

Department of the Interior
 Report on Status of Retrospective Review Efforts
 February, 2015

| Agency | Sub-Agency | Title | RIN or OMB Control Number | Summary of Initiative | Status – New, Ongoing, or Completed | Actual or Target Completion Date | Progress updates | Regulatory Flexibilities – Pilot projects, safe harbor exemptions, sunset provisions, trigger provisions, streamlined requirements, state flexibilities, or similar strategies | Methods to identify improvements – public comment, analyses, third party assessments, etc. | Anticipated savings in costs and/or information collection burdens, together with any anticipated changes in benefits |
|--------|------------|--|---------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| DOI | BIA | Federal Acknowledgement of Indian Tribes | 1076-AF18 | This rule will reform the process and criteria by which the Secretary acknowledges an Indian tribe to allow for faster decisions, reduce the documentary burden, and ensure transparency and predictability through objective standards. | Ongoing | Final rule in 2015. | Proposed rule was published in May 2014. Public hearings and tribal consultations were held in July 2014. Final rule is expected to be ready for OMB review in April 2015. | Reduces documentary burden by limiting time period for which Department will conduct an evaluation. | Public comment, and estimated hour burden reduction under the Paperwork Reduction Act | Anticipated information collection burden reduction of 6,390 hours. Revisions will result in a more efficient and transparent review and approval process. |

Department of the Interior
 Report on Status of Retrospective Review Efforts
 February, 2015

| Agency | Sub-Agency | Title | RIN or OMB Control Number | Summary of Initiative | Status – New, Ongoing, or Completed | Actual or Target Completion Date | Progress updates | Regulatory Flexibilities – Pilot projects, safe harbor exemptions, sunset provisions, trigger provisions, streamlined requirements, state flexibilities, or similar strategies | Methods to identify improvements – public comment, analyses, third party assessments, etc. | Anticipated savings in costs and/or information collection burdens, together with any anticipated changes in benefits |
|--------|------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| DOI | BIA | Rights-of-Way on Indian Land | 1076-AF20 | This rule is necessary to update provisions promulgated in 1968, clarify, and streamline practices and procedures for obtaining rights-of-way across Indian trust or restricted land, and eliminate unnecessary approvals. | New | Final rule anticipated in 2015. | Proposed rule was published in June 2014. Public hearings and tribal consultations were held in August 2014. Final rule is expected to be ready for OMB review in May 2015. | Removal of an approval requirement for surveys that will affect every right-of-way application. | Public comment. | A reduction in burden is expected, but the information collection is in use without OMB Control number. This rule will eliminate unnecessary BIA approvals and improve the efficiency of the process. |

Department of the Interior
 Report on Status of Retrospective Review Efforts
 February, 2015

| Agency | Sub-Agency | Title | RIN or OMB Control Number | Summary of Initiative | Status – New, Ongoing, or Completed | Actual or Target Completion Date | Progress updates | Regulatory Flexibilities – Pilot projects, safe harbor exemptions, sunset provisions, trigger provisions, streamlined requirements, state flexibilities, or similar strategies | Methods to identify improvements – public comment, analyses, third party assessments, etc. | Anticipated savings in costs and/or information collection burdens, together with any anticipated changes in benefits |
|--------|------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| DOI | BIA | Housing Improvement Program | 1076-AF22 | This rule would revise existing regulations governing BIA implementation of the Housing Improvement Program to ensure that those most in need of housing improvement services are eligible for the service provided by the program. | New | July 2015 | Proposed rule was published in January 2015; consultations were held in February 2015. Final rule is expected to be ready in June 2015. | Leverages other housing assistance programs to better meet housing goals. | Public comment | This rule’s revisions will make it easier for those in need of housing improvement services to leverage other Federal programs to improve housing on Indian land. |

Department of the Interior
 Report on Status of Retrospective Review Efforts
 February, 2015

| Agency | Sub-Agency | Title | RIN or OMB Control Number | Summary of Initiative | Status – New, Ongoing, or Completed | Actual or Target Completion Date | Progress updates | Regulatory Flexibilities – Pilot projects, safe harbor exemptions, sunset provisions, trigger provisions, streamlined requirements, state flexibilities, or similar strategies | Methods to identify improvements – public comment, analyses, third party assessments, etc. | Anticipated savings in costs and/or information collection burdens, together with any anticipated changes in benefits |
|--------|------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| DOI | BIA | Indian Child Welfare Act | 1076-AF25 | Currently, the Indian Child Welfare Act (ICWA) is applied inconsistently by jurisdiction. This rule will provide consistency throughout the country on implementing the ICWA. | New | Proposed: March 2015 Final: December 2015 | Proposed rule expected in March 2015. | Establishes trigger provisions to clarify when ICWA requirements apply. | Working with DHHS to determine whether more data on ICWA compliance can be gathered. | This rule will address the widely varying levels of compliance with ICWA across States by establishing uniform standards for compliance. This will improve fairness and consistency, decrease legal and other costs to those affected, and make it easier for those affected to understand the impacts of the regulations. |

Department of the Interior
 Report on Status of Retrospective Review Efforts
 February, 2015

| Agency | Sub-Agency | Title | RIN or OMB Control Number | Summary of Initiative | Status – New, Ongoing, or Completed | Actual or Target Completion Date | Progress updates | Regulatory Flexibilities – Pilot projects, safe harbor exemptions, sunset provisions, trigger provisions, streamlined requirements, state flexibilities, or similar strategies | Methods to identify improvements – public comment, analyses, third party assessments, etc. | Anticipated savings in costs and/or information collection burdens, together with any anticipated changes in benefits |
|--------|------------|----------------------|---------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| DOI | BIA | Forestry Regulations | 1076-AFXX | This rule will provide updates to streamline the process for obtaining BIA approval for forestry activity on Indian land. | New | Proposed: January 2016 | Anticipate draft by December 2015. | Streamlined requirements | Public comment | This rule is expected to decrease information collection burdens and remove unnecessary steps in the process for conducting forestry on Indian land. |

Department of the Interior
Report on Status of Retrospective Review Efforts
February, 2015

| Agency | Sub-Agency | Title | RIN or OMB Control Number | Summary of Initiative | Status – New, Ongoing, or Completed | Actual or Target Completion Date | Progress updates | Regulatory Flexibilities – Pilot projects, safe harbor exemptions, sunset provisions, trigger provisions, streamlined requirements, state flexibilities, or similar strategies | Methods to identify improvements – public comment, analyses, third party assessments, etc. | Anticipated savings in costs and/or information collection burdens, together with any anticipated changes in benefits |
|--------|------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| DOI | BLM | Solar/ Wind Competitive Process | 1004-AE24 | The BLM determined that a comprehensive, long-term wind and solar energy development program on public lands requires a robust, regulatory framework that establishes a competitive process for awarding right-of-way leases under current FLPMA authority. | Ongoing | Proposed rule published on September 30, 2014. Target date of final rule is October 2015. | ANPRM published in the <i>Federal Register</i> on December 29, 2011 at 76 FR 81906. Public comment period for the ANPRM closed February 27, 2012. The NPRM published on September 30, 2014, and the comment period closed on December 16, 2014. The BLM is reviewing and responding to public comments and drafting final regulation and preamble language. | Streamlined requirements. | Public comment | The rule's regulatory framework will mitigate uncertainties for developers. Its provisions regarding bids, acreage rents, and MW capacity fees will assist the BLM in collecting fair market value for the use of public lands. Bonding provisions will mitigate potential liabilities that may result from development on public lands. |

Department of the Interior
 Report on Status of Retrospective Review Efforts
 February, 2015

| Agency | Sub-Agency | Title | RIN or OMB Control Number | Summary of Initiative | Status – New, Ongoing, or Completed | Actual or Target Completion Date | Progress updates | Regulatory Flexibilities – Pilot projects, safe harbor exemptions, sunset provisions, trigger provisions, streamlined requirements, state flexibilities, or similar strategies | Methods to identify improvements – public comment, analyses, third party assessments, etc. | Anticipated savings in costs and/or information collection burdens, together with any anticipated changes in benefits |
|--------|------------|--|---------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|---|
| DOI | NPS | Commercial Filming and Similar Projects and Still Photography Activities – Location Fee Schedule | NA | The location fee schedule implements Public law 106-206 and will be used by DOI bureaus and the U.S. Forest Service to provide a fair return to the United States for the use of Federal lands for permitted commercial filming, similar projects, and still photography activities. | Ongoing | Publication of the Federal Register notice to implement the fee schedule is anticipated in May 2015. | The related final rule to manage commercial filming, similar activities, and still photography was published August 22, 2013 (78 FR 52087). This rule referenced the proposed location fee schedule that was published for public comment on the same date. | Streamlined requirements. This will provide one schedule across all land management agencies. | Public comment | The fee schedule will provide a fair return for use of Federal land and will provide the commercial filming industry with consistent predictable fees for their activities. |

Department of the Interior
Report on Status of Retrospective Review Efforts
February, 2015

| Agency | Sub-Agency | Title | RIN or OMB Control Number | Summary of Initiative | Status – New, Ongoing, or Completed | Actual or Target Completion Date | Progress updates | Regulatory Flexibilities – Pilot projects, safe harbor exemptions, sunset provisions, trigger provisions, streamlined requirements, state flexibilities, or similar strategies | Methods to identify improvements – public comment, analyses, third party assessments, etc. | Anticipated savings in costs and/or information collection burdens, together with any anticipated changes in benefits |
|--------|------------|--|---------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|---|
| DOI | FWS | ESA Section 7 Consultation Regulations; Incidental Take Statements | 1018-AX85 | Court decisions rendered over the last decade regarding the adequacy of incidental take statements have prompted us, along with the National Marine Fisheries Service (NOAA, Commerce), to consider clarifying our regulations concerning two aspects of issuance of incidental take statements during section 7 consultation under the Endangered Species Act. The proposed regulatory changes would specifically address the use of surrogates to express the limit of exempted take and how to determine when deferral of an incidental take exemption is appropriate. | Ongoing | Publication of the final rule is expected to occur in March 2015. | Proposed rule submitted to the Federal Register on August 29, 2013. The public comment period closed on November 4, 2013. Approximately 70 comment submissions were received. The final rule is currently going through interagency review with OIRA. | NA | Public comment, EO 12866 interagency review. | Increased clarity, consistency, and certainty associated with how the Services issue incidental take statements during interagency consultation would reduce the transaction costs incurred by Federal agencies and non-federal applicants and reduce the potential for further litigation that can have financial impacts on the Services as well as Federal and non-federal applicants. |

Department of the Interior
 Report on Status of Retrospective Review Efforts
 February, 2015

| Agency | Sub-Agency | Title | RIN or OMB Control Number | Summary of Initiative | Status – New, Ongoing, or Completed | Actual or Target Completion Date | Progress updates | Regulatory Flexibilities – Pilot projects, safe harbor exemptions, sunset provisions, trigger provisions, streamlined requirements, state flexibilities, or similar strategies | Methods to identify improvements – public comment, analyses, third party assessments, etc. | Anticipated savings in costs and/or information collection burdens, together with any anticipated changes in benefits |
|--------|------------|--|---------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|---|
| DOI | FWS | Implementing Changes to the Regulations for Designating Critical Habitat | 1018-AX86 | This proposed rule would amend existing regulations governing the designation of critical habitat under section 4 of the Endangered Species Act. A number of factors, including litigation and the Services' experience over the years in interpreting and applying the statutory definition of critical habitat, have highlighted the need to clarify or revise the current regulations. This is a joint rule between the Fish and Wildlife Service and the National Marine Fisheries Service (NOAA, Commerce). | Ongoing | Target publication date for final rule is June 2015. | Proposed rule published in the Federal Register May 12, 2014. The Services accepted comments through October 9, 2014. We anticipate publishing the final rule in June 2015. | | Public comment, EO 12866 interagency review. | Increased clarity, consistency, and certainty associated with how the Services designate critical habitat would reduce the transaction costs incurred by the regulated community (e.g., Federal agencies, States, Tribes, local governments, industry, private landowners). |

Department of the Interior
Report on Status of Retrospective Review Efforts
February, 2015

| Agency | Sub-Agency | Title | RIN or OMB Control Number | Summary of Initiative | Status – New, Ongoing, or Completed | Actual or Target Completion Date | Progress updates | Regulatory Flexibilities – Pilot projects, safe harbor exemptions, sunset provisions, trigger provisions, streamlined requirements, state flexibilities, or similar strategies | Methods to identify improvements – public comment, analyses, third party assessments, etc. | Anticipated savings in costs and/or information collection burdens, together with any anticipated changes in benefits |
|--------|------------|--|---------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|---|
| DOI | FWS | Policy for the Designation of Critical Habitat Under Section 4 of the Endangered Species Act | 1018-AX87 | This proposed policy would articulate the purpose of critical habitat, provide a clear interpretation of the statutory definition of "critical habitat," and describe a comprehensive approach for designating critical habitat under section 4 of the Endangered Species Act. This policy will help provide clarity and consistency in the designation of critical habitat in an effort to ensure that the purposes of the Endangered Species Act are fully met. We will seek public review and comment on the proposed policy. This is a joint policy with the National Marine Fisheries Service (NOAA, Commerce). | Ongoing | Target publication date for final rule is June 2015. | Proposed policy published in the Federal Register May 12, 2014. The Services accepted comments through October 9, 2014. We anticipate publishing the final rule in June 2015. | | Public comment, EO 12866 interagency review. | Increased clarity, consistency, and certainty associated with how the Services designate critical habitat would reduce the transaction costs incurred by the regulated community (e.g., Federal agencies, States, Tribes, local governments, industry, private landowners). |

Department of the Interior
 Report on Status of Retrospective Review Efforts
 February, 2015

| Agency | Sub-Agency | Title | RIN or OMB Control Number | Summary of Initiative | Status – New, Ongoing, or Completed | Actual or Target Completion Date | Progress updates | Regulatory Flexibilities – Pilot projects, safe harbor exemptions, sunset provisions, trigger provisions, streamlined requirements, state flexibilities, or similar strategies | Methods to identify improvements – public comment, analyses, third party assessments, etc. | Anticipated savings in costs and/or information collection burdens, together with any anticipated changes in benefits |
|--------|------------|---|---------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|---|
| DOI | FWS | Definition of "Destruction or Adverse Modification" of Critical Habitat | 1018-AX88 | The proposed rule would amend the existing regulations governing section 7 consultation under the Endangered Species Act to revise the definition of "destruction or adverse modification" of critical habitat. The current regulatory definition has been invalidated by the courts for being inconsistent with the language of the Endangered Species Act. We therefore need to propose a revised definition and seek public review and comment. This is a joint rulemaking with the National Marine Fisheries Service (NOAA, Commerce). | Ongoing | Target publication date for final rule is June 2015. | Proposed published in the Federal Register May 12, 2014. The Services accepted comments through October 9, 2014. We anticipate publishing the final rule in June 2015. | NA | Public comment, EO 12866 interagency review. | Increased clarity, consistency, and certainty associated with how the Services define "destruction or adverse modification" of critical habitat would reduce the transaction costs incurred by Federal agencies and non-federal applicants and reduce the potential for further litigation that can have financial impacts on the Services as well as Federal and non-federal applicants. |

Department of the Interior
Report on Status of Retrospective Review Efforts
February, 2015

| Agency | Sub-Agency | Title | RIN or OMB Control Number | Summary of Initiative | Status – New, Ongoing, or Completed | Actual or Target Completion Date | Progress updates | Regulatory Flexibilities – Pilot projects, safe harbor exemptions, sunset provisions, trigger provisions, streamlined requirements, state flexibilities, or similar strategies | Methods to identify improvements – public comment, analyses, third party assessments, etc. | Anticipated savings in costs and/or information collection burdens, together with any anticipated changes in benefits |
|--------|------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| DOI | FWS | Revised Petitions Regulations | 1018-BA53 | The ESA and implementing regulations sets forth standards for identifying what constitutes a petition to list, delist or reclassify a species under the ESA and the procedures for processing a petition. The Services are proposing to change the regulations at 50 CFR 424.14 pertaining to the petition process to provide greater clarity to the public on the petition submission process, including coordination with the affected States, and expanded content requirements and guideline which will assist petitioners in providing complete petitions. | Ongoing | Anticipated publication of the proposed rule in the Federal Register is April 2015. | Draft regulation and preamble developed by FWS and NMFS staff and reviewed by DOI and NMFS solicitors. | NA | Public comment, EO 12866 interagency review. | The public will have better instructions on how to submit a petition and include necessary information; the Services will gain efficiency in processing petitions and producing 90-day findings as a result of the higher quality and completeness of petitions received; coordination with State partners will be improved. |

Department of the Interior
Report on Status of Retrospective Review Efforts
February, 2015

| Agency | Sub-Agency | Title | RIN or OMB Control Number | Summary of Initiative | Status – New, Ongoing, or Completed | Actual or Target Completion Date | Progress updates | Regulatory Flexibilities – Pilot projects, safe harbor exemptions, sunset provisions, trigger provisions, streamlined requirements, state flexibilities, or similar strategies | Methods to identify improvements – public comment, analyses, third party assessments, etc. | Anticipated savings in costs and/or information collection burdens, together with any anticipated changes in benefits |
|--------|------------|---|---------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| DOI | FWS | 50 CFR Part 14- Importation, exportation, and transportation of wildlife. | 1018-AZ71 | Revision of port structure and declaration processes to accommodate changes under the International Trade Data System (ITDS). To be incorporated in planned updates of Part 14 [Subpart A (definitions), Subpart B (ports), Subpart E (inspection and clearance of wildlife), Subpart F (declarations), Subpart H (marking), Subpart I (licenses and fees), Subpart J (humane transport)]. | Ongoing | Proposed Rule: 05/2015 Final Rule: 12/2016 | Expect to publish a proposed rule in May of 2015. | NA | Public comment | Once completed, electronic collection through ITDS promises to provide the trade with a coordinated government response on admissibility of a shipment or allowance for export. We anticipate these rule changes will simplify the wildlife inspection process and resolve current areas of confusion. |

Department of the Interior
 Report on Status of Retrospective Review Efforts
 February, 2015

| Agency | Sub-Agency | Title | RIN or OMB Control Number | Summary of Initiative | Status – New, Ongoing, or Completed | Actual or Target Completion Date | Progress updates | Regulatory Flexibilities – Pilot projects, safe harbor exemptions, sunset provisions, trigger provisions, streamlined requirements, state flexibilities, or similar strategies | Methods to identify improvements – public comment, analyses, third party assessments, etc. | Anticipated savings in costs and/or information collection burdens, together with any anticipated changes in benefits |
|--------|------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| DOI | OCIO | Enterprise forms System (EFS) | | Consolidate all DOI forms electronically in a way that they can be pre-populated and completed online. | Ongoing | 10/2015 | DOI has identified 160 forms to be converted to an electronic form for online access. As of February 2015, 67 forms are available electronically. DOI expects to have all 160 forms completed by the end of the fiscal year. | | | 30,000 hours This will make the forms more accessible, save time and money, increase consistency, and decrease the potential for human error. It will also improve tracking and reporting capability. |

Department of the Interior
 Report on Status of Retrospective Review Efforts
 February, 2015

| Agency | Sub-Agency | Title | RIN or OMB Control Number | Summary of Initiative | Status – New, Ongoing, or Completed | Actual or Target Completion Date | Progress updates | Regulatory Flexibilities – Pilot projects, safe harbor exemptions, sunset provisions, trigger provisions, streamlined requirements, state flexibilities, or similar strategies | Methods to identify improvements – public comment, analyses, third party assessments, etc. | Anticipated savings in costs and/or information collection burdens, together with any anticipated changes in benefits |
|--------|------------|--------------|------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| DOI | USGS | Bird banding | OMB control number 1028-0082 | Create new streamlined online bird banding permit and reporting website. | Ongoing | December 2016 | The BANDIT system was completed in July 2012. The target completion date for the electronic permitting system is expected in July 2015. | | | 6,898 hours Online tools save significant time and money and make the process more accessible. They also reduce the potential for human error. |

Department of the Interior
 Report on Status of Retrospective Review Efforts
 February, 2015

| Agency | Sub-Agency | Title | RIN or OMB Control Number | Summary of Initiative | Status – New, Ongoing, or Completed | Actual or Target Completion Date | Progress updates | Regulatory Flexibilities – Pilot projects, safe harbor exemptions, sunset provisions, trigger provisions, streamlined requirements, state flexibilities, or similar strategies | Methods to identify improvements – public comment, analyses, third party assessments, etc. | Anticipated savings in costs and/or information collection burdens, together with any anticipated changes in benefits |
|--------|------------|---|---------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| DOI | NPS | Electronic Backcountry Use Reservation System | 1024-0022 | Develop an automated reservation system for Backcountry Use Permits. | Ongoing | 9/2016 | Still in planning stage. The NPS anticipates being able to automate the system by Fall 2016. With greater resources, that target date could be accelerated. | | | 5,938 hours An automated reservation system will greatly increase convenience and accessibility for users. It will speed the process and require fewer resources of users and the NPS. |

Department of the Interior
 Report on Status of Retrospective Review Efforts
 February, 2015

| Agency | Sub-Agency | Title | RIN or OMB Control Number | Summary of Initiative | Status – New, Ongoing, or Completed | Actual or Target Completion Date | Progress updates | Regulatory Flexibilities – Pilot projects, safe harbor exemptions, sunset provisions, trigger provisions, streamlined requirements, state flexibilities, or similar strategies | Methods to identify improvements – public comment, analyses, third party assessments, etc. | Anticipated savings in costs and/or information collection burdens, together with any anticipated changes in benefits |
|--------|------------|---|------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| DOI | FWS | Wildlife Tracking and Reporting Actions for the Conservation of the Species (TRACS) | 1018-0156, 1018-0109 and 1018-0147 | Create a new electronic system for managing financial assistance programs in the Wildlife and Sport Fish Restoration Program, with reduced information requirements. | Completed | Complete. | Completed. Burden will be reflected in next renewal of OMB Control No. 1018-0109, which is the approval for information collection associated with Sport Fish Restoration Program grants. | NA | Public comment, outreach | 5,833 hours Benefits are increased convenience, greater consistency and accuracy, and less time and fewer resources required. |

Department of the Interior
 Report on Status of Retrospective Review Efforts
 February, 2015

| Agency | Sub-Agency | Title | RIN or OMB Control Number | Summary of Initiative | Status – New, Ongoing, or Completed | Actual or Target Completion Date | Progress updates | Regulatory Flexibilities – Pilot projects, safe harbor exemptions, sunset provisions, trigger provisions, streamlined requirements, state flexibilities, or similar strategies | Methods to identify improvements – public comment, analyses, third party assessments, etc. | Anticipated savings in costs and/or information collection burdens, together with any anticipated changes in benefits |
|--------|------------|--|--|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|--|---|
| DOI | FWS | Electronic submission of permit applications and reports | 1018-0022, 1018-0092, 1018-0093, and 1018-0094 | Upgrade the Service Permit Issuance and Tracking System (SPITS) to incorporate the e-Permits online website for submission of application forms and annual reports. The E-permits system is an electronic submission system for permit applications and reports for migratory birds, law enforcement, CITES, and endangered species. | Ongoing | 12/2016 | The E-Permits system was launched on January 23, 2013, with one approved application form for the Division of Management Authority. Delays have been experienced due to staff shortages and budget cuts. We have brought a contractor onboard for the forms development. The first application form for the migratory bird program is currently being developed with a proposed launch by mid-year 2015. | NA | Public comment, pilot program | 9,100 hours. The E-permits system will make it easier and require less time and resources for applicants and those who must submit reports. It will allow access from anywhere with an Internet connection. |

Department of the Interior
 Report on Status of Retrospective Review Efforts
 February, 2015

| Agency | Sub-Agency | Title | RIN or OMB Control Number | Summary of Initiative | Status – New, Ongoing, or Completed | Actual or Target Completion Date | Progress updates | Regulatory Flexibilities – Pilot projects, safe harbor exemptions, sunset provisions, trigger provisions, streamlined requirements, state flexibilities, or similar strategies | Methods to identify improvements – public comment, analyses, third party assessments, etc. | Anticipated savings in costs and/or information collection burdens, together with any anticipated changes in benefits |
|--------|------------|------------------|---------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| DOI | FWS | Falconry permits | 1018-0022 | Eliminate requirement for a Federal permit if State permit regulations are sufficient. | Completed | Complete. | Completed. After publication of the final rule, States assumed responsibility for issuing falconry permits and the requirement to also obtain a Federal permit was eliminated. Burden reduction was reflected in the renewal of OMB Control No. 1018-0022, which is the approval for the collection of information associated with migratory bird permit applications and reporting requirements. | NA | Public comment | 888 hours. \$70,400 nonhour burden costs. Applicants no longer need to obtain a Federal permit. Falconers will need to obtain only a State permit. This reduces the complexity of the process, permit fees, and time and effort required by applicants. |

Department of the Interior
 Report on Status of Retrospective Review Efforts
 February, 2015

| Agency | Sub-Agency | Title | RIN or OMB Control Number | Summary of Initiative | Status – New, Ongoing, or Completed | Actual or Target Completion Date | Progress updates | Regulatory Flexibilities – Pilot projects, safe harbor exemptions, sunset provisions, trigger provisions, streamlined requirements, state flexibilities, or similar strategies | Methods to identify improvements – public comment, analyses, third party assessments, etc. | Anticipated savings in costs and/or information collection burdens, together with any anticipated changes in benefits |
|--------|------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|--|---|
| DOI | BSEE | Modify eWell for use in all regions | 1014-0018 | Expand current electronic reporting and submittal system to include Alaska and Pacific regions. | Completed | Actual completion date: 6/1/2014 | Complete. The BSEE eWell reporting system was deployed to all regions on June 1, 2014. | | | 1,405 hours Electronic reporting saves time and money for those who must report. They can prepare and submit a report from any computer with Internet access. They no longer need to complete and submit paper forms. Applicants do not need to worry about outdated forms because the forms are kept current in the system. |

Department of the Interior
Report on Status of Retrospective Review Efforts
February, 2015