving. Perhaps the best he can do is to expel the students offenders.

7. Masochism ("Asking for Punishment")

A reverse interpretation could also be generated from a psychoanalytic image of man: perhaps the individuals who leave their traps unconsciously want to be punished. Hence they transgress: as Freud put it, the masochist, "In order to provoke punishment... must act against his own interests, ruin the prospects which the real world offers him, and possibly destroy his own existence in the world of reality." As another psychoanalyst has put it, "Unconscious wishes to be raped, punished, beaten or devoured may all contribute to rebelliousness." This interpretation is somewhat similar to the psychoanalytic idea that crimes may be motivated by an overpowering existing sense of guilt, a desire to be punished. The individual not only receives relief and gratification from the realistic criticisms he now can direct at himself, his deviant acts also involve the external world in a kind of play to assist him in self denigration. Perhaps ignoring the behavior would be effective—the masochist would seek his punishment elsewhere. (Although, perhaps a refusal to punish would make traw-leaving especially gratifying to the masochist—as in the old joke: "Hit me," said the masochist. "No," said the sadist.)

8. Narcissistic Gratification ("Attention-Seeking")

It is also possible, of course, that neither aggression nor sadism is involved at all. We have all heard parents say of children who misbehave or are funny that they are "just looking for attention." Perhaps it is so in this case as well: desiring recognition from his environment, and unable to obtain it in other ways, a lonely or troubled individual might commit deviant acts so that he can at least obtain some sort of personal relationship with someone. Providing alternative sources of attention and recognition might work.

10. Inadequate Identification with Parents

In psychoanalytic theory conscience is formed by identification with the parents. It may be that those individuals who do not return their traps tend to lack a conscience—in other words, they would have sociopathic tendencies and simply be "out for themselves." Inadequate identification with parents, then, is a companion theory to the earlier "virtue doesn't pay" explanation of the social learning perspective. It differs only in suggesting that inadequate identification with parents (rather than a permissive upbringing) is involved. Perhaps therapy would help, although it has not proven too helpful with people with sociopathic tendencies.12

15Ray Wright op. cit., Glueck and Glueck op. cit.
11. Identification with Irresponsible Parents

Trau-leaving would not be predicted only by inadequate identification. It may arise because the same sexed parent (with whom the child presumably identified strongly in childhood) did not take the responsibil-
ity for his own behavior, or was cruel, harsh, unprincipled, or self-
 serving.84 Both this theory and the preceding one would suggest that, in
the long term, the school system should seek to induce parents to change
their child-rearing practices.

B. Psychoanalytic Group-Centered Theories

12. "Us Against Them" (In-group solidarity supported by
displacement of aggress [scapegoating] against out-groups)

The traditional energy model of the human mind in psychoanalytic
theory posits the existence, within each individual, of a fixed quantity of
aggressive and libidinal energies. These energies we thought to be
conserved in the sense that they are always present and cannot be added to
or destroyed. An individual's personality structure is constituted from how
he appoints and organizes these energies. For example, he may express
some in direct action; he may use some energies to keep the lid on other
energies or impulses (repression); he may express some in a modified
form (a mechanism called sublimation), or he may express them
against some person or object other than their original target (a mechanism
called displacement).

Freud, in his analysis of the psychic economy of groups, proposed that
utilized group morale, cohesion, and loyalty were supported by the
tendency of group members to displace their fund of aggressive tendencies
toward outside groups. The love of group members for each other, in other
words, becomes more pure as their aggressive energies are redirected more
exclusively against outsiders and as love is withdrawn from the outsiders
and diverted to members of the in-group. Freud put the sobering matter
this way:

When once the Apostle Paul had posited universal love between men
as the foundation of his Christian community, extreme intolerance on
the part of Christ's followers toward those who remained outside it
became the inevitable consequence.19,20

19See Wright, op. cit., Church and Glauch, op. cit.

More recently, in the case of Nazi Germany, it has been proposed that the
high morale and unity of the German state was sustained by
"scapegoating" the Jews, the invention of a common enemy helping to
unify the German people. A familiar theme in science fiction movies
during the Cold War was based on the same notion: the thrust from outer
space dissolves normal political conflicts as all nations unite in joint effort
against the common alien enemy. In a somewhat attenuated form this
same dynamic often can be seen in high schools: nothing, it seems, is
associated with high morale or school spirit as much as a football team or
basketball team which regularly defeats opposing schools.

This body of speculation, the "in-group solidarity sustaining aggression
against out-groups" hypothesis, suggests that the individuals who leave
trays will be found to be close friends of other individuals who leave hits.
Their common aggression against others would be in the service of
sustaining their bonds with one another.

If this "we-against-them" dynamic is the explanation of trau-leaving the
most obvious policy recommendation, similar to that discussed earlier
under social learning theory—"Evil Companions"—would be to adopt a
policy that would alter these associations (e.g. rotation of lunch shift
assignments).

13. Inadequate Identification With the School or Principal

A second group-centered hypothesis utilizing psychoanalytic theory
would focus upon the school itself and upon students who do not
return their trays have an inadequate identification with the school.
In other words, they do not feel the welfare of the school as their own
welfare, they are not personally concerned when the school has a problem.
I said earlier that my high school principal implicitly used a behavior
reinforcement theory when he put teacher monitors in the cafeteria
to catch students who did not return their trays. In fact he also adopted an
"inadequate group identification" theory. He felt that deviating individu-
als had insufficient pride in their school and so, at the time he announced
the creation of teacher monitors over the school public address system, he
tried to increase identification with the school and to utilize this dynamic
to change behavior. He spoke glowingly of the great history and high ideals
of Walter Johnson High School. He spoke proudly of "those few
individuals," that "minority of students," who did damage to these ideals.
He spoke fervently of his hope that all of us could once again feel pride in
our school and strengthen its great traditions and ideals.

I must confess that, at the time, I felt somewhat embarrassed for the
principal when he made this speech. My friends and I were too
cynical—and, in our own minds, too intelligent—to be taken in by this kind
of emotionism. We were highly sensitive to being manipulated, and we
suspected that he cared far more for getting those trays taken back than he
genuinely cared about the "traditions" of a relatively new suburban high
14. Too Strong an Identification with the School (Identification with the Aggressor)

The previous theory argued that tray-leaving could arise from weak identification with the school. However the same behavior could also result from the opposite process, a strong identification with the school if individuals felt the school to be hostile or indifferent to them.

An illustration will make this dynamic clear. Bruno Bettelheim reported on behavior of other inmates he observed in Nazi concentration camps. He found that, far from opposing the brutality of the guards, there were some prisoners who actually began to imitate ([identify with]) the guards. This behavior as "identification with an aggressor," a psychological defense: rather than feel defenseless victims of their oppressors, the identification made inmates feel at one with them, a participant in their brutal power.

If the "identification with an aggressor" hypothesis is correct we should think of these students who do not take back their trays as manifesting the same indifference and callousness toward the welfare of others as they feel the school system expresses toward them. The school system should become more benevolent.

C. Psychoanalytic Developmental Theories

By now we have crossed through two of the three groups of psychoanalytic hypotheses. Developmental theories, particularly focusing on adolescence, are relatively new (i.e. post Freudian) with the exception of the first to be considered (rebellion against authority).

15. Rebellion Against Parental Authority

In this perspective the school administration is seen as a parent surrogate (his "transference") and rejections against parental authority are expressed within the school. The "real" sources of tray-leaving would have to be sought in the home and the effective elimination of conflict in the home. Schools sometimes adopt this theory in recommending family counseling in the case of "behavior problems."


16. Deviation in the Service of Individualization

Deviant acts (like tray-leaving) may actually be committed by the individual in the service of obtaining a sense of himself as an individual who can act separately from the wishes of authority. In some cases tray-leaving, like other delinquent acts of adolescence, might be in the long term psychological interest of some individuals. Unlike a social learning approach [which would see anti-social behavior as something which the individual will continue if he "is allowed to get away with it"], this approach would suggest that minor deviant acts are a passing stage of development and may be quite beneficial in relation to the actual gains in a sense of personal identity and integrity which can accrue. It is sometimes argued that one of the benefits of juvenile gangs or friendship groups is the service they perform in this way by encouraging the individual to commit minor deviant acts, and by freeing him to commit these acts (reducing his guilt by sharing it). Other unfortunate side effects (e.g. inhibitions in performing school work) have also been attributed to passive rebellion stemming from the same desire on the part of the individual to obtain or retain some sense of himself as a separate being. We should note that, [jumping down hard, the school might achieve short term gains but at longer term costs to the individuals’ development.


25Note the untenable evidence suggesting the importance of providing an adult-acceptable peer influence balance in the interests of long term development of an ego and moral autonomy in Dars Wright, op. cit.
anxiety about the prospect of moving out on his own, choosing a college, getting a job, many may face a decision about marriage. If the individual is rushed into more freedom than he can handle, he may become increasingly anxious; he may have difficulty in concentrating or "getting it together," he may feel adrift or that he is sinking, unable to cope. For at least some people behavior can become bizarre, disorganized, or antagonistic without faith that someone else's firm hand is at the tiller. In this perspective the leaving of trays would be both a symptom of this kind of regression and a desperate, inoculate call for help—a desire to have benevolent authority step in, set down definite rules and structure, and thereby relieve the individual from his sense of being deserted.26 If this theory is correct, then the principal should make rules and insist that this structure be adhered to: he will get his trays returned and also help his students.

18. Depression

The Group for the Advancement of Psychiatry has presented a psychoanalytic interpretation of depressive tendencies during adolescence in the following developmental perspective:

The withdrawal from the parents normally causes a kind of mourning reaction or episodes of depression in the adolescent. Psychologically this is similar to mourning the actual loss of a loved person. Since the parents in fact are present, however, the cause of the depression is obscure to both the adolescent and his parents and is likely to be labeled simply as "moodiness."27

The GAP views these depression episodes as a consequence of growing independence, an increasing psychological separation from the parents. This depression could account, in turn, for why some people do not return their trays. It is not (as suggested earlier) that they are preoccupied, their energy directed elsewhere. On the contrary, they have no energy or desire to do anything.

Retrospect on Psychoanalytic Theories

I have not elaborated extensively on the separate policy implications of psychoanalytic theories. In large part this is because they bring very few good ones to mind except for sending tray-leavers to psychotherapy where they could learn more about their unconscious dynamics. Psycho-


analyses themselves are notably recalcitrant when it comes to suggesting policy alternatives other than psychotherapy.28 I suspect, however, that much more could be done, reliably, in these directions. At a minimum, the trend toward including psychology as a part of the school curriculum seems hopeful.
IV. Dramaturgical and Role Theory
("All the World's a Stage")

Dramaturgical and role theories, as their names imply, imagine that people are continually playing roles. These roles are clusters of behaviors and perspectives. In the role theory perspective, an individual does not perform a given action because he enjoys it (although he may), rather he acts the way he does because that is the role he is playing. And individuals do not necessarily adopt their roles because they find the roles, in sum, more gratifying than alternative roles. Rather they simply feel that it is their role or the appropriate role, a part of their identity.

19. Act/Scene Ratio
Most of the dramaturgical or role hypotheses to be discussed here invoke the name of different roles. One hypothesis, however, differs from these. This is the notion advanced by Kenneth Burke 28 that:

From the motivational point of view, there is implicit in the quality of a scene the quality of the action that is to take place within it. This would be another way of saying that the act will be consistent with the scene.

If we pause to reflect on the scene provided by my high school cafeteria it is apparent that there was considerable impersonality, a rather objectionable institutional air about the long rows of formica topped tables and non-descript (sometimes broken) wooden chairs: it was noisy, the walls were made of cinder block with a dusty white ash glaze. Burke would suggest that we would be more likely to find rather callous impersonal behaviors (like leaving trays) in this impersonal, institutional setting.

We would need, to be rigorous, to identify some other characteristic to go with Burke's hypothesis since people differ in their actions in the same setting. One avenue might be to explore personality factors that cause individuals to differ in their susceptibility to being influenced by the scenes in which they are a part.

If Burke is correct, then the solution to our problem would lie in introducing a degree of elegance into high school dining. Tablecloths, noise dampening materials, flowers, carpets, etc. would provide different cues and produce a setting where people would be more inclined, automatically, to return their trays.

20. "Loser"
It is said that people can come to play the role of "Loser" in their lives. In formal language, we would say that they have developed a "negative identity" and that they go through life always celebrating their behavior so that they will be looked down upon by other people.

Jeanne Marack 29 and David Mettee 30 recently published experimental work which substantiates the concept of a "loser" syndrome. Subjects performed an experimental task and were told that they had done exceptionally well. The subjects then had a chance to perform the task again and, consistent with a loser syndrome prediction, those subjects who already had a strong sense of low self-esteem did make substantially more errors on the second performance of the task. In other words, knowing what the standards for success were, these losers systematically modified their behavior so they would tend to fail.

The possibility of a loser syndrome has also concerned Kai and Erik Erikson, 31 and they have applied the idea in recommending changes in policies for dealing with juvenile delinquents. Their concern is that if an adolescent is caught and punished he may develop a negative identity—he may begin to think of himself, in other words, as a loser or as a criminal or an outcast. Having labelled the adolescent a "loser," then, society is engaging in a self-fulfilling prophecy because the adolescent will tend to act out this identity in the future. (Women's Liberation writers have used a similar idea in criticizing the constrained roles and self-fulfilling prophecies inflicted on women in our society.)

There is, in fact, some intriguing additional evidence which supports the idea that you can establish a negative identity in a teenager by catching him and punishing him and that, as a result, he will transgress more in the future than if you had not caught him and punished him. For example, a study in a British boarding school for boys compared two groups of boys with identical past histories for smoking. The only difference between the groups was that the boys in one group had, at one time or another, been

caught smoking (which was against the rules of the boarding school) and had been punished for it. In this group which had been caught smoking and punished for it, a great number of boys were still smoking several years later.

Research bearing on the "inner" syndrome suggests two ideas. First, the school itself may bear responsibility for establishing these negative identities. If so, we would expect to find that those individuals who do not return their trays have been given a great many negative cues over the years by the school system: low grades, for example, could establish negative identities, rules which then act out in the cafeteria. The second idea a inner syndrome suggests is that the use of coercion and punishment will be a serious error. For, if tray-leavers are caught and punished, this can strengthen a sense of negative identity. Perhaps the school administration would succeed, to some extent, in getting the cafeteria problem under control, but it might do so at the cost of increasing other behavioral, academic, and developmental difficulties for those whom it punishes.

21. Peter Pan Syndrome

Peter Pan did not want to grow up. He wanted to stay young forever. If we infer from the story, we might imagine that he conceived growing up as equivalent to becoming like the awful Captain Hook, and his wish to stay young was a desire to avoid playing this kind of role. I have chosen the illustration of a "Peter Pan Syndrome" deliberately because one of the major observers of modern youth, Kenneth Keniston, has proposed something quite similar. Young people today, he writes, believe that "beyond youth lie only stasis, decline, foreclosure, and death." Young people bulk at joining the "establishment" because to them this means playing a role which has extremely negativeistic connotations. Responsibilities, in short, are seen as burdens; being mature is no fun.

The Peter Pan Syndrome suggests that those individuals who do not take their trays confront a choice, in their own minds, between two
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rules. The first role, the one they elect to play for themselves, is a role of freedom, moderate responsibility, emotional spontaneity, variety, and fun. The role they reject is a role which they see as oppressive, deadening, mechanical and rather boring and tiresome. In the choice between life and death those who leave their trays have elected life. Perhaps, if the adults in the school were to become more alive and fun-loving, they would provide models different from Captain Hook. Few of them, at least in my high school, seemed very joyful about their work.

22. Game Playing

One additional hypothesis illustrating a role theory perspective is the notion of a game in which students and administration are each playing a part according to certain time-honored but unwritten rules. In this perspective the game "Who Will Return the Trays?" is a fun-filled pastime for the students involved. They leave trays, the principal grows about it over the public address system, teacher monitors are put into the cafeteria. But students continue to play the game with their own countermoves—watching for when the teacher monitor is looking in the other direction before exiting for their next class (leaving their trays behind them). Of course the student knows that, if he is caught leaving his tray, nothing particularly serious will happen—he might get an angry word, or, at worst, he might have to go to a detention study hall: it would be like a game of ice hockey in which an offender can be caught in a transgression and will go to the penalty box briefly but knows he will rejoin his teammates in the game after awhile. The "Return the Trays" game the students play with the administration could be seen, in this light, as similar to other games students play in high school classrooms with teachers—for example, the "Who's Done Their Assignment for Today?" game (in general, in my high school, few students had done their assignments—and the ball was then back in the teacher's court and he or she had to figure out a countermove).

Note that it is not necessary for both students and administration to play the game. What is necessary is only that students see it as a game. In fact, if they do see "Who Will Return the Trays?" in this way, I am not


24. In Sennett and Cobb's analysis "iors" tend to band together in friendship groups where they establish their own standards for recognition separate from and often antithetical to the school's (e.g., smoking, nonattending, etc.). The "iors" thus establish an identity and community which takes some degree of self-regard. A similar esteem enhancing function may occasionally be served on college campuses by "secret societies" but everyone can make a's in class, nobody can get plastered and brag about all of the silly things the do. See Richard Sennett and Jonathan Cobb (1972) The Hidden Injuries of Class, New York: Knopf.


26. Some psychologists would see the Peter Pan Syndrome as calling for psychotherapy. Through it, Pearson and Newton argue, "The grim concept of social responsibility is transformed into pressure in the province of social participation as wide a base as the person's capacities will permit." See Jan Pearson and Saul Newton (1964) The Conditions of Human Growth, New York: Citadel Press, 444.

27. What may be involved is a special case of the distinction sociologists draw between "up front" and "back stage" behavior. Restaurant managers, for example, can be quite indifferent and fun-loving when they are behind the counter, but they become somber and a bit stiff when they appear before their official audience of customers. See, for example, Erving Goffman (1968) The Presentation of Self in Everyday Life, New York: Doubleday, 119.
sure what the administration could do about it. If the principal were to announce over the public address system, “Look, I’m not going to play games—I want those trays taken back,” he might have little effect. Students (at least at my high school) would likely have seen such a statement by the principal as a particularly clever countermove, only a shrewdly calculated attempt to win the game by pretending there was no game.

V. Humanistic Psychology

Humanistic psychology views men as having an innate tendency to “grow,” a term which is usually taken to mean becoming more humane, altruistic, productive, loving, and so forth. If we view not returning cafeteria trays as indicative of some blockage in the growth process, Abraham Maslow’s work suggests two hypotheses:

23. Lower Need (e.g. Sexual) Deprivation

Maslow views men as being motivated by a hierarchy of needs—the “higher” needs motivate only when lower needs are satisfied. If returning trays is seen as indicative of a “higher” (more altruistic) motivation, then not returning trays might arise from the deprivation of any of the “lower” needs—of which sexual satisfaction is, in Maslow’s view, one. Thus we would expect that the students who do not return trays are those who are more sexually frustrated and deprived, and a high school administration which wanted to deal with the cafeteria tray problem would have to concern itself with facilitating adequate sexual satisfaction for its students. I suspect, however, that it will require higher consciousness on the part of school administrators before they are willing to consider this theory seriously. They were most reluctant, at least in my day, even to acknowledge the existence of what one of them called (privately) “the ultimate relationship.”
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24. The Jonah Complex

Maslow also writes that many people fear their highest potentialities.39 They do not feel strong enough to feel too good about themselves, too noble or virtuous or competent. Counter to what Kieson would say (The Peter Pan Syndrome) or what a social learning theorist would say, taking back trays is seen as psychologically rewarding by these people but they avoid the behavior because they could not stand that much gratification.

VI. Specialized Theories

There are six rather specialized theories that can be applied to the tray problem: emotional contagion, reduced altruism from sensory overload, equity theory, Kohlberg’s theory of moral development, depersonalization theory and frustration-aggression theory.

25. Monkey See/Monkey Do (Emotional Contagion)

Classic analyses of human behavior in large groups point to a range of phenomena which occur in these settings.40 One is the phenomenon of emotional contagion—behavior and feelings spread more rapidly. If this mechanism operates in the cafeteria (perhaps with some being more susceptible than others) then we would simply say that, somehow, the act of not returning trays got started—and it spread. If emotional contagion of this sort occurs in the high school cafeteria one solution might be to partition the single large room into a series of smaller rooms, thus reducing the extent to which individuals are part of a large mass.

26. Sensory Overload and Reduced Altruism

One of the traditional hypotheses about life in large cities is that there is so much sensory stimulation (e.g., noise, large numbers of people, activity), that people have to reduce their emotional involvement with (and concern for) most of the people they meet in order to retain some kind of equilibrium.41 This we would expect (assuming some individuals reduce their emotional involvement with their environment more strongly than others) that not returning cafeteria trays would be a result of the


crowded conditions in the cafeteria (and perhaps in the school in general). The effective resolution of the tray problem, by this theory, would be to reduce crowding, install more number of materials, etc.

27. Kohlberg Moral Development Theory

Kohlberg has advanced considerable evidence for a new theory which sees moral development occurring in a sequence of six stages. He has studied moral reasoning (how a person explains or justifies an act as moral or immoral), but the stages seem to predict to moral behaviors as well. One of the lower stages of moral development is hedonistic morality (i.e., moral appropriateness is derived from the “what’s in it for me” attitude identified earlier as an assumption in social learning theory).

The highest stage is the stage of individual ethical principles (the individual makes up his own mind in a principled way about what is right or wrong), and greater altruism and sense of individual responsibility seem to be associated with this stage as well. Kohlberg’s theory would tell us that those who leave their trays may be at a lower level of moral development. The solution to the problem then would be careful attention by the school to curriculum innovations that would move students to higher stages of moral development. (This task apparently cannot be done by simple exhortation.)

28. Equity Theory (Golden Rule Psychology)

Equity theory is probably best expressed, in its basic form, by the lex talionis of antiquity: “An eye for an eye, a tooth for a tooth.” In other words, it is the proposition that, to the extent they can, people are motivated to repay others, to behave toward others the way others behave toward them. If you treat others with kindness and respect, the theory proposes, they will treat you with kindness and respect. Give them a hard time and they will tend to give you a hard time when they have the opportunity.

In the case of the unreturned cafeteria trays equity theory would tell us that students, being expressing a basic and situationally-induced resentment


against the school: the regimentation, low marks, boredom, large classes, and a somewhat authoritarian structure are inducing them to repay the school for the hassles and indignities to which they have been subjected.

It is interesting, in this connection, to observe that equity theory would predict that the only way to resolve the problem would be to make high school a place where students are treated with respect and dignity, a great many rewards are forthcoming, and so forth. Only if the administration lives up to the Golden Rule will students do likewise. Note that the use of coercion or punishment is very unwise if equity theory is correct since these will only motivate further student underground resistance in either the classroom or the cafeteria.

29. Depersonalization

An increasing number of studies point to the possibility that depersonalization and anonymity tend to dissolve the obligations and humanizing restraints in individual conduct. If so, we would expect to find those leaving their trays to be students who receive less recognition from the school, to be the “forgotten,” ignored students, the ones for whom neither teachers nor administrators have time.

Interestingly, there is now some general evidence for a depersonalization theory. Not only are students “depersonalized,” it appears that school administrators and teachers are not seen as fully human, at least by high school students—a condition which may further promote callousness and indifference toward them. If depersonalization theory is correct then a principal should concern himself with reducing the bureaucratic atmosphere of the school. Students must feel known, recognized, and cared about; and they should feel those who run the school are “personalized” human beings engaged in honest human relationships rather than role performances.

30. Frustration-Aggression

If we think of leaving trays as an aggressive act, then perhaps frustration-aggression theory can help us to understand it. What might
produce the frustrated students who, the theory holds, would be likely to express their frustration as aggression against the school? It might be that the most objectively deprived students would be the most frustrated. But the available evidence suggests that frustration may depend instead on relative deprivation, that is the gap between what a man wants or feels entitled to receive and what he actually does receive. 33

As with equity theory, one possible solution is to increase the rewards to students, thus reducing frustrations. However if relative deprivation is involved, then several other alternatives become plausible depending on how students form their comparison levels. 34 One alternative might be to equate existing rewards, so that students would not face illusions in comparison with one another. Or the school might de-emphasize the achievement ethic: rather than tangle the carrot of an idealized academic success in front of many students who can never achieve it, the school could adopt a more humanistic set of ideals that everyone could meet; paradoxically, it may be that high standards, by inducing a sense of inadequacy and frustration, turn out to be counterproductive. Or the problem might be a lot simpler than this, a matter of providing better food in the cafeteria. 35

VII. Field Theory: Different Strokes for Different Folks?

I have reserved discussion of field theory until the end because it does not offer specific hypotheses. Rather it offers a general perspective on the hypotheses that have preceded.

Field theory asks that we imagine each individual as living in a psychological "life space," a psychological space which includes a variety of personal and situational forces that, in combination, determine behavior. 36 Field theory alerts us that our preceding theories are not mutually exclusive. In the same individual there may be a "what's in it for me" attitude, a tendency to be deviant in the service of developing a greater sense of his own identity, a mild degree of depression, certain satific tendencies, a loser syndrome, some susceptibility to emotional contagion (and so forth). All of these factors (and perhaps others affecting him in opposite directions) may be at work and, by their sum, produce the final behavior we observe.

By proposing the image of separate individual life spaces, field theory also alerts us that the relevant constellation of forces—the presence or absence of particular forces and their strength if present—may well differ from individual to individual. To account fully for tray-leaving, then, we may need all of the theories reviewed so far (not to mention others that might have to be discovered). We might need a somewhat different explanation for each individual. 37 And we might need to find a variety of "solutions," each of which will affect somewhat differently the behavior of different individuals.

It is important to emphasize, however, that field theory only suggests this maximum complexity might be present. It does not rule out, on

---

35 It is possible that special privileges for teachers and administrators are sources of student frustration—in some high schools teachers are allowed to eat in the front of the long cafeteria lines, they have phones where they can smoke, etc.
36 Deutsch and Krauss, op. cit.
theoretical grounds, the possibility that a few factors might actually account for most of the differences between the people who return trays and those who do not.

VIII. Thirty Theories in Search of Reality

Perhaps the reader is a bit dazed by now, finding that he has been forced to withdraw some of his attention as theories piled up and stimulus overload became a reality. It will be well, then, to call a halt at this point. I have diagrammed the thirty theories in Figure 1.55

A quick glance at the diagram shows that many linkages are still untested, especially how background factors in individual development affect variations in fun seeking, certain unconscious dynamics, social conformity, and physiological responses. There are, of course, other theories about all of these things, but it would add little to go into them here: the purpose has been to inventory and introduce basic traditions of explanatory theory, not to write an exhaustive anatomy.

I do want to indicate, however, that there are several avenues I have not explored. There is the Marxist theory of a possible "haughty bourgeoisie indifference" of some students toward the working-class employees of the cafeteria and the Maoist policy solution of decreasing depersonalization, altering rewards and punishments, and increasing identification by requiring the students to serve as workers and all members of the school to engage in public mutual and self-criticism sessions. More importantly, I have made the implicit assumption that returning trays is desirable behavior: reversing this assumption could turn up disparaging syndromes.


My map, for reasons of simplicity, ignores the possibility that the factors which sustain behavior may be different from those that first start it (e.g. a "try it, you'll like it" mechanism). One way, in which behavior, once initiated, can change its psychological meaning are the discussion of cognitive consistency and self-attribution in Daryl Bem (1970) "Beliefs, Attitudes and Human Affairs," Belmont, Calif.: Brooks/Cole. I am indebted to Gary Wildfield for a discussion of these additional complexities that should be included in an exhaustive analysis of possibilities.
that might characterize some students who return their trays—e.g.,
automatic "authoritarian" obedience of anyone in authority. 34

But I think we have surveyed the map below. Taken together in the
map they show the types of factors which potentially interact to affect a
single behavior in one person: his individual background, the broader
context of the society and social institutions of which he is a part, his
motives and inner states in all their complexity, the many facets and
dimensions of the immediate situation.

Any one reading social science literature or the popular press will
encounter different authors debating the drafts for different theories:
cost-benefit theories of voting, inadequate child rearing as a theory of
crimes, theories of unconscious determinants of war and so forth. A map
such as that in Figure 1 may be useful in keeping all of these different
ideas in an organized perspective. And the complexity of the map
demonstrates why the professional social scientists, although he values
individual insights, nevertheless wants hard evidence before he will believe
any one plausible theory is a major explanation.

Totally it requires a sense of humor to consider a minor problem like
unreturned cafeteria trays from thirty different points of view. But the
important point is that most major problems of human behavior have an
analogous structure: some people are criminals but others are not, some
nations go to war but others do not, some people find society alienating
but others do not, some students think and work up to their potential but
others do not, some people are racially prejudiced but other people are
not. The thirty different theoretical perspectives identified different policy
alternatives and strategic interventions points which men of good will might
see to solve such problems and make this a better world. These policy
options are summarized in Table 1.

Some of these thirty theories might be called "conservative" theories:
they attribute the cause of the problem to something about individuals
and they recommend intervening to change individuals to solve the
problem. Other theories could be called "liberal" theories: they attribute
the cause of the problem more to the surrounding social structures and
practices and they recommend intervening to change this environment to
solve the problem. It is true, in America, that each individual has the right
to advocate his ideology through an equal vote in the decision-making
process. But embodied in liberal and conservative perspectives are theories
of human behavior: from the viewpoint of a social scientist the best way
to decide among theories is to assemble evidence, not dismiss them (or
champion them) because they fit the relatively uninformed prejudices and
partial insights that have been the traditional guidelines for resolving
policy questions in our society.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theories</th>
<th>Policy for Behavior Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I. Cybernetic</td>
<td>Information about expectations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Ignorance of Expectations</td>
<td>Information about expectations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Ignorance of Consequences</td>
<td>Information about consequences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II. Behavior Reinforcement</td>
<td>Better reinforcement schedules by parents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Permissive Up-Bring</td>
<td>Devised system; give rewards if possible; increase costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. What’s In It For Me</td>
<td>Better peer group pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Small Group Rewards</td>
<td>Break-up groups, use student government to exert peer group pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III. Psychosocial Model</td>
<td>Therapy: Expulsion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Sudden</td>
<td>Therapy: Ignore it (f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Measle</td>
<td>Therapy: Alternative source of attention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Attention Seeking</td>
<td>Therapy: Reminders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Preoccupation</td>
<td>Therapy (f); better child rearing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Sociopathic Tendencis</td>
<td>(f), better child rearing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Identification with</td>
<td>Insecure Parents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. In-group Out-group</td>
<td>Break-up groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Inadequate Identification</td>
<td>Strengthen identification with school; better leadership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Inadequate Identification with</td>
<td>Increase benevolence of school system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Inadequate Identification with</td>
<td>Strengthen identification with school; better leadership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Inadequate Identification with</td>
<td>Increase benevolence of school system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Inadequate Identification with</td>
<td>Increase benevolence of school system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Inadequate Identification with</td>
<td>Increase benevolence of school system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Inadequate Identification with</td>
<td>Increase benevolence of school system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Inadequate Identification with</td>
<td>Increase benevolence of school system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Inadequate Identification with</td>
<td>Increase benevolence of school system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Inadequate Identification with</td>
<td>Increase benevolence of school system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Inadequate Identification with</td>
<td>Increase benevolence of school system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Inadequate Identification with</td>
<td>Increase benevolence of school system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Inadequate Identification with</td>
<td>Increase benevolence of school system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Inadequate Identification with</td>
<td>Increase benevolence of school system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Inadequate Identification with</td>
<td>Increase benevolence of school system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Inadequate Identification with</td>
<td>Increase benevolence of school system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Inadequate Identification with</td>
<td>Increase benevolence of school system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Inadequate Identification with</td>
<td>Increase benevolence of school system</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1. Theories and Policy Options: A Summary**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theories</th>
<th>Policy for Behavior Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>29. Dehumanization</td>
<td>Break-up large schools; more personal interest of staff in all students; facilitate seeing students as individual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Frustration/Aggression</td>
<td>More rewards from school system; equalize rewards; de-emphasize achievement ideals in favor of more humanitarian ones; eliminate special privileges for staff.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Analysis Topics -
The Case of the
Unreturned Cafeteria Trays

1. Applying the Arguments of Different Theories

1. Social Learning Theory

A Marxist might say that a market mechanism solution to any social problem is inherently immoral because it sanctions individual selfishness and greed as the determinants of behavior. Would you agree or disagree?

2. Inadequate Identification and Depersonalization

A Marxist might find it significant that most students in my high school were middle class or upper-middle class; 85% went on to college. The cafeteria workers and janitorial staff were blue-collar "functionaries." Do the actions of the students who leave their trays display an indifference and callousness toward these people that is a part of any class system? How does the Communist Chinese government attempt to prevent the development of "bourgeois arrogance" in its citizens?

3. Game Playing

In a sense the student government in my case study was "playing politics." Were we morally right to do what we did, morally wrong, or do you think that perhaps moral judgements should not apply to "games?" What do you think about politicians who "play politics?"

4. Maslow Need Hierarchy Theory

Maslow would propose that a healthy and satisfying love life is one prerequisite for good citizenship. Does this sound far-fetched to you or does it sound accurate?

5. Moral Development Theory

For his book *Political Ideology*, Robert E. Lane conducted extended depth interviews with working class men. He found that those men who worried about their ability to control their own impulses favored strong, moralistic, law-and-order government. They seemed to be saying that they needed and wanted the threat and realistic fear of retribution from government authority as an aid to deterring their own anti-social impulses. Kohlberg would agree that there are people like this. Clearly then the ideal of applying the same laws equally to all men is bad policy since the same laws and law enforcement practices can restrict unduly the people at the highest levels of moral development and be too lenient for those at the lower levels. Do you agree or disagree with this argument? Do you think police and courts already work on a rough-and-ready theory of this kind by giving out different punishments and enforcing laws differently for different groups?

II. Analyzing the Viewpoint of the Paper

1. The paper implies that liberals and conservatives are just deficient social scientists, that they advocate policies based on attitudes which embody theories for which they have no really good evidence. Is this characterization fair?

2. The paper implicitly argues that public policy should be based on good social science theory. What is the likelihood that such an approach would lead to totalitarianism or elitism? Is the approach anti-political?

III. Exercises in Thinking from Different Viewpoints

1. Some people in America make a very good living while other people are poor. Is poverty the fault of individuals or the fault of their environment? Propose three theories that would tend to blame the poor for their poverty and three theories that would tend to blame society.

2. Some people vote and others do not. Propose six alternative theories to explain this difference. (Note: do not automatically equate voting as a "good thing" analogous to returning trays.) On the basis of your political science courses, what theories are best?

3. Suppose that the Governor of your state appoints you to a special citizens' advisory committee on drunken driving. The Governor wants something done about the high loss of life due to the drunken driver problem. Your committee has $50 million to spend and a promise that the Governor will sponsor any constitutional laws that you propose. Outline five alternative approaches to solving the drunken driver problem.
4. Some people in other countries are revolutionaries opposed to "American imperialism." Other people are not. Propose five theories to explain this difference. Which theories do you think are best? What evidence can you offer to support your choice?

5. During the Kennedy administration the Russian government placed nuclear missiles on the island of Cuba. Propose seven alternative theories about why they might have done this.
Hi,

Please help me to connect with the right person to discuss:

- “How can new technologies protect consumer data?”

“What can be done to tackle some of the more unanticipated consequences of big data analytics?”

This is my basic view:

I agree that the privacy dream is "That we have a database with useful but private information and we have a curator that wants to take this data and sanitize it, so data analysts can only interact with the sanitized data set", but many mistakes can be made with data sets to be used for general purposes.

I read about a few examples in the paper "Why Pseudonyms Don’t Anonymize: A Computational Re-identification Analysis of Genomic Data Privacy Protection Systems" written by the Data Privacy Laboratory, School of Computer Science at Carnegie Mellon University. The conclusion was that "this work illustrates the danger of blindly adopting identity protection methods for genomic data. Future methods must account for inferences that can be leaked from the data itself and the environment into which the data is being released in order to provide guarantees of privacy. While the protection methods reviewed in this paper provide a base for future protection strategies, our analyses provide guideposts for the development of provable privacy protecting methods."

I think that separate data sets should be produced for specific purposes and that sensitive data fields should be secured to minimize the risk of data inferences. We never know where this data will eventually end up in a data breach. Data tokenization can provide the right balance between privacy and data insight in many situations.

In some situations I've seen suggestions that services that deliver result sets to specific queries instead of exposing the raw data (from different sources) could be attractive for some use cases. This can protect the privacy of the individuals and also the privacy of the different sources of data. A great source for this approach can be found in the paper "Distributed Anonymization: Achieving Privacy for Both Data Subjects and Data Providers" written by Pawel Jurczyk and Li Xiong at Emory University, Atlanta.

Best Regards,

Ulf Mattsson | CTO | Protegrity

Visit us at: www.protegrity.com

Protecting your Data. Protecting your Business

This message is intended solely for the named recipient. Please delete this message without delay should you not be one of the named recipient, or should it be clear from the contents of the message that it was not intended to your attention.
Please see letter attached.
March 13, 2014

Ms. Marjory Blumenthal
Executive Director
President’s Council of Advisors on Science and Technology
Eisenhower Executive Office Building

Dear Ms. Blumenthal:

On behalf of the American Federation of Government Employees, AFL-CIO, which represents more than 650,000 federal employees who serve the American people across the nation and around the world, including the Department of Energy’s (DoE) National Energy Technical Laboratory (NETL), I urge the President’s Council of Advisors on Science and Technology to consider including these qualified candidates on the list of nominees to be given to the Secretary of Energy for appointments to the Commission to Review the Effectiveness of the National Energy Laboratories, which was established in the Omnibus Fiscal Year 2014 funding measure:

Ben Yamagata
Executive Director
Coal Utilization Research Council

Steve Winberg
Vice President
Consol Energy Research and Development

Victor Der
General Manager, Global CCS Institute

www.afge.org
I understand that all of these candidates are eminently qualified to serve, based on the requirements established in law. Moreover, all of these candidates appreciate the importance of DoE laboratories, particularly the NETL facilities, in West Virginia, Pennsylvania, and Oregon.

Thank you for the consideration of our views. Please do not hesitate to let me know if you have any questions. Please contact John Threlkeld of my staff if you have any questions, who can be reached at [contact information]

Sincerely,

J. David Cox, Sr.
National President
Concerning the cutting-edge technologies for transforming the United States to the center for the civilization’s progress.

From: "13 13" <mepmzu@gmail.com>
Date: Thu, March 27, 2014 7:16 am
To: "NSTC" <nstc@ostp.gov>

Good morning!

This message contains important information that is intended for the United States leaders. We hope that you will find it expedient to discuss this information with them.

Thank you very much.

Yours sincerely,

Zemfira Minaeva

To:

The United States President;
The United States Vice President;
The Speaker of the United States House of Representatives.

Concerning the cutting-edge technologies for transforming the United States to the center for the civilization’s progress.

Dear Mr. President,

Dear Mr. Vice President,

Dear Mr. Speaker:

The House Speaker John Boehner’s invitation to Pope Francis to address Congress (http://www.cbsnews.com/news/john-boehner-invites-pope-francis-to-address-congress/) has inspired me to write this message. God has approved of my endeavor. Indeed, this invitation corresponds to the God’s will Who desires the United States and the Catholic Church to stop the rapid growth in the events of “the end of the age” (Mt. 24; Mk. 13; Lk. 21) and to initiate the process of the world’s transfiguration and the establishment of a new Spiritual world order on Earth.

In order to accomplish this mission, the United States should refuse to spread democracy with the use of physical force. God doesn’t support this way therefore it will meet with failure. At the same time, the United States should offer the world the Spiritual “American Way” with demonstration of the Spiritual “power and authority” (Lk. 9:1; 1Cor. 2:4), the God’s love and wisdom (Mt. 22:37-40, 5:44; 1Cor. 2:6-9; 13), as well as the intellectual (Is. 55:8), technological and economic power. The new knowledge
including the one in Spirituality and the extremely effective technologies that God has revealed to the Russian researcher and inventor Evgeny Molchanov can help the United States to demonstrate the above listed advantages of the Spiritual way of the world’s transfiguration (the article about the scientist is on pages 46-50: http://issuu.com/promecosever/docs/april2011?e=4899961/4173418).

For example, one of many clean technologies that God has revealed to Molchanov can ensure energy security and energy independence not only for the United States, but also other countries that will follow it. This technology relates to renewable energy, in particular, to hydropower. One water turbine of the proposed design is capable of generating the same capacity as 52 water turbines of the most powerful hydroelectric power station - the Grand Inga Dam. In addition to this sizable efficiency that is 52 times greater in comparison with the existent analogues, the new technology doesn’t require the construction of dams, the most expensive and objectionable part of the conventional hydroelectric power stations from the ecological point of view.

This “American Way” can resonate in every heart. Having armed itself with the new knowledge given by God, the United States will be able to strengthen its leading position in the world and become a gatherer of all people who “will come from the east and the west, from the north and the south” (Lk. 13:29), as lord Saatchi has rightly pointed out in his great article (http://www.ft.com/intl/cms/s/2/30948ec4-2972-11dc-a530-000b5df10621.html#axzz2weVaEwbW):

“…America was born out of a desire for self-determination, a longing for the human dignity that only independence can bring. That is what the Pilgrim Fathers hoped when, inspired by the scriptures, they announced their aim to create a “A City upon a Hill”, their new Jerusalem…

To disarm its enemies and defeat its rivals, America only has to... rediscover the language to project its founding ideology beyond its own shores... To do that will require a marching tune people can respond to, so that Americans can once again, as the Pilgrim Fathers intended, show the world “The American Way”.

The outcome of the battle of ideas between Americanism and anti-Americanism will set the tone of the 21st century. It will be the decisive ideological struggle of our times. The US has a fine ideology. But it has either forgotten what it is, or forgotten how to express it. America today is a sleeping beauty. It is time to wake her up” (Maurice Saatchi. “Awake, Sleeping Beauty America”. The Financial Times, July 3, 2007).

Since 2007, we have informed two US Presidents, American science and business community, charities, public and religious figures including Pope Francis about the beginning of the events of “the end of the age” and the new discoveries destined to terminate this process and to initiate the world’s transfiguration. But nobody answered us. We aren’t even sure if our messages were delivered to the indicated recipients. But God has been comforting us, saying that our work is very useful for the implementation of His plans – we have been spreading seeds that will certainly germinate and yield the abundant fruits at the predetermined times.

The immediate task that God has set me is to find a publishing house that would issue our first book in the United States. To this end, I have prepared the cover letter for a publisher. God has approved of my sending this letter to you so that you may gain a general idea of the God’s will and the ways of its implementation. It may be that you will take interest in the God’s plans for this once. I with pleasure shall give you any additional information and answer any of your questions.
With “a broken and a contrite heart” (Ps. 51:17), with “all lowliness and gentleness” (Eph. 4:2), and with compassion for the suffering people, we pray that the seeds we have been spreading should bear the fruits that our Father, our Lord and Savior Jesus Christ, and the life-giving Holy Spirit expect. Amen!

Yours very respectfully,

Zemfira Minaeva,

the disciple and assistant of Evgeny Molchanov.

e-mail: mepmzu@gmail.com

Dear Publisher!

My name is Zemfira Minaeva. I’m not a writer. I’m a researcher. Briefly, I belong to that category of people God has said about: “…the base things of the world and the things which are despised God has chosen, and the things which are not, to bring to nothing the things that are” (1Cor. 1:28). My task is not to be conspicuous by the writer’s talent. My task is to inform the world community about unique discoveries that drastically change the world’s picture and the traditional notions about man’s capabilities.

It’s difficult to measure the value of the new knowledge. God has revealed it to the Russian researcher and inventor Evgeny Molchanov so that humanity may stop the growing global crisis and events of “the end of the age” (Mt. 24; Mk. 13; Lk. 21) and move on to the new stage of its evolution. Not only economic prosperity, but also the centuries-old “American dream” concerning the inalienable rights of everyone to Life, Liberty and Happiness, which are stipulated in the United States Declaration of Independence, can become a reality in this new world order.

What is the essence of this new knowledge? - you may ask.

Briefly, it’s a system of knowledge that has been generated as a result of unification of science and religion.

Primarily, Molchanov has discovered that the method of life and activity that humanity has been using since the earliest times – Trial and Error Method - is the cause of any crisis (look at the Attachment #2 for details). Subjective hypotheses and suppositions of a man lie in the basis of this unscientific Method, the efficiency of which is trifling. It is equal only to 0.01% according to the scientific data. This means that only one out of 10,000 hypotheses is correct and gives a positive result. This also means that 99.99% of human efforts, time and finances are expended in vain. That is why Molchanov compares this method to a “black hole” that brings all the efforts of the world community to nothing.

Simultaneously with this discovery, Molchanov has proved that the Universe including a man as its part, functions positively and harmoniously, without failures and crises if all of its processes proceed according to the laws of God – the laws of Spirituality, Social Science and Natural Science. From this it
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follows that the highest efficiency in any activity is reached when one performs it according to these objective laws. In this case, it equals practically to the efficiency of the laws themselves – to 100%.

If humanity begins to apply the objective laws of God instead of its subjective hypotheses and suppositions, the efficiency of any activity will increase dramatically, by 100% : 0.01% = 10,000 times!!! Indeed, it’s the transition from Trial and Error Method to the new Method of life and activity according to the laws of the God’s Unified Science (the laws of Spirituality, Social Science and Natural Science) that guarantees the colossal potential for increase in the efficiency of any human activity and the fundamental transfiguration of the world we are living in.

Molchanov’s discoveries in Spirituality, Social Science and Natural Science that were made during his forty-year innovation work under the Creator’s guidance are stated in his research work under the name of “The Unified Science”, his book “The Way to the Truth of the Life or Could This Be?” (Petrozavodsk, 1995), 7 manuscripts, many articles, more than 200 patent inventions.

A manuscript with a preliminary title “The Secrets of the Bible as the Potential for a Man’s Transfiguration and Extraordinary Increase in the Efficiency of his Life and Activity”, which I would like to bring to your attention, provides mainly information about Molchanov’s discoveries in Spirituality. They are the top-priority part of the God’s Unified Science. Before we turn to these discoveries, it should be noted that both Christianity and religious science explore the Bible as a collection of parables without understanding the mysterious knowledge that is concealed in these parables (Lk. 8:10). However, “lack of knowledge” (Hos. 4:6) is the main obstacle which hinders Christianity in its efforts to complete the purpose of Jesus’ coming on earth - to turn humanity “from darkness to light, and from the power of satan to God” (Acts 26:18).

The secrets of the Bible that are stated in the proposed manuscript are nothings else but the God’s laws of Spirituality and His Spiritual technologies. The first group of the Bible’s secrets describes the nature of a man as the unity of his spirit, soul and body (1Thess. 5:23), the motives of his behavior, and the method of his life and activity. The second group of the Bible’s secrets reveals how a man can complete the process of its transfiguration from “a living being” into “a life-giving spirit” (1Cor. 15:45) and become a true “image” and “likeness” of his Creator (Gen. 1:26; Eph. 4:24), but not of satan. The use of the God’s laws of Spirituality jointly with His laws of Social Science and Natural Science ensures a potential to:

- eradicate the problem of moral imperfection of humanity;
- increase the efficiency of all the areas of human life and activity by several times (up to 10,000 times in some areas), as a man will obtain skills and abilities to solve any problems on a level with thoughts and ways of God that are higher than thoughts and ways of a man “as the heavens are higher than the earth” (Is. 55:8). Our practice has shown that economic performance of any company can grow by several times even if only 2-3 of its employees have mastered the God’s laws of Spirituality;
- create clean, safe and extremely effective technologies. God has already exposed several technological ideas to us. We are intending to use our author’s royalties from this book to develop new clean technology in the area of hydropower. The effectiveness of this technology is 52 times greater in comparison with the existent analogues. The problem of the transition to the renewable sources of energy, which humanity has raised tens of years ago and hasn’t resolved so far, can be easily accomplished with the help of this technology. It can put an end to senseless wars for energy resources;
- develop new standards for all spheres of human activity, whether science, education, technology, politics, economics, culture, healthy life-style, family relations or others, in conformity with the Creator’s vision. Any contradictions, disproportions, and crises can be resolved as a result;

- organize a new social and economic structure – the Kingdom of God - on Earth where there will be “one body and one Spirit,... one Lord, one faith, one baptism; one God and Father of all” (Eph. 4:4) and where the main God’s law - the law of love - will triumph at last (Mt. 22:37-40; 1Cor. 13).

You can learn more about the God’s laws of Spirituality if you read the attached essay “Is there an alternative to “the end of the age”” (Mt. 24; Mk. 13; Lk. 21)?

Thus, this book is designed for a wide-world reading public who possesses the aspiration for both self-perfection and transfiguration of our imperfect world, including scientists, politicians, public and religious figures, business community.

We have tested our knowledge, made sure of its extremely high efficiency and are now ready for a wide dissemination of our experience. We have got a great number of plans both in our further research and innovation work and in publication of its results. God has revealed to us that a total circulation of our books has to reach 70 million copies during the next 20 years. Therefore, we are looking for a publisher for a long-term cooperation.

Table of contents of the first manuscript, the story of its writing, and the information about our creative team and our long-term plans are set forth in the attachments listed below. If you agree to the proposed long-term cooperation, we shall submit the above-mentioned manuscript to you.

We have a real chance to educate the truly free and happy generation, if we join our efforts in the publication and dissemination of the new true knowledge, which is really the God’s gift to His beloved creation – humanity. As it’s predicted: “And you shall know the truth, and the truth shall make you free” (Jn. 8:32).

Excuse me, please, for my poor English.

Thank you for your time you have spared me. May God help you to hear His call!

Attachments:

1. The project’s conception on the long-term cooperation in the publication and dissemination of the new knowledge for the humanity’s salvation and future evolution;

2. The essay “Is there an alternative to “the end of the age”” (Mt. 24; Mk. 13; Lk. 21)?

3. Table of contests of the proposed manuscript;

4. Resume of Evgeny Molchanov.
Antibiotic Resistance comments
From: "Jezek, Amanda" <ajezek@idsociety.org>
Date: Fri, March 28, 2014 10:46 am
To: "pcast@ostp.gov" <pcast@ostp.gov>

Attached please find comments from the Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) for the April 4, 2014 PCAST meeting on antibiotic resistance.

Thank you,

Amanda

Amanda J. Jezek
Vice President
Public Policy and Government Relations
Infectious Diseases Society of America

www.idsociety.org
The Infectious Diseases Society of America welcomes this opportunity to offer comments on antibiotic resistance to the President’s Council of Advisors on Science and Technology (PCAST). IDSA represents over 10,000 infectious diseases physicians and scientists. Antibiotic resistance and the lack of new antibiotics in development are serious threats to our nation’s public health, patient safety, and national security. While we are encouraged to see the federal government paying closer attention to this public health crisis, we are overdue for comprehensive, concrete actions. The longer we wait to address antibiotic resistance, the larger and more costly the problem will become.

In 2011, IDSA published Combating Antimicrobial Resistance: Policy Recommendations to Save Lives. While some progress has been made, significant work remains. The US needs high level leadership and a comprehensive action plan, including well-defined goals and timelines for activities, to address antibiotic resistance and the stagnant antibiotic pipeline. Efforts to address resistance must involve all relevant government and non-government stakeholders. Below, please find a summary of our recommendations with updated detail that we hope will be of use to PCAST.

1. Adoption of Economic Incentives and Support for Other Collaborative Mechanisms to Address the Market Failure of Antibiotics

Background
The current marketplace fails to incentivize antibiotic research and development (R&D). In 1990, there were almost 20 pharmaceutical companies with large antibiotic R&D programs. Today, there are only two or three large companies and a handful of small companies remaining. A 2013 IDSA report identified only seven new drugs in development for the treatment of infections caused by multidrug-resistant Gram-negative bacilli (GNB). There is no guarantee that any of these drugs will actually reach the finish line and receive Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval, particularly given the significant scientific and regulatory challenges facing antibiotic R&D.

There are multiple economic reasons why drug companies have retreated from antibiotic R&D. Antibiotics are typically priced low, taken for a short duration, and held in reserve to limit the development of resistance. Economic incentives are desperately needed to counteract these factors and level the playing field for antibiotics so that companies may find it economically feasible to reenter this space.

Solutions
The European Union is far ahead of the United States in encouraging innovation in this area. The EU has launched an impressive public private partnership (PPP), New Drugs for Bad Bugs (ND4BB), under its Innovative Medicines Initiative (IMI). PPPs are needed to convene the diverse stakeholders required to tackle the challenges facing antibiotic R&D. ND4BB brings together government leaders, academia, industry and other experts for an unprecedented sharing of information and multi-disciplinary collaboration. The focus of the overall program is to develop better networks of researchers, create fluid and innovative clinical trial designs and provide incentives for companies in order to meet the challenges of antibiotic resistance quickly and efficiently. IDSA urges US government leaders to establish a complementary effort to ensure that we do not continue falling behind.
Economic modeling has indicated that a variety of push and pull incentives are necessary to stimulate antibiotic R&D. The U.S. took an important first step in 2012 by enacting the Generating Antibiotic Incentives Now (GAIN) Act, which provides an additional 5 years of exclusivity for new antibiotics that treat a serious or life-threatening infection. However, much more work is needed. IDSA recommends a new tax credit to support antibiotic R&D. Analysis by Ernst & Young found that IDSA’s antibiotic tax credit proposal would yield an additional 5-7 new drugs in the pipeline every year. Lastly, the Biomedical Research and Development Authority (BARDA) and the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) continue to be important sources of funding for research in this area. However, stagnant funding for these agencies over the last several years severely curtails their ability to make progress.

2. New Regulatory Approaches to Facilitate Antimicrobial Development and Approval

Background
In addition to economic barriers to antibiotic R&D, regulatory hurdles continue to hamper progress. IDSA has long noted that infeasible clinical trial designs must be revised. We are pleased that the FDA has begun making progress and look forward to continuing to work with the agency. In addition, IDSA recommends statutory changes to further improve the regulatory environment for antibiotic R&D.

Solutions
Specifically, IDSA urges establishment of a Limited Population Antibacterial Drug (LPAD) pathway, very similar to the Special Medical Use (SMU) pathway that PCAST recommended. It is often not feasible to study new antibiotics to treat some of the most serious or life-threatening infections in traditional, large scale clinical trials, due to the limited number of patients in which these infections currently occur. The LPAD pathway would allow new antibiotics to treat serious or life-threatening infections for which there is an unmet medical need to be studied in smaller, more rapid clinical trials. LPAD drugs would be approved for use in the limited population for whom they were proven to be safe and effective under current FDA standards. LPAD drugs must be appropriately labeled and monitored to ensure their appropriate use. The Antibiotic Development to Advance Patient Treatment (ADAPT) Act, would establish the LPAD pathway and enact safeguards for appropriate use of LPAD drugs. IDSA is working to advance this legislation and strengthen it by requiring a logo on the labeling of LPAD drugs.

3. Greater Coordination of Relevant Federal Agencies' Efforts

Background
The problem of antibiotic resistance is complex and multi-factorial and it requires a well-coordinated, multi-pronged approach involving all relevant government and non-government stakeholders working in a well-integrated fashion. While many federal agencies and private entities are currently engaged in activities on this issue, the efforts are sometimes fragmented and lack centralized leadership.

The Interagency Task Force on Antimicrobial Resistance was established 15 years ago to help coordinate the federal response to resistance. The ITFAR agency representatives have worked to advance efforts to address resistance. The ITFAR’s most recent action plan highlights many of the areas where stronger activity is needed, but the ITFAR needs more resources and better accountability to ensure that progress is made.

Solutions
The ITFAR needs strong, high-level, centralized leadership and dedicated funding. Specifically, IDSA calls upon the Secretary of Health and Human Services (HHS) to designate an office and director within the Assistant Secretary for Health (ASH) or the Assistant Secretary for Preparedness and Response (ASPR) to oversee coordination of ITFAR activities. We continue to call for the inclusion of benchmarks in the Action Plan to establish timelines for completing projects and measuring progress. Finally, public private partnerships are necessary to the success of public health initiatives of this scale. IDSA continues to advocate for the creation of a formal advisory board of non-government experts to meet with the ITFAR on a regular basis and intends to host a meeting of government and non-government stakeholders at IDWeek in October 2014.

IDSA supports the Strategies to Address Antimicrobial Resistance (STAAR) Act, which would enact many of the recommendations discussed in this section. But the Administration could also take many of these steps without action from Congress.

4. Enhancement of Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance Systems

Background
Surveillance and data collection of antibiotic resistance and antibiotic usage are sporadic and contains many gaps. Antibiotic usage drives resistance and these data are sorely lacking. Real time, publicly available information is critical for determining the prevalence of resistant infections, monitoring the impact of measures such as antibiotic stewardship and infection prevention, determining antibiotic and diagnostic development priorities, and defining metrics and allowing benchmarking.

Solutions
The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) new Detect and Protect Against Antibiotic Resistance Initiative (as proposed in the President’s Budget Request for Fiscal Year 2015 at $30 million) would improve surveillance. One piece of the initiative would create a detection network of five regional labs to speed up identification of the most concerning threats and increase susceptibility testing for high priority bacteria.

The President’s Budget also requested a $14 million increase for the National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN). This additional funding would support increased uptake of the antibiotic resistance and antibiotic use modules — two tools that allow for centralized reporting of antibiotic resistance data and antibiotic use data. Currently, 12,000 facilities report some type of data through NHSN, but more funding is needed to expand reporting.

While these funding increases are a step forward, the US should aim for the robust level of surveillance and data collection achieved in the EU. The European Surveillance of Antimicrobial Consumption (ESAC) system collects antibiotic use data from 34 countries, while the European Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance System (EARSS) collects resistance data.

Lastly, it is critical that antibiotic resistance and use data, and gaps in those data, be made public on a regular basis. IDSA greatly appreciated the 2013 CDC report on this issue and recommends that these data be reported every two years.

5. Strengthening Activities to Prevent and Control Antimicrobial Resistance

Background
Over the last several decades there has been a dramatic increase in antibiotic use in hospitals. Antibiotics are often prescribed needlessly and continued when no longer necessary. Such overuse and misuse is driving the development of antibiotic resistance. Antibiotic stewardship is a critical tool to protect antibiotics from misuse and overuse. Antibiotic stewardship can better patient care, improve outcomes, and lower the healthcare costs associated with antibiotic overuse as well as costs associated with infections and antibiotic resistance. In addition, multiple studies have indicated that stewardship programs provide significant cost savings.

**Solutions**

IDSA recommends that all healthcare facilities be required to implement an antibiotic stewardship program as a Medicare condition of participation. The STAAR Act (mentioned in section 3 above), would direct CDC to provide grants for the development, implementation and evaluation of stewardship programs. The STAAR Act would also direct CDC to pilot and test antibiotic appropriate use quality measures.

IDSA also recommends funding more research on novel strategies, best practices and evaluation of methods to prevent, control and eradicate antibiotic resistant organisms. CDC’s prevention EpiCenters (a partnership with academic investigators) conduct valuable work in this area regarding healthcare associated infections, but flat funding over the last several years is preventing these collaborations from expanding their critical work. The STAAR Act would direct the EpiCenters to support evaluation of interventions to prevent or limit resistance.

CDC’s proposed new antibiotic resistance initiative (mentioned under Section 4 above) would also establish prevention collaboratives. These are envisioned to be groups of healthcare facilities in communities across the country that work together to implement best practices for antibiotic prescribing and preventing infections.

**6. Significant Investments in Antimicrobial-Focused Research**

**Background**

Stagnant funding for NIAID continues to compromise the Institute’s ability to fund needed research on resistance and serves as a disincentive for individuals interested in pursuing infectious diseases research, including research on resistance. IDSA was heartened to see NIAID establish a new Clinical Research Network on Antibacterial Resistance. The scientific areas this effort is expected to cover include: conducting early-stage clinical evaluation of new antibacterial drugs, performing clinical trials to optimize currently licensed antibacterial drugs to reduce the risk of resistance, testing diagnostics, and examining best practices in infection control programs to prevent the development and spread of resistant infections. Unfortunately, the $62 million over 6 years that NIAID plans to allocate to this initiative is inadequate.

**Solutions**

IDSA recommends that the new Clinical Research Network on Antibacterial Resistance be codified in statute (under the STAAR Act) and allocated $100 million annually to carry out its objectives. Overall, IDSA recommends NIAID be provided sufficient funding to allocate $500 million annually to antibiotic resistance research. To ensure that an infusion of new funds is used in the most effective manner, and that key research areas are not missed, IDSA continues to recommend the development of an antibiotic resistance strategic research plan. Such a plan should result in a robust, well-directed, and targeted antibiotic resistance program, define high priority research needs, and address scientific challenges.
7. Greater Investment in Rapid Diagnostics R&D and Integration into Clinical Practice

Background
New diagnostic tools are also crucial for combating resistance. Diagnostic tests help guide appropriate use of antibiotics and decrease antibiotic misuse and overuse by lessening the need for clinicians to treat patients empirically and permitting use of narrow spectrum agents to minimize collateral damage to normally present host microorganisms. However, there are significant challenges to the development, regulatory approval and clinical integration of new diagnostic tests.

Solutions
IDSA’s recently released report, Better Tests, Better Care: Improved Diagnostics for Infectious Diseases makes policy recommendations to help spur the development of new and more rapid diagnostic tests and encourage their use in patient care and public health, including:

- Provide robust funding for diagnostics research through NIAID, BARDA and tax credits.
- Reduce regulatory barriers to diagnostics R&D, specifically working with the FDA Center for Devices and Radiological Health (CDRH) to facilitate development of point of care tests.
- Ensure appropriate levels of reimbursement for diagnostics.
- Provide funding for the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) and the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) to assist healthcare institutions and professional societies with educational programs about the utility of diagnostic tests.

8. Eliminating Non-Judicious Antibiotic Use in Animals, Plants, and Marine Environments

Background
The relationship between antibiotic-resistant infections in humans and antibiotic use in agriculture is complex, but well-documented. A large and compelling body of scientific evidence demonstrates that antibiotic use in agriculture contributes to the emergence of resistant bacteria and their spread to humans. IDSA is working to eliminate inappropriate uses of antibiotics in food-producing animals and other aspects of agriculture and aquaculture. This includes expanding surveillance of antibiotic use and resistance on the farm; ending the use of antibiotics for growth promotion, feed efficiency, and routine disease prevention purposes in food animals; and requiring prescriptions and veterinary oversight of all antibiotics given to animals.

Solutions
The EU has far outpaced the US in curbing the inappropriate use of antibiotics in food-producing animals. IDSA strongly supports a federal ban on antibiotic use in food-producing animals for growth promotion purposes. Recent FDA Guidance for Industry (GFI) # 213 would phase out such use and require changes to drug labeling, but on a voluntary basis. IDSA remains concerned that such voluntary measures may not be enough to change bad practices. As such, IDSA supports legislative measures to strengthen FDA’s regulatory authority, such as the Preservation of Antibiotics for Medical Treatment Act (PAMPTA) of 2013 (H.R. 1150 in the 113th Congress) and the Preventing Antibiotic Resistance (PAR) Act (S. 1256 in the 113th Congress).

IDSA also supports a strong federal requirement that antibiotic prescriptions for animals be overseen by a veterinarian knowledgeable of the place and intended use of these drugs. FDA’s recent Veterinary Feed Directive (VFD) proposed rule provides an important framework governing a veterinarian’s oversight role in the use of certain drugs in animal feed, but strong veterinarian-client-patient
relationship (VCPR) standards must be enforced to ensure proper oversight. In addition, five-year recordkeeping should be required and FDA should publish periodic reports so the public can monitor whether the regulation is achieving the underlying policy goals.

Finally, IDSA supports regulatory measures to expand FDA surveillance of antibiotic use in agriculture, and legislation where new authority is necessary. The Delivering Antimicrobial Transparency in Animals (DATA) Act (H.R. 820 in the 113th Congress) would provide the FDA and the public with better information on the use of antimicrobial drugs in food animals. Such data will enable public health officials and scientists to better understand and interpret trends and variations in antimicrobial resistance, to improve the understanding of the relationship between animal uses of these drugs and antimicrobial resistance in animals and humans, and to identify interventions to prevent and control resistance.

Again, IDSA thanks PCAST for this opportunity to provide comments and for its work on the issue of antibiotic resistance. IDSA looks forward to working with PCAST, our partners in the federal government, and other key stakeholders to move forward with comprehensive, aggressive strategies to address antibiotic resistance.
Friend -
Legacy.

It's a word I find myself coming back to a lot lately. As I think on that word and what it means, I've found myself drawn back to memories of my childhood, memories of my Dad.

Dad was a front line participant in what we now call The Greatest Generation. His generation saved our country — through science & technology — and moved beyond that to build a space program that lead the world into the future. That generation, my dad's generation, left an amazing legacy and some major shoes to fill.

Join me as I pledge to support STEM and recommit to that great legacy.

When I had just turned 8 years old, the Soviet Union launched Sputnik and electrified the world. Young people were fascinated by all things related to space, and I was no exception. For months, Dad and I would get up at 4:30 in the morning, have our own "secret" breakfast (I got warm milk with coffee in it with toast), then go out into the frigid air to gaze up to the skies.

Those skies filled me with wonder, and like any young person, an insatiable curiosity about the world around me, and the world above me. I am grateful to have had a father who encouraged that. Unfortunately, today, Federal investment in Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) R&D, including basic and applied research, are on track to reach historic lows. That's because of our fiscal crisis and the steady decline in available funds provided for R&D.

We run a serious risk of losing that great legacy my father's generation left us. Stand with me in signing on to support STEM.

We cannot wait for another Sputnik moment, we must create our own. We must push forward into the future focused on our unending commitment to curiosity, with an unwavering focus on building, inventing and doing. That is what the legacy of that Greatest Generation demands.

Together, we can work to ensure that our commitment to Science, Technology and Research will help us lead the world forward.

Join me, and ASTRA as we pledge to work to move America forward through that focus on our future.

Thank You,
Dr. Robert Spurrier Boege
Executive Director
ASTRA